arXiv:1406.5361v4 [math.AG] 14 Jul 2015

# Some geometric properties of Hilbert schemes of space curves

Gerd Gotzmann

20-6-2014

Abstract

Let $H$ be the Hilbert scheme of curves in complex projective 3-space, with degree $d \geq 3$ and genus $g \leq (d-2)^2/4$. A complete, explicit description of the cone of curves and the ample cone of $H$ is given. From this, partial results on the group $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ are deduced.

## Introduction

The ground field is $k = \mathbb{C}$ and we always assume $d \geq 3$, $g \leq g(d) := (d-2)^2/4$, $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^3_k)$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$.

Chapter 1. We prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Rational equivalence = numerical equivalence.*

The complementary Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - P(n)$ has the form $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)$, where $a = d+1$ and $g = (a^2 - 3a + 4)/2 - b$.

Theorem 1.2. *The cone of curves is freely generated by (the equivalence classes of) the following curves:*

$$C_0 = \left\{ (x^2, xy, xz, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}, xt^{b-2} + \alpha y^{a-1}z^{b-a}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$$
$$C_1 = \left\{ (x, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a}(\alpha z + t)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$$
$$C_2 = \left\{ (x, y^{a-1}(\alpha y + z), y^{a-2}z^{b-a+1}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^- .$$

Let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3$ be the universal curve with Hilbert polynomial $P$ over $\mathbf{H}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{C}$, let $\pi$ be the projection from $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3$ onto $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n := \pi_* \mathcal{F}(n)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_n$ is locally free of rank $P(n)$ on $\mathbf{H}$ for all $n \geq d-2$. Then $\mathcal{M}_n := \dot{\bigwedge} \mathcal{F}_n$ is called tautological line bundle on $\mathbf{H}$, where the dot indicates the exterior power of highest degree. Put $\rho := (b-a)(b-a+1)/2$, $\mathcal{L}_2 := \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ if $n \geq d-1$ is any integer, $\mathcal{L}_1 := \mathcal{M}_{d-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{M}_{b-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{-\rho}$.

THEOREM 1.3. *The ample cone of* $\mathbf{H}$ *is freely generated by (the classes of)* $\mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_2$.

In a simple direct way it is proved that $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is globally generated, if $n \geq d-1$, especially $\mathcal{L}_1$ is globally generated. As for $\mathcal{L}_2$, one has to use the method of Fogarty (see [**F1**, Section 3]) to show that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is globally generated. In spite of every effort, I could not decide, if $\mathcal{L}_0$ is globally generated or not, even in the case of $H_{4,1}$. So the only example I know is the case $H_{3,0}$, where $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{M}_3$ is globally generated.

CHAPTER 2. We will determine those curves which lie on some of the subcones of the cone of curves. One cannot expect to obtain complete results, but at least one can show that curves which are rational equivalent to multiples of $[C_1]$ or $[C_2]$ lie on a special subscheme $H_m$ respectively $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbf{H}$, which we will have to use later on (Corollary 2.1 and Proposition 2.2).

CHAPTER 3. This is an attempt to understand Fogarty's general construction of certain morphisms $\omega_t^P(m)$ from $\mathbf{H}$ to projective spaces, at least in the case $N = 3$, $t = 1$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$. For this reason it is shown in a direct way that the fibres of the morphism $f_n$, which is defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, have the same description as the fibres of $\omega_1^P(m)$. The difference is that in [**F1**, Theorem 10.4, p. 84] one has to choose $m \gg 0$, whereas now one only has to suppose $n \geq d$. Moreover, it is shown (by means of the method Fogarty used in the proof of [**F2**, Proposition 2.2]) that each two points in a fibre of $f_n$ can be connected by a curve rationally equivalent to a multiple of $[C_0]$, a result which one has to use in Chapter 6.

We now try to approach the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ of $k$-automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}$, which we will do in several steps:

CHAPTER 4. We show that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ trivially acts on the first Chow groups $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ and $A_1(\mathbf{C})$, if $d \geq 5$ is supposed. (Probably this is true if $d \geq 3$, but I cannot prove it.) Moreover it is shown that the subschemes $H_m$ and $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbf{H}$, which are mentioned above (and are constructed in Appendix C), are invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$.

CHAPTER 5. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ and $d \geq 6$ is supposed, we show that there is a $\gamma \in G := \mathrm{PGL}(3; k)$ such that $\varphi | H_m = \gamma | H_m$. Replacing $\varphi$ by $\varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}$, one obtains a so called *normed* automorphism of $\mathbf{H}$. The set of all such normed automorphism is a subgroup $N$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$, which is normalized by $G$. Moreover we prove that $\varphi | \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{id}$ for all $\varphi \in N$. (Here one has to use $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)) = \mathrm{PGL}(2; k)$, which is proved in Appendix D under the assumption $d \geq 6$.)

CHAPTER 6. A very nice result would be to show that $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and all $\varphi \in N$, but with regard to the methods used here, this seems to be impossible. If however the ideal corresponding to $\xi$ has a special shape, similar or weaker results hold true and are used in CHAPTER 7. Here the result is:

THEOREM 7.1. *Suppose* $d \geq 6$. *If* $h$ *is the Hilbert–Chow morphism, then* $h(\varphi(\xi)) = h(\xi)$ *for all* $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ *and all* $\varphi \in N$.

CHAPTER 8. Recall from Chapter 3 that the tautological morphism $f$ is defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ (or $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, if $n \geq d$).

THEOREM 8.1. *Suppose $d \geq 6$. Then $f(\varphi(\xi)) = f(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and all $\varphi \in N$.*

In more concrete terms one can express this as follows. Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ correspond to the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} \bigcap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is the CM-part and $\mathcal{R}$ is the punctual part , which are defined in the following way: The curve defined by $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ has no embedded or isolated points (we call such an ideal a CM-ideal) and $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap Q_i$, where the $Q_i$ are primary to ideals $P_i$, which corresponds to different closed points in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Then $\varphi(\xi)$ corresponds to the ideal $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}'$, where $\mathcal{R}' = \bigcap Q_i'$, the $Q_i'$ are $P_i$-primary and $\text{length}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J} \cap Q_i) = \text{length}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J} \cap Q_i')$ for all $i$.

COROLLARY. *If $\mathbf{H}^{\text{CM}}$ denotes the open subset of $\mathbf{H}$ of points, which correspond to curves without embedded or isolated points, then the restriction of a $k$-automorphism of $\mathbf{H}$ to $\mathbf{H}^{\text{CM}}(k)$ is induced by a linear transformation of $\mathbb{P}_k^3$.*

*Acknowledgment*: This article could not have been written in LaTeX without the help of Christian Gorzel.

# Contents


Chapter 1. The cone of curves and the ample cone of a Hilbert scheme of space curves 1
1.1. Notations and summary of earlier results 1
1.2. Rational and numerical equivalence 2
1.3. The cone of curves on **H** and on **C** 4
1.4. The ample cone of **H** and of **C** 17
1.5. Some globally generated line bundles 18

Chapter 2. Subcones of the cone of curves 32
2.1. Limits of 1–cycles 32
2.2. Cycles without $C_0$-component 35
2.3. Cycles without $C_0$ and $C_1$ component 37
2.4. Cycles without $C_1$-component 39

Chapter 3. Tautological morphisms 47
3.1. Connection with a general hyperplane section 47
3.2. The fiber of $f$ 50
3.3. Connectedness of the fibers of $f$ 53
3.4. The morphism $g$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{b-1}$ 56
3.5. Connection with the results of Fogarty 57

Chapter 4. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on the first Chow groups 59
4.1. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ 59
4.2. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(H_m)$ and on $A_1(\mathcal{G})$ 60
4.3. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(\mathbf{C})$ 61

Chapter 5. Automorphisms of some special schemes 62
5.1. Description of the starting situation 62
5.2. Relative automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}$ 63
5.3. Relative automorphisms of $Z$ 64
5.4. Normed automorphisms of **H** 70

Chapter 6. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on linear configuration ideals 74
6.1. The case of simple lines 74
6.2. The case of multiple lines 78
6.3. The case of multiple points 78

6.4. Limits of image points 79

Chapter 7. Automorphisms of **H** and the Hilbert–Chow morphism 81
7.1. Notations 81
7.2. The irreducible components 81
7.3. The multiplicities 82

Chapter 8. Automorphisms of **H** and the tautological morphism 84
8.1. Preliminaries 84
8.2. Composition series of ideal sheaves 85
8.3. Additional assumption 88
8.4. The morphisms $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ 89
8.5. Eliminating the assumption $A(\lambda_0)$ 91
8.6. The restriction morphism 92
8.7. Eliminating the assumption $A(0)$ 94
8.8. The result 96

Appendix A. Linear projections and $\mathbb{G}_m$-actions 98
A.1. Description of the linear projection 98
A.2. Notations 99
A.3. Varying the plane of projection 99
A.4. Auxiliary lemmas 99
A.5. Isotropy groups of linear projections 100

Appendix B. A linear algebra lemma 102

Appendix C. Some special schemes 104
C.1. The scheme $\mathcal{H}$ 104
C.2. The scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ 105
C.3. The schemes $\mathcal{G}, X, Y, Z$ 105
C.4. The scheme $H_m$ 106
C.5. Ideals with maximal regularity 106
C.6. The first Chow group of $\mathcal{G}$ 107
C.7. Geometry of $H_m$ 108

Appendix D. The Hilbert scheme of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ 112
D.1. Tautological line bundles 112
D.2. Combinatorial and algebraic cycles on $H^d$ 112
D.3. Intersection numbers and basis cycles 113
D.4. Intersection numbers of combinatorial cycles 114
D.5. Intersection numbers of algebraic cycles 114
D.6. The cone of effective 1-cycles of $H^d$ 115
D.7. The ample cone of $H$ 116
D.8. Globally generated line bundles on $H^d$ 116

D.9. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$ on $A_1(H^d)$ 116
D.10. Computation of $\mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$ 118

Appendix E. Filtration of the structure sheaf of a curve 121

Appendix F. Lower semicontinuity of the complexity 123

Appendix G. The graded Hilbert scheme 126
G.1. Limit points 126
G.2. The restriction morphism 126
G.3. The case of space curves 126
G.4. The graded Hilbert scheme 128
G.5. Very general linear forms 128

Appendix H. Notations and explanations 131
H.1. Notations 131
H.2. Explanations 133

Bibliography 135

CHAPTER 1

# The cone of curves and the ample cone of a Hilbert scheme of space curves

## 1.1. Notations and summary of earlier results

The ground field is $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{H} = H_{d,g} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^3_k)$ is the Hilbert scheme which parametrizes the curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$ in $\mathbb{P}^3_k$, i.e. the closed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^3_k$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n) = dn - g + 1$. We also write $\mathbf{H}_Q$ instead of $H_{d,g}$ in order to express this Hilbert scheme likewise parametrizes the ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - P(n)$. According to F. S. Macaulay $\mathbf{H}_Q$ is not empty if and only if $Q(n)$ has the form $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2}$ or $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, where $a$ is an integer $\geq 1$, respectively $a$ and $b$ are integers (Macaulay coefficients) such that $2 \leq a \leq b$. Between the degree and the genus on the one hand and the Macaulay coefficients on the other hand, one has the following relations:

$$d = a, \qquad g = (d-1)(d-2)/2, \qquad \text{if } Q(n) = \tbinom{n-1+3}{3} + \tbinom{n-a+2}{2}$$

and

$$d = a - 1, \quad g = (a^2 - 3a + 4)/2 - b, \quad \text{if } Q(n) = \tbinom{n-1+3}{3} + \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + \tbinom{n-b+1}{1},$$

respectively. One sees that the first case occurs if and only if one is dealing with plane curves. Therefore in the following we always suppose $d \geq 3$ and $g < (d-1)(d-2)/2$.

In the following we furthermore assume that $g \leq g(d) := (d-2)^2/4$, because in this case we have "rational equivalence = numerical equivalence" (see below Theorem 1.1). But as, according to a theorem of Castelnuovo (see [**H**, Thm. 6.4, p. 351]), $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq (d-2)^2/4$ is a necessary condition for $H_{d,g}$ to contain a point which corresponds to a smooth curve, this seems not to be an artifical assumption.

If $\mathbf{C}$ is the universal curve over $\mathbf{H}$, one has the diagram




where $\pi$ and $\kappa$ are the projections and $f$ is a surjective flat morphism such that for all $\xi \in \mathbf{H}$ the fiber $f^{-1}(\xi)$ is a closed curve $C_\xi \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \otimes k(\xi)$ with Hilbert polynomial $P$. If $\mathcal{I}$ is the universal ideal sheaf on $X := \mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3_k$, which defines $\mathbf{C}$, then $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{C}$. If one puts $\mathcal{I}(n) := \mathcal{I} \otimes \kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes \kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n)$ and if

$n \geq b-1$, then one has exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{I}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}} \otimes S_n \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $S = k[x,y,z,t]$ and $\pi_*\mathcal{I}(n)$ and $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ are locally free on $\mathbf{H}$ of rank $Q(n)$ respectively $P(n)$. $\mathcal{M}_n := \dot{\bigwedge}\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ is called tautological line bundle. This is valid for all $n \geq b-1$, because each ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$ is $b$–regular [**G1**, Lemma 2.9]. As we will show in Section 1.5, $\mathcal{M}_n$ is a line bundle for all $n \geq d-2$.

$A_1(-)$ denotes the first Chow group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{NS}(-) = \mathrm{Pic}(-)/\mathrm{Pic}^0(-)$ is the Néron–Severi group. If one assumes that $a \geq 4$ and $b \geq (a^2-1)/4$, i.e. $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq g(d)$, then one has the following results.

THEOREM I. *$A_1(\mathbf{H})$ is freely generated by the rational equivalence classes of the following curves:*

$$C_0 = \left\{ (x^2, xy, xz, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}, xt^{b-2} + \alpha y^{a-1}z^{b-a}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$$
$$D = \left\{ (x^2, xy, y^{a-1}, z^{b-2a+4}(y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3})) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$$
$$C_2 = \left\{ (x, y^{a-1}(\alpha y + z), y^{a-2}z^{b-a+1}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-.$$

THEOREM II. *The classes of $\mathcal{M}_n$, $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$, $\mathcal{M}_{n+2}$ freely generate $\mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{H})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, for all $n \geq b-1 = d(d-1)/2 - g$.*

In order to formulate corresponding results for $\mathbf{C}$, one defines curves on $\mathbf{C}$ by putting

$$C_0^* := C_0 \times \{p_\infty\}, \quad D^* := D \times \{p_\infty\}, \quad C_2^* := C_2 \times \{p_\infty\}, \quad L^* := \{\omega\} \times L,$$

where $p_\infty = (0:0:0:1) \in \mathbb{P}^3$, $L = V(x,y) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\omega$ is the closed point of $\mathbf{H}$ corresponding to the lexicographic ideal with Hilbert polynomial $Q$.

THEOREM III. *The rational equivalence classes of $C_0^*$, $D^*$, $C_2^*$ and $L^*$ form a basis of $A_1(\mathbf{C})$.*

THEOREM IV. *$\mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{C})$ is freely generated by the classes of $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_n$, $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$, $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_{n+2}$ and $\kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$ for all $n \geq b-1$.*

If $d \geq 5$ is uneven or $d \geq 8$ is even, these are the results of [**T4**, Sätze 2–5], and the remaining cases are treated in [**T5**, p. 119–127]. At this point I would like to mention that the largest part of [**T5**] serves to prove that $g \leq (d-2)^2/4$ is a necessary condition (see [**T5**, last line of page 2 and Chap. 17, p. 129]).

## 1.2. Rational and numerical equivalence

Let be

$$Z \in A_1^\tau(\mathbf{H}) = \{\, Z \in A_1(\mathbf{H}) \mid (\mathcal{L} \cdot Z) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H}) \,\}.$$

According to Theorem I one can write

$$Z = q_0[C_0] + q_1[D] + q_2[C_2], \quad q_i \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Using the formulas of [**T2**, p. 134/135] it follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot Z) = q_0 + q_1(n-b+a-1) + q_2\left[\tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\right] = 0,$$

hence $q_0 = q_1 = q_2 = 0$.

As the restriction of $\pi$ to $D^*$ induces an isomorphism of $D^*$ onto $D$, one has

$$(\pi^*\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D^*) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D).$$

In the same way one obtains $(\kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n) \cdot L^*) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n) \cdot L) = n$ and $(\kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n) \cdot D^*) = 0$ and finally $(\pi^*\mathcal{M}_n \cdot L^*) = 0$. Clearly one has corresponding results for the intersection numbers with $C_2^*$, etc. According to Theorem III one can write $Z \in A_1^\tau(\mathbf{C})$ as

$$Z = q_0[C_0^*] + q_1[D^*] + q_2[C_2^*] + q_3[L^*].$$

If one forms the intersection numbers with $\pi^*\mathcal{M}_n$ and $\kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(n)$ then one gets $q_0 = \cdots = q_3 = 0$ and hence

THEOREM 1.1. *Rational equivalence and numerical equivalence in $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ (resp. $A_1(\mathbf{C})$) agree.* □

As an application we replace $D$ in the above basis of $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ by the cycle

$$C_1 = \left\{\ (x, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a}(\alpha z + t)) \ \middle|\ \alpha \in k\ \right\}^-$$

(see [**T1**, p. 91]). Writing

$$[C_1] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[D] + q_2[C_2]$$

and forming the intersection number with $\mathcal{M}_n$ gives $q_2 = 0$ and $(n-b+1) = q_0 + q_1(n-b+a-1)$ (cf. [**T2**, p. 134]). As this is equivalent to $q_1 = 1$, $q_0 = 2-a$ one obtains

$$[D] = (a-2)[C_0] + [C_1]\,. \tag{1.1}$$

The same argumentation gives

$$[D^*] = (a-2)[C_0^*] + [C_1^*]\,. \tag{1.2}$$

$A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$ denotes the cone of curves on $\mathbf{H}$, i.e. the set of 1–cycles $\sum q_i[C_i]$, where $q_i \geq 0$ are rational numbers and the $C_i$ are closed, reduced, irreducible curves on $\mathbf{H}$. The cone $A_1^+(\mathbf{C})$ is analogously defined. The determination of both cones will be given in the next section and Theorem 1.1 is the main tool.

**Convention:** If not otherwise stated, in the remaining sections of Chapter 1 we write $P = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $S = k[x, y, z]$.

## 1.3. The cone of curves on H and on C

### 1.3.1. Combinatorial cycles.

1.3.1.1. *Combinatorial cycles of type* 1. Let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ be an ideal of type 1 (cf. [**T1**, p. 7]). We have to take up the notations of [**T1**, 1.4.6] and of [**T3**, Anhang 2, 1.2].

We consider a decomposition of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ into $y$-layers (cf. [**T3**, p. 51]) and we want to show that such a $y$-layer has convex shape. To do so, we have to show that the following case cannot occur:




FIGURE 1.1

Otherwise we would have $tu = zw$ and $z^\delta u = t^\delta v$, with $\delta := \mathrm{ord}_t u - \mathrm{ord}_t v = \mathrm{ord}_z v - \mathrm{ord}_z u$, hence $tu \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ and $z^\delta u \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+\delta))$. Suppose $u = t^r u'$, $r \geq 0$, $u'$ a monomial without $t$. As we just have obtained $tu \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$, from the $G_1$-invariance of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ it follows that: $(\alpha y + t)^{r+1} u' \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ for all $\alpha \in k \Rightarrow [t^{r+1} + (r+1)\alpha y t^r + \cdots]u' \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$. As $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, because the Vandermonde–determinant is not equal to zero, $yt^r u' = yu \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$. In the same way it follows that $(\alpha x + t)^{r+1} u' \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ and hence $xt^r u' = xu \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$. But $(x, y, z, t)^\delta u \subset H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+\delta))$ implies $u \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$, contradiction. From this we deduce that each $y$-layer of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ has the shape as in Figure 1.2.

This shows that

$$E(\mathcal{J}(b)) = \text{ set of monomial in } H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$$

is a disjoint union of the monomials in so called "tracks", i.e. sets of the form $B(u) = u \cdot k[z,t]_{b-r}$, where $u \in P_r$ is a monomial. We write $u = v \cdot t^s$, $v \in S$ a monomial without $t$. Then $0 \leq s \leq r < b$. Put

$$C := \left\{ \psi^1_\alpha(\mathcal{J}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^- .$$

Then the contribution delivered by the track $B(u)$ to the intersection number $(\mathcal{M}_b \cdot C)$ is equal to the highest power with which $\alpha$ appears in $\bigwedge^{b-r+1} v(\alpha z + t)^s \cdot k[z,t]_{b-r}$, i.e. is equal to $s(b-r+1)$. But only tracks with $\mathrm{grad}_t u > 0$ yield a contribution to $(\mathcal{M}_b \cdot C)$.




FIGURE 1.2

Let $B(u)$ be such a track. Multiplication of $B(u)$ with $\langle z, t\rangle$ gives the track $B'(u) = u \cdot k[z,t]_{b+1-r} \subset H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$. On the other hand one has $xu = tv$ and $yu = tw$ where $v$ and $w$ are monomials in $E(\mathcal{J}(b))$, as follows from the invariance of $E(\mathcal{J}(b))$ under the maps $m \mapsto (y/t)\cdot m$ and $m \mapsto (x/t)\cdot m$, if the monomial $m \in E(\mathcal{J}(b))$ is divisible by $t$. It follows that $x \cdot B(u)$ (resp. $y \cdot B(u)$) is contained in the track $B'(v)$ (resp. $B'(w)$), which arises from the track $B(v)$ (resp. $B(w)$) in the same way as has been described before. By going over from $E(\mathcal{J}(b))$ to $E(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$, each track $B(u)$ thus is transformed into a track $B'(u)$ of $E(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$. On the other hand, as $P_1 H^0(\mathcal{J}(b)) = H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$, each track $B(v) \subset H^0(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ has the form $B'(u)$, where $B(u) \subset H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ is a track. Thus one has the situation described in Fig. 1.3. (As $\mathcal{J}$ is not contained in $x\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$, from the $G_1$-invariance of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ it follows that $y^b \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$.) The same is true, if one goes over from $E(\mathcal{J}(b+1))$ to $E(\mathcal{J}(b+2))$, etc. All in all one can say that, going over from $E(\mathcal{J}(b))$ to $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$, each track $B(u) = u \cdot k[z,t]_{b-r}$ with $\operatorname{grad}_t u > 0$ is transformed into the track $B'(u) = u \cdot k[z,t]_{n-r}$ and that each track $B(v) \subset E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ with $\operatorname{grad}_t v > 0$ is obtained in this way. $B'(u)$ then delivers the contribution $s(n-r+1)$ to

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q(n)} \psi_\alpha^1(H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)\,.$$

It follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \sum s_i(n - r_i + 1) = \sum s_i(n-b+1) + \sum s_i(b - r_i) = q_1(n-b+1) + q_2$$




FIGURE 1.3

where $q_1 := \sum s_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_2 := \sum s_i(b - r_i) \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. one has

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = q_1(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) + q_0(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_0)\,.$$

If all $s_i = 0$, then $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ would be invariant under $\Delta = U(4; k)$. By Theorem 1.1 we get:

CONCLUSION 1.1. If $C$ is a combinatorial cycle of type 1, then $[C] = q_1[C_1] + q_0[C_0]$ where $q_0, q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_1 > 0$. □

1.3.1.2. *Combinatorial cycles of type* 2. We first want to recall the computation of degree in [**T1**, 1.3, p. 12 ]: $\mathbb{G}_a$ operates on $R = k[y, z]$ by $\psi_\alpha : y \mapsto y, z \mapsto \alpha y + z$. Let $V \subset y^r z^s R_n$ be a $(\mu + 1)$-dimensional subspace which is generated by monomials.

Then $\bigwedge^{\mu+1} \psi_\alpha(V)$ contains a non-zero term without $\alpha$, respectively with a power of $\alpha$, which is $\geq (\mu+1)\cdot s$. In order to see this, we write

$$V = y^r z^s \langle y^{n-a_0} z^{a_0}, \ldots, y^{n-a_\mu} z^{a_\mu} \rangle \,,$$

where $0 \leq a_0 < \cdots < a_\mu \leq n$. Then $\bigwedge^{\mu+1} \psi_\alpha(V)$ contains the term

$$y^r z^s y^{n-a_0} z^{a_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge y^r z^s y^{n-a_\mu} z^{a_\mu}$$

without $\alpha$. The highest power of $\alpha$ in $\bigwedge^{\mu+1} \psi_\alpha(V)$ is equal to

$$(s+a_0) + \cdots + (s+a_\mu) - (1 + \cdots + \mu)$$

(see [**T1**, p. 13/14]). As $0 \leq a_0 < \cdots < a_\mu \leq n$, this sum is $\geq s(\mu+1)$.

Let be $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq a \leq b$. We put

$$f_0(n) := 1, \quad f_1(n) = (n-b+1), \quad f_2(n) = \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{J} \in H_Q(k)$ have the type 2, i.e. $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant under $T(4;k)\cdot G_2$ (cf. [**T1**, p. III]). Let $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow \xi \in H_Q(k)$ and $C := \{\, \psi_\alpha^2(\xi) \mid \alpha \in k \,\}^-$.

AUX-LEMMA 1.1. *If* $g(n) := \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q(n)} \psi_\alpha^2(H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)))$, *then there are* $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for all* $n \gg 0$

$$g(n) = n_2 f_2(n) + n_1 f_1(n) + n_0 f_0(n)\,.$$

PROOF. By induction on the colength of $\mathcal{J}' := \mathcal{J} + t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. If this colength is equal to zero, then $a = 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ has the colength $b$. Then $S_n \subset H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$ if $n \geq b$ and $\alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q(n)} \psi_\alpha^2(H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)))$ is independent of $n \geq b$, hence $g(n) = cf_0(n)$. Thus we can assume, without restriction of generality, that $a > 1$. If $\mathcal{J}'$ is invariant under $U(3;k)$, then the same argumentation shows that $g(n)$ is constant. Hence we can assume without restriction that $\mathcal{J}'$ is not $U(3;k)$-invariant and we have the situation described in [**T1**, 2.2].

1°. We consider the outer shell of the pyramid $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$, $n \geq b$. We imagine the outer shell completed (in Figure 1.4 by the dotted monomials, but this figure is very special, because in general it is not true that "old pyramid ∪ new monomials" is a pyramid in the usual sense, see Appendix H). The completed outer shell has the form $u\cdot k[y,z](-\alpha)$, where $u = y^r z^s$, and $\alpha := r+s = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}')$ (see [**T1**, p. 55]).

Now one has $1 \leq \alpha < a$ as $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}') \leq a-1$, and on the other hand from $\alpha = 0$, because of $\alpha = r+s$, it would follow that $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}') = 0$, hence $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

It follows that in the complete outer shell one has $n - \alpha + 1$ monomials of degree $n$, if $n \geq \alpha$. We define the number $\beta$ by the condition that the outer shell is complete in degree $\beta$ but not in degree $\beta - 1$. Hence $\beta \leq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) \leq b$.

2°. Let $r_i$, $\alpha \le i \le \beta - 1$, be the number of monomials in $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ of degree $i$ in the outer shell. Then $r_i \le i - \alpha + 1$. As the outer complete shell is equal to $uk[y,z](-\alpha)$, where $u = y^r z^s$, $r + s = \alpha$, the $i$-th layer of the outer shell of the pyramid $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ contributes to the $\alpha$-deg of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$ the term $s(i - \alpha + 1)$, if $i \ge \beta$, respectively a term $\ge s \cdot r_i$, if $\alpha \le i \le \beta - 1$ (see above). Hence the outer shell of the pyramid $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ contributes to the $\alpha$-deg of $H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$ the term

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) &:= s \cdot \sum_{i=\beta}^{n} (i - \alpha + 1) + s \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\beta-1} r_i + \delta \\ &= s\left[\tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} + \tbinom{\beta-\alpha+1}{2} + \rho\right] + \delta\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho := \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta-1} r_i$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$. The numbers $s, \rho, \delta$ are independent of $n \ge b$.

**N.B.** $\delta = 0$ iff in the $i$-th layer of the outer shell of $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ there are only monomials with the smallest possible $z$-degree; especially there are no holes in the $i$-th layer, for all $\alpha \le i \le \beta - 1$.

3°. We put $f(n) := \binom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \gamma$, $\gamma := \binom{\beta-\alpha+1}{2} - \rho$ and want to find $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(n) = q_2 f_2(n) + q_1 f_1(n) + q_0 f_0(n)\,. \tag{1.3}$$

First one sees that $q_2 = 1$ and we get the equivalent equations

$$\begin{aligned} & \tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \gamma = \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1) + q_1(n-b+1) + q_0 \\ \iff \quad & (n-\alpha+2)(n-\alpha+1) - 2\gamma = (n-a+2)(n-a+1) + 2(n-b+1) \\ & \qquad + 2q_1(n-b+1) + 2q_0 \\ \iff \quad & n^2 - (2\alpha-3)n + (\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) - 2\gamma = n^2 - (2a-3)n + (a-1)(a-2) \\ & \qquad + (2q_1+2)(n-b+1) + 2q_0 \\ \iff \quad & -(2\alpha-3) = 2q_1 + 2 - (2a-3) \quad \text{and} \\ & (\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) - 2\gamma = (2q_1+2)(-b+1) + (a-1)(a-2) + 2q_0\,. \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$q_1 = a - \alpha - 1 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) - 2\gamma = 2(a-\alpha)(-b+1) + (a-1)(a-2) + 2q_0 \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\iff 2q_0 &= \alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2 - (a^2 - 3a + 2) - 2\gamma + 2(a-\alpha)(b-1)\\
&= \alpha^2 - 3\alpha - a^2 + 3a - 2\gamma + 2(a-\alpha)(b-1)\\
&= -[a^2 - \alpha^2 - 3(a-\alpha)] - 2\gamma + 2(a-\alpha)(b-1)\\
&= -(a-\alpha)[a+\alpha-3] - 2\gamma + 2(a-\alpha)(b-1)\\
\Rightarrow \quad q_0 &= (a-\alpha)[b-1-\tfrac{1}{2}(a+\alpha-3)] - \gamma\\
q_0 &= (a-\alpha)\left[\tfrac{1}{2}(b-a+1) + \tfrac{1}{2}(b-\alpha)\right] - \gamma
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = \tbinom{\beta-\alpha+1}{2} - \rho = \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta-1}(i-\alpha+1-r_i)$$

is the number of monomials, which are missing in the layer of degree $i$ in the outer shell of $E(\mathcal{J}(b))$, $\alpha \le i \le \beta-1$. Hence $\gamma \ge 0$ and on the other hand $\gamma \le$ colength of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{I}^*$, where $\mathcal{I}^*$ is the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ which is generated by $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. As this colength is $\le b-a+1$, one has

$$0 \le \gamma \le b-a+1\,.$$

As $\alpha < a$, one has $\frac{1}{2}(b-\alpha) \ge \frac{1}{2}(b-a+1)$ and we get

$$q_0 \ge (a-\alpha)(b-a+1) - \gamma \ge (a-\alpha-1)(b-a+1) - \gamma \ge 0\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Now from equation (1.5) it follows that $q_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. As $q_1 = a-\alpha-1$ and $\alpha < a$ (see above) we have solved (1.3) with natural numbers $q_0, q_1, q_2$, and hence it follows that there are $q_i' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$r(n) = s\cdot f(n) + \delta = q_2' f_2(n) + q_1' f_1(n) + q_0' f_0(n)\,. \tag{1.7}$$

4°. If one takes away from $E(\mathcal{J}(n))$ the outer shell, one gets a pyramid $E(\mathcal{K}(n))$, where $\mathcal{K} = x\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(-1)$. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is an ideal of type 2 with Hilbert polynomial $\tilde{Q}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}' \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ has a smaller colength than $\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ (see [**T1**, Fig. 2.5, p. 55]). One sees that

$$\tilde{Q}(n-1) + \sum_{i=\beta}^{n}(i-\alpha+1) + \sum_{i=\alpha}^{\beta-1} r_i = Q(n)\,.$$

We write

$$\tilde{Q}(n) = \tbinom{n-1+3}{3} + \tbinom{n-\tilde{a}+2}{2} + \tbinom{n-\tilde{b}+1}{1},$$

where $\tilde{b} = \tilde{a} - 1$ is possible, in which case $\tilde{Q}(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-(\tilde{a}-1)+2}{2}$. Also $\tilde{a} = 1$ is possible, i.e. $\tilde{Q}(n) = \binom{n+3}{3}$. In any case, one has

$$\tilde{Q}(n-1) + \tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \tbinom{\beta-\alpha+1}{2} + \rho = \tilde{Q}(n-1) + \tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \gamma,$$

where $\rho$ and $\gamma$ have been introduced in 2° respectively in 3°. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tbinom{n-1-1+3}{3} + \tbinom{n-1-\tilde{a}+2}{2} + \tbinom{n-1-\tilde{b}+1}{1} + \tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \gamma\\
&= \tbinom{n-1+3}{3} + \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + \tbinom{n-b+1}{1}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tbinom{n-\tilde{a}+1}{2} + (n-\tilde{b}) + \tbinom{n-\alpha+2}{2} - \gamma = \tbinom{n-1+2}{2} + \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\,.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad & (n-\tilde{a}+1)(n-\tilde{a})+2(n-\tilde{b})+(n-\alpha+2)(n-\alpha+1)-2\gamma \\
& = (n+1)n+(n-a+2)(n-a+1)+2(n-b+1) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & n^2-2\tilde{a}n+\tilde{a}^2+n-\tilde{a}+2n-2\tilde{b}+n^2-2\alpha n+\alpha^2+3n-3\alpha+2-2\gamma \\
& = n^2+n+n^2-2an+a^2+3n-3a+2+2n-2b+2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $n$ gives

$$a = \tilde{a}+\alpha\,. \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{a}^2-\tilde{a}-2\tilde{b}+\alpha^2-3\alpha+2-2\gamma = a^2-3a-2b+4 \\
\Rightarrow \quad & 2b-2\tilde{b} = a^2-3a+4-\tilde{a}^2+\tilde{a}-\alpha^2+3\alpha-2+2\gamma \\
& \qquad = 2\tilde{a}\alpha-2\tilde{a}+2+2\gamma \\
\Rightarrow \quad & b-\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}(\alpha-1)+1+\gamma\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$ (see above), we get

$$b > \tilde{b}\,. \tag{1.9}$$

Now by the induction-assumption one can write

$$\tilde{g}(n) := \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{\tilde{Q}(n)} \psi_\alpha^2(H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(n)))$$

in the form $\tilde{g}(n) = \tilde{q}_2\tilde{f}_2(n)+\tilde{q}_1\tilde{f}_1(n)+\tilde{q}_0\tilde{f}_0(n)$, where $\tilde{f}_2(n) = \binom{n-\tilde{a}+2}{2}+(n-\tilde{b}+1)$, $\tilde{f}_1(n) = (n-\tilde{b}+1)$, $\tilde{f}_0(n) = 1$, and $\tilde{q}_0$, $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$ are natural numbers.

Now by direct computation one gets $\tilde{f}_2(n-1) = f_2(n)+c_1f_1(n)+c_0f_0(n)$, where $c_1 := a-\tilde{a}-1$ and $c_0 := (\alpha-1)(b-a)+\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha-1)+b-\tilde{b}-1$ are natural numbers, because of $\alpha \geq 1$, $a \leq b$ and (1.8) and (1.9). We write $\tilde{f}_1(n-1) = (n-1-\tilde{b}+1) = f_1(n)+c_2$, where $c_2 := b-\tilde{b}-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ because of (1.9).

Using (1.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g(n) &= r(n)+\tilde{g}(n-1) \\
&= q_2'f_2(n)+q_1'f_1(n)+q_0'f_0(n) \\
&\quad +\tilde{q}_2[f_2(n)+c_1f_1(n)+c_0f_0(n)]+\tilde{q}_1(f_1(n)+c_2)+\tilde{q}_0f_0(n)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

□

Hence the Aux-Lemma 1.1 follows and the same argumentation as in 1.3.1.1 gives:

Conclusion 1.2. If $C$ is a combinatorial cycles of type 2, there are natural numbers $q_i$ such that $[C] = q_2[C_2]+q_1[C_1]+q_0[C_0]$. □

Corollary 1.1. *If $C$ is a combinatorial cycles of type 2, then $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$, as function of $n$, is either a quadratic function or a constant function.*

PROOF. If $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$ is not a quadratic function, then the above formula for $g(n)$ shows that $r(n)$ is not a quadratic function and $\tilde{q}_2 = 0$. It follows that $s = 0$, hence $r(n) =$ constant and by using an induction argument again, one can assume that $\tilde{q}_1 = 0$. But then $g(n)$ is a constant function. □

COROLLARY 1.2. *If $C$ is a combinatorial cycles of type 2 such that $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = n_1 f_1(n) + n_2 f_2(n)$ with natural numbers $n_1$ and $n_2$, then $n_1 = 0$.*

PROOF. From the formula (1.10) we deduce

$$q'_0 + \tilde{q}_2 \cdot c_0 + \tilde{q}_1 \cdot c_2 + \tilde{q}_0 = 0\,. \tag{1.11}$$

CASE 1: $\tilde{q}_2 = 0$. By Corollary 1.1 it follows that $\tilde{g}(n) = \tilde{q}_2 f_2(n) + \tilde{q}_1 f_1(n) + \tilde{q}_0 f_0(n)$ is a constant function. Now from equation (1.11) follows $\tilde{q}_0 = 0$, hence $\tilde{g}(n) = 0$ and (1.7) implies

$$g(n) = r(n) = s[q_2 f_2(n) + q_1 f_1(n) + q_0 f_0(n)] + \delta\,. \tag{1.12}$$

SUBCASE 1: $s = 0$, hence $g(n) = 0$.
SUBCASE 2: $s \neq 0$, hence $q_0 = 0$. Then (1.6) implies $a = \alpha + 1$, and then equation (1.4) gives $q_1 = 0$ and hence $n_1 = s \cdot q_1 = 0$.
CASE 2: $\tilde{q}_2 \neq 0$. From (1.11) it follows that $c_0 = 0$, hence, because of (1.9), $\alpha = 1$ follows. Besides (1.11) gives $q'_0 = sq_0 + \delta = 0$. As $\alpha = r + s$ (see above), we get 2 cases.
SUBCASE 1: $s = 0$, hence $r = 1$ and $u = y$. As $E(\mathcal{J}'(n))$ has a convex shape, it follows that $\mathcal{J}'$ is $B(3;k)$ invariant (see [**T1**, Section 2.2] and e.g. Fig. 1.5). But then $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$ is a constant.
SUBCASE 2: $s = 1$. Hence $r = 0$ and $u = z$. Besides $q_0 = 0$, hence $a = \alpha + 1 = 2$ by equation (1.6). As $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant under $G_2$, it follows that $\mathcal{J}'$ is invariant under

$$G'_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} < U(3;k)\,,$$

hence $\mathcal{J}' = (x, z)$. It follows that $\alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} \psi_\alpha^2(H^0(\mathcal{J}'(n))) = n$, if $n \geq 0$. But this number is equal to

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) - (\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot C) = n_1 + n_2 \left[\tbinom{n-a+1}{1} + 1\right] = n_1 + n_2(-a+2) + n_2 \cdot n\,,$$

and because of $a = 2$ it follows that $n_1 = 0$. □

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let $C := \{\, \psi_\alpha^2(\xi) \mid \alpha \in k \,\}^-$ be a combinatorial cycle of type 2, and let $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$. If $[C] = n_0[C_0] + n_2[C_2]$ and $n_2 \neq 0$, then the ideal $\mathcal{J}' \leftrightarrow r(\xi)$ has maximal Hilbert function.*

PROOF. If $s = 0$, then $u = y^r$ and the convex shape of $E(\mathcal{J}')$ shows that $\mathcal{J}'$ is $B(3;k)$-invariant (see [**T1**, Section 2.2]). But then $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$ is a constant function, contrary to the assumption. Hence we have $s > 0$ and from (1.10), (1.7) and (1.3) follows that $q_1 = 0$. Then (1.4) gives $\alpha = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}') = a - 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}'$ has maximal regularity, hence maximal Hilbert function (see Appendix C). □




FIGURE 1.4

1.3.1.3. *Combinatorial cycles of type* 3. Let $\mathcal{J} \in H(k)$ be an ideal of type 3. Then

$$H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} U_i,$$

$U_i \subset S_i$ monomial subspace such that $S_1 U_i \subset U_{i+1}$ ($t$ is a NNT on $P/\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$. Put $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{J}' := \mathcal{J} + t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$. Then $b \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I})$ and hence for all $n \geq b$,

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{J}(n-1)) \xrightarrow{t} H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. It follows that $U_n = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, $n \geq b$. Now $\mathcal{I}$ is invariant under $T(3;k)$ and $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} < U(3;k)$, hence $z$ is a NNT on $S/\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$.
If $R = k[x,y]$ then, for all $n \geq b$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}(n-1)) \xrightarrow{z} H^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) \longrightarrow R_n \longrightarrow 0$$




FIGURE 1.5




FIGURE 1.6

is exact. As $H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ is monomial, one gets $R_n \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, $n \geq b$. As $\mathcal{I}$ is $b$-regular, one has $S_1 H^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n+1))$, $n \geq b$.

We write $H^0(\mathcal{I}(b)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b} z^{b-i} V_i$, $V_i \subset R_i$ monomial, and one has $V_b = R_b$. As $H^0(\mathcal{I}(b-1)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b} z^{b-1-i} V_i$, it follows that $R_1 V_i \subset V_{i+1}$, $0 \leq i \leq b-1$. Let $0 \leq c \leq b$ be the natural number such that $V_i = R_i$, if $c \leq i \leq b$ and $V_i \subsetneq R_i$, if $i < c$. We choose a

natural number $m < c$ and we write $V_m = \langle x^{m-c_0}y^{c_0}, \dots, x^{m-c_r}y^{c_r}\rangle$ where $0 \le c_0 < \cdots < c_r \le m$ are natural numbers. We let $\mathbb{G}_a$ act by $\psi^3_\alpha : x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto \alpha x + y, \quad z \mapsto z, \quad t \mapsto t$. Then $\bigwedge^{r+1} \psi^3_\alpha(V_m)$ has the $\alpha$-degree $D_m := (c_0+\cdots+c_r)-(1+2+\cdots+r)$ (see [**T1**, p. 13/14]). It follows that $\bigwedge^{Q'(b)} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{I}(b)))$ has the $\alpha$-degree $D := \sum_{m<c} D_m$. By considering Fig. 1.6 one sees that

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q'(n)} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))) = D \quad \text{for all } n \ge b\,.$$

Now from

$$H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i}U_i \quad \text{and } U_i = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n)), \text{ if } i \ge b,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha\text{-}\deg\big(\bigwedge^{Q(n)} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)))\big) \\ &= \alpha\text{-}\deg\big(\bigwedge^{Q(b-1)} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1))\big) + \sum_{i=b}^{n} D \\ &= q_1(n-b+1) + q_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1 = D$ and $q_0 = \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q(b-1)} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)))$. The same argumentation as in 1.3.1.1 gives

Conclusion 1.3. If $C$ is a combinatorial cycle of type 3, there are natural numbers $q_i$ such that $[C] = q_1[C_1] + q_0[C_0]$. □

Remark 1.1.

$$q_1 = 0 \iff D = 0 \iff \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}' \text{ invariant under } \psi^3_\alpha \iff \mathcal{I} \text{ is } B(3;k)\text{-invariant.}$$

□

From Conclusions 1.1–1.3 follows:

Proposition 1.1. *If $C$ is a combinatorial cycle on $\mathbf{H}$, then there are $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that* $[C] = q_2[C_2] + q_1[C_1] + q_0[C_0]$. □

**1.3.2. Algebraic cycles.** According to [**T1**, Korollar 1, p. 8] $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the combinatorial cycles and the image of $A_1(\mathbf{H}^\Delta) \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} A_1(\mathbf{H})$, $\Delta := U(4;k)$. $A_1(\mathbf{H}^\Delta)$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the 1-prime cycles $C \subset \mathbf{H}^\Delta$ where $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_m \cdot \xi}$, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ an ideal with Hilbert polynomial $Q$ which is invariant under $\Delta \cdot T(\rho)$. Here $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$, if $\rho_3 \ne 0$, respectively by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $t \mapsto t$, if $\rho_3 = 0$ (see [**T1**, Abschnitt 1.1 and 1.2]). If $\rho_3 \ne 0$, then $[C] = q \cdot [C_0]$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ([**T2**, Prop. 1, p. 25 and Prop. 3, p. 58]; [**T4**, Prop. 1, p. 6]).
The case $\rho_3 = 0$ remains. In this case $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant under $t \mapsto \lambda t$, $\lambda \in k^*$, and we can write: $H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n t^{n-i}V_i$, $V_i \subset S_i$ invariant under $U(3;k)$ and $T(\rho)$, $S_1V_i \subset V_{i+1}$

for all $i \geq 0$ and $V_i = H^0(\mathcal{I}(i))$, if $i \geq b-1$. Here $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J} + t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)$ is invariant under $U(3;k)\cdot T(\rho)$, which follows from $b \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I})$.

Now $\rho_0+\rho_1+\rho_2 = 0$ (cf. [**T1**, Bemerkung 1, p. 2] and Appendix H) and we show that $\rho_2 \neq 0$. Otherwise $V_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} z^{i-j}U_j$, $U_j \subset k[x,y]_j$ invariant under $U(2;k)$. As $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$, it follows that $U_j$ is monomial for all $j$, hence $\mathcal{J}$ is monomial, too. But then $C$ would not be a curve. Thus one has $C = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)\xi \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^-$, where $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $t \mapsto t$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) &= \lambda\text{-red}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)) \\ &:= \frac{1}{\ell}\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\bigwedge^{Q(n)} \sigma(\lambda)H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\bigwedge^{\varphi(n)} \sigma(\lambda)V_i\Big)\end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi(i) = \dim V_i$. If $V \subset P_n$ is any subspace with dimension $m$, the reduced $\lambda$-degree of $\bigwedge^m V$ is defined as in the proof of [**T2**, Hilfssatz 5, pp. 8] as $\sum(e_i - d_i)/\ell$, where $\ell$ is the order of the inertia group of $V$ in $\mathbb{G}_m$ (loc. cit, p. 9 lines 11 and 12). Now the above sum is equal to

$$c + \frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{i=b}^{n}\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\bigwedge^{\varphi(n)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i))\Big)$$

where $c := \frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\lambda\text{-}\deg(\sigma(\lambda)V_i)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\lambda := \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{I}$, hence $H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i)) = \sigma(\lambda)(H^0(\mathcal{I}(i)))$. As $U(3;k)$ is normalized by $\sigma(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ is invariant under $U(3;k)$. Hence the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\lambda(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot z} \mathcal{I}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and it follows that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i-1)) \xrightarrow{\cdot z} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i)) \longrightarrow R_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $i \geq b$. Now $R_i \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}(i))$ for all $i \geq b$ (see Appendix D, Lemma 1), hence $R_i \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i))$. But then

$$\bigwedge^{\varphi(i)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i)) \simeq \bigwedge^{\varphi(i-1)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i-1)) \otimes \bigwedge^{i+1} R_i$$

and hence for all $i \geq b-1$

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\cdot\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\bigwedge^{\varphi(i)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i))\Big) = \frac{1}{\ell}\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\bigwedge^{\varphi(b-1)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(b-1))\Big) =: \gamma$$

is independent of $i$. As the inertia group $T_i$ of $H^0(\mathcal{I}(i))$ in $\mathbb{G}_m$ contains the inertia group of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathbb{G}_m$, the number $\ell$ divides $\#T_i$, and this number divides the $\lambda$-degree of $\bigwedge^{\varphi(i)} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i))$ (cf. [**T2**, eq. (2), p. 9]). Hence $\gamma$ is a natural number. The same argumentation also shows that $c \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $(\mathcal{M}\cdot C) = c + \gamma(n-b+1)$ and the same argumentation as in 1.3.1 gives

PROPOSITION 1.2. *If $C$ is an algebraic cycle on $\mathbf{H}$, then there are $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[C] = q_1[C_1] + q_0[C_0]$.* □

REMARK 1.2. As in the proof of Proposition 1.1 we consider the case $\rho_3 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 = 0 &\iff \lambda\text{-}\deg(\dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\lambda(i)) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq b \\ &\iff \mathcal{I} \text{ invariant under } \sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto \lambda z, t \mapsto t \\ &\iff \mathcal{I} \text{ is } T(3;k)\text{-invariant} \\ &\iff \mathcal{I} \text{ is } B(3;k)\text{-invariant.} \end{aligned}$$

□

**1.3.3. Computation of $A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$ and $A_1^+(\mathbf{C})$.** We now prove the result mentioned in the Introduction.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let be $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^3)$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq g(d) := (d-2)^2/4$.*

*If $Z \in A_1(\mathbf{H})$ (resp. $Z \in A_1(\mathbf{C})$) is an effective 1-cycle with integer coefficients, then there are uniquely determined natural numbers $q_i$ such that $Z = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1] + q_2[C_2]$ (resp. $Z = q_0[C_0^*] + q_1[C_1^*] + q_2[C_2^*] + q_3[L^*]$).*
*Hence the cone $A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$ (resp. $A_1^+(\mathbf{C})$) of effective 1-cycles on $\mathbf{H}$ (resp. on $\mathbf{C}$) is freely generated by (the classes of) $C_0, C_1, C_2$ (resp. $C_0^*, C_1^*, C_2^*, L^*$).*

PROOF. Let $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ be a closed curve. By applying [**T1**, Lemma 1, p. 6] several times, one constructs a cycle $C_* = \sum n_j C_j \in Z_1(\mathbf{H})$ such that $[C] = [C_*]$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and the irreducible components $C_j$ are $B(4;k)$-invariant. From [**T1**, Proposition 0, p. 3] it follows that one of the following cases can occur:

CASE 1: $C_j$ is a combinatorial cycle of type $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, i.e. $C_j = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi}$, where $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ corresponds to an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of type $i$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - P(n)$.

CASE 2: $C_j$ is an algebraic cycle, i.e. $C_j = \overline{\mathbb{G}_m \cdot \xi}$, $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ invariant under $\Delta \cdot T(\rho)$ (see [**T1**, Section 1.1 and 1.2]). As to the cone $A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$, the assertion follows from Propositions 1.1 and 1.2.

Now let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{C}$ a closed curve. From Theorem III in Section 1.1 and equation (1.2) in Section 1.2 it follows that

$$[\mathcal{C}] = q_0[C_0^*] + q_1[C_1^*] + q_2[C_2^*] + q_3[L^*]$$

with $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. If $\pi : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{H}$ is the projection, the restrictions $\pi|C_i : C_i^* \to C_i$ are isomorphisms, $0 \leq i \leq 2$, it follows that

$$\pi_*[\mathcal{C}] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1] + q_2[C_2],$$

hence, by what just has been shown, $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq i \leq 2$. If $\kappa$ is the projection $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbb{P}^3$, the of $\kappa_*$ gives

$$\deg(\kappa|\mathcal{C}) \cdot [\kappa(\mathcal{C})] = q_3 \cdot [L]\,.$$

As $A_1(\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{Z}[L]$ one has $q_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. □

## 1.4. The ample cone of H and of C

If $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$ is the given Hilbert polynomial we always make the assumptions $a \geq 4$ and $b \geq (a^2-1)/4$ (equivalently $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq (d-2)^2/4$). We put $r = b - a$ and $\rho = r(r+1)/2$ and define three line bundles on **H**:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 &:= \mathcal{M}_{b-1}^{1-\rho} \otimes \mathcal{M}_b^{2\rho} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{b+1}^{-\rho}\\ \mathcal{L}_1 &:= \mathcal{M}_{b-1}^{-r-3} \otimes \mathcal{M}_b^{2r+5} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{b+1}^{-r-2}\\ \mathcal{L}_2 &:= \mathcal{M}_{b-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_b^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{b+1}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now the formulas of [**T2**, p. 134]

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot E) = 1, \quad (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) = (n-b+1), \quad (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_2) = \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1) \tag{1.13}$$

are derived under the assumption $n \geq b$, because then $\mathcal{M}_n$ defines an embedding of **H** in a suitable projective space. But the expressions for $H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$ in (loc. cit.) shows that the formulas are also true if $n = b-1$, because $H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$ is a subbundle of $P_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ of degree $Q(n)$ for all $n \geq b-1$, if $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is any curve.

**Intersection numbers of $\mathcal{L}_0$**

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_0 \cdot E) &= (1-\rho) + 2\rho - \rho = 1\\ (\mathcal{L}_0 \cdot C_1) &= 0 + 2\rho - 2\rho = 0\\ (\mathcal{L}_0 \cdot C_2) &= (1-\rho)\tbinom{b-a+1}{2} + 2\rho\left[\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + 1\right] - \rho\left[\tbinom{b-a+3}{2} + 2\right]\\ &= \rho + \rho\left[\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} - \tbinom{b-a+1}{2}\right] - \rho\left[\tbinom{b-a+3}{2} - \tbinom{b-a+2}{2}\right]\\ &= \rho + \rho(b-a+1) - \rho(b-a+2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

**Intersection numbers of $\mathcal{L}_1$**

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot E) &= -(r+3) + (2r+5) - (r+2) = 0\\ (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot C_1) &= 0 + (2r+5) - (r+2)\cdot 2 = 1\\ (\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot C_2) &= -(r+3)\tbinom{b-a+1}{2} + (2r+5)\left[\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + 1\right] - (r+2)\left[\tbinom{b-a+3}{2} + 2\right]\\ &= -(r+3)\tbinom{b-a+1}{2} + (r+3)\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + (r+2)\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} - (r+2)\tbinom{b-a+3}{2} + 1\\ &= (r+3)(b-a+1) - (r+2)(b-a+2) + 1\\ &= (b-a) + (r+3) - 2(r+2) + 1 = 0\end{aligned}$$

**Intersection numbers of $\mathcal{L}_2$**

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_2 \cdot E) &= 0\\ (\mathcal{L}_2 \cdot C_1) &= 0 - 2 + 2 = 0\\ (\mathcal{L}_2 \cdot C_2) &= \tbinom{b-a+1}{2} - 2\left[\tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + 1\right] + \left[\tbinom{b-a+3}{2} + 2\right]\\ &= -(b-a+1) + (b-a+2) = 1\,.\end{aligned}$$

CONCLUSION 1.4. From now on we write $C_0$ instead of $E$ and hence have the formula

$$(\mathcal{L}_i \cdot C_j) = \delta_{ij}\,. \tag{1.14}$$

□

If $\mathcal{L}$ is any line bundle on $\mathbf{H}$ and $\nu_i = (\mathcal{L} \cdot C_i)$ we put $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2}$ and $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}$. From Theorem 1.2 follows $(\mathcal{N} \cdot Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$, hence $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{H})$. Now $\mathbf{H}$ is simply connected and the argumentation in [**T2**, Section 4.2] shows that $\mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{H}) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$.

THEOREM 1.3. *(i)* $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H})/\mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$ *is freely generated by* $\mathcal{L}_0$, $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_2$ *and a line bundle* $\mathcal{L}$ *on* $\mathbf{H}$ *is ample iff it has the form* $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2} \otimes \mathcal{N}$, *where the* $\nu_i$ *are positive natural numbers and* $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$.

*(ii) A line bundle* $\mathcal{L}$ *on the universal curve* $\mathbf{C}$ *is ample iff it has the form* $\mathcal{L} = \pi^*\mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2} \otimes \kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\nu_3) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ *where* $\nu_i$ *are positive natural numbers,* $\pi$ *and* $\kappa$ *are the projection of* $\mathbf{C}$ *to* $\mathbf{H}$, *respectively to* $\mathbb{P}^3$, *and* $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{C})$.

PROOF. (i) follows from the foregoing computations and the theorem of Kleiman.

(ii) Using the same notations as in Theorem 1.2 one sees that the restriction of $\pi$ to $C_i^*$ and of $\kappa$ to $L^*$ gives an isomorphism $C_i^* \to C_i$ respectively $L^* \to L$. Hence one has

$$(\pi^*\mathcal{L} \cdot C_j^*) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (\pi^*\mathcal{L} \cdot L) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\kappa^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \cdot L^*) = 1\,.$$

The argumentation in the proof of [**T3**, Satz 3, p. 40] shows that $H^1_{\mathrm{sing}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbb{Z}/n) = 0$ and hence $\mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{C}) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{C})$ (see the argumentation in [**T2**, Section 4.2]). □

REMARK 1.3. We will show (cf. Lemma 1.1 and 1.5) that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is a line bundle on $\mathbf{H}$ for all $n \geq a - 3$ and that the formulas (1.13) are true for all $n \geq a - 3$, too.

## 1.5. Some globally generated line bundles

### 1.5.1. Regularity of sheaves.

AUX-LEMMA 1.2. *Let be* $Y/k$ *a noetherian scheme,* $X = \mathbb{P}^r \times_k Y$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$ *a coherent* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module such that* $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(n-i)) = (0)$ *for all* $i > 0$ *and all* $n \geq m$ *(i.e.* $\mathcal{F}$ *is* $m$*-regular).*

*(a) There are Zariski-many linear forms* $\ell \in S := k[X_0, \ldots, X_r]$ *such that*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.15}$$

*is exact, where* $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{F}/\ell\mathcal{F}(-1)$.

*(b) If* (1.15) *is exact for any linear form* $\ell \in S$, *then one has*

*(i)* $H^i(\mathcal{F}'(n-i)) = (0)$ *for all* $i > 0$ *and* $n \geq m$.

*(ii) If* $\pi : X \to Y$ *is the projection and* $\mathcal{F}_n := \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$, $\mathcal{F}'_n := \pi_*\mathcal{F}'(n)$, *then*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'_n \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.16}$$

*is exact and* $\mathcal{F}'_n \simeq \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ *for all* $n \geq m$.

PROOF. As $Y$ can be covered by finitely many open affine subsets, without restriction one can assume $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$, $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$, $M$ a graded $S \otimes A$-module of finite type. Let $P_1, \ldots, P_s$ be the finitely many associated primes of $M$, which are different from $S_+ \otimes A$. If $\ell \in S_1 - \cup P_i$, then (1.15) is exact. By means of the exact sequence

$$H^i(\mathcal{F}(n-i)) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{F}'(n-i)) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathcal{F}(n-i-1))$$

the assertion (i) in part (b) follows. Because of $H^1(\mathcal{F}(n-1)) = 0$, if $n \geq m$, the exactness of (1.16) follows. From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & \mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \| & & \downarrow \text{can.} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

which is commutative and has exact rows, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \simeq \mathcal{F}'_n$ if $n \geq m$. □

**The case of curves**

1.5.1.1. Let $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$ be the Hilbert polynomial, $K/k$ an extension field, $X = \mathbb{P}^3_K$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal with Hilbert polynomial $Q$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ the structure sheaf of the curve defined by $\mathcal{I}$, $P = k[x, y, z, t]$. If $\ell \in P_1$ is sufficiently general, the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'(n) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.17}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{I}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}'(n) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.18}$$

are exact, where $\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ is an ideal on $\operatorname{Proj}(P/\ell P(-1)) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_K$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q'(n) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-(a-1)+1}{1}$. Hence $\mathcal{I}'$ is $(a-1)$-regular, i.e. $H^i(\mathcal{I}'(n)) = 0$ for all $n \geq a-1-i$ and $i \geq 1$ [**G1**, Lemma 2.9]. From (1.18) one gets the exact sequence

$$H^{i-1}(\mathcal{I}'(n-1)) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{I}(n-1)) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{I}(n)) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathcal{I}'(n))$$

where the first term and the last term vanish, if $n \geq (a-1)-(i-1)$ and $i-1 \geq 1$. It follows that $H^i(\mathcal{I}(n-1)) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{I}(n))$, if $n \geq a-i$ and $i \geq 2$. As $H^i(\mathcal{I}(n)) = (0)$, if $i \geq 1$ and $n \gg 0$

$$H^i(\mathcal{I}(n)) = (0), \quad \text{if } n \geq a-i-1 \text{ and } i \geq 2 \tag{1.19}$$

follows. On the other hand one has the exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(n)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}(n)) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{I}(n))$$

where the first term vanishes if $n \geq 0$ and the last term vanishes if $n \geq a-3$. As $\dim C = 1$, one has $H^i(\mathcal{F}(n)) = (0)$ if $i \geq 2$, hence

$$\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{F}) \leq a-2\,. \tag{1.20}$$

1.5.1.2. Let $Y/k$ be a noetherian scheme, $\mathfrak{X} = Y \times_k \mathbb{P}^3_k$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ an ideal such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}$ is flat over $Y$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - Q(n)$ in each fibre. According to (1.20) $\mathcal{F} \otimes k(y)$ is $(a-2)$-regular and hence $H^1(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y)) = (0)$ for all $n \geq a-3$, $y \in Y$. From [**M2**, Cor. 1, p. 51] it follows that $\pi_*(\mathcal{F}(n)) \otimes k(y) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y))$ for all $n \geq a-3$, $y \in Y$, where $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to Y$ is the projection. From [**M2**, Cor. 2, p. 52] it follows that

$$\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n) \text{ is locally free of rank } P(n) \text{ on } Y, \text{ for all } n \geq a-3\,. \tag{1.21}$$

The assertion concerning the rank follows from $H^i(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y)) = (0)$, for all $i \geq 2$, $y \in Y$. From [**M2**, Cor. 1, p. 51] and (1.20) it follows that

$$R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{F}(n)) \otimes k(y) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y)) = (0)$$

if $n \geq a-3$ and $y \in Y$. By Nakayama, this implies $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n) = (0)$ for all $n \geq a-3$. The same argumentation shows that $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n) = (0)$ for all $i \geq 2$ and all $n$. All in all, we get

LEMMA 1.1. *$\mathcal{F}$ is $(a-2)$-regular, $\mathcal{F}_n := \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ is locally free on $Y$ of rank $P(n)$ and hence $\mathcal{M}_n := \bigwedge^{P(n)} \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ is a line bundle on $Y$ for all $n \geq a-3$.* □

**1.5.2. $\mathcal{M}_n^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ globally generated.** We consider the curve case of the last section: $Y/k$ noetherian scheme, $P = k[x,y,z,t]$, $S = k[x,y,z]$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{H}(Y)$, i.e. a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \hookrightarrow & Y \times \mathbb{P}^3 = \mathfrak{X} \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow_{p} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a flat curve over $Y$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - Q(n)$, defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}$ the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{I}_n := p_*\mathcal{I}(n)$, $\mathcal{F}_n := p_*\mathcal{F}(n)$, $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_k P$, $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_k S$.

We consider linear forms $\ell \in P_1$ of the form $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$. For each such $\ell$

$$U(\ell) := \left\{ y \in Y \;\middle|\; \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes k(y) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y) \text{ is injective} \right\}$$

is an open subset of $Y$ (possibly empty). The openness follows from [**G3**, Lemma 1 and 2], for example. If $\mathcal{F}(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} \mathcal{F}$ is injective and $\ell \in P_1$, then we write $\ell \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F})$.

AUX-LEMMA 1.3. *For each $y \in Y(k)$ there are Zariski-many $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $y \in U(\ell)$.*

PROOF. Let $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, be an open, affine covering of $Y$. Put $\mathcal{I} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} p_*\mathcal{I}(n)$, $I^i := \mathcal{I} \otimes A_i$, $I := \mathcal{I} \otimes k(y)$. Let $\mathcal{P}^i_j$ be the associated prime ideals of $I^i$, which are different from $P_+ \otimes A_i$, $1 \leq j \leq r(i)$; let $\mathcal{P}^0_j$ be the associated prime ideals of $I^0 := I$, which are different from $P_+ \otimes k(y)$, $1 \leq j \leq r(0)$.

For the moment, we fix the index $i$. Then

$$V^i_j := \left\{ (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in k^3 \;\middle|\; \ell := \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t \in \mathcal{P}^i_j \cap P_1 \right\}$$

is a closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^3_k$. It is different from $\mathbb{A}^3_k$, because otherwise $\mathcal{P}^i_j = P_+ \otimes A_i$, respectively $\mathcal{P}^0_j = P_+ \otimes k(y)$ would follow. Hence $W^i_j := \mathbb{A}^3_k - V^i_j$ is open and non-empty in $\mathbb{A}^3_k$, hence the same is true for

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{r(0)} W^0_j \bigcap_i \bigcap_{j=1}^{r(i)} W^i_j \,.$$

If one puts

$$L_i(y) := \left\{ \ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t \;\middle|\; y \in U(\ell) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \text{ is injective} \right\},$$

then it follows that $L_i(y) \subset \mathbb{A}^3_k$ is non-empty and Zariski-open, hence the same is true for $L(y) := \bigcap_1^m L_i(y)$. □

Aux-Lemma 1.4. *There are finitely many $\ell_i = \alpha_i x + \beta_i y + \gamma_i z + t \in P_1$, $1 \le i \le m$, such that $Y = \bigcup_1^m U(\ell_i)$, and for each $\ell \in \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m\}$ one has:*

*(a)*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'_n \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact on $Y$ and $\mathcal{F}'_n := p_*(\mathcal{F}(n)/\ell\mathcal{F}(n-1))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ for all $n \ge a-2$.*

*(b) If $U := U(\ell)$, then $\mathcal{F}'_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is locally free on $U$ of rank $(a-1) = d$ for all $n$.*

Proof. To each $y \in Y(k)$ choose a linear form $\ell_y \in L(y)$. Then $U(\ell_y)$ is an open neighborhood of $y$ and finitely many such neighborhoods cover $Y$. The assertion (a) is true for each $\ell \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F})$ according to Aux-Lemma 1.2b, because $\mathcal{F}$ is $(a-2)$-regular (cf. Lemma 1.1).

As to assertion (b), let $y \in U(\ell)$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}(n-1) \otimes k(y)) \xrightarrow{\ell} H^0(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}'(n) \otimes k(y)) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for $n \ge a-3$, as $\mathcal{F} \otimes k(y)$ is $(a-2)$-regular (cf. (1.20)). As has been noted in Section 1.5.1.2, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_n \otimes k(y) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y))$, if $n \ge a-3$. It follows that for $n \ge a-2$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes k(y) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F}_n \otimes k(y) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \otimes k(y) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. The local flatness criterion then shows that $\mathcal{F}'_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ of rank $P'(n)$, if $n \ge a-2$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. As $\mathcal{F}'$ is 0-regular, it follows that $\mathcal{F}'_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is locally free. □

**N.B.** Whereas $\mathcal{F}'_n$ is locally free over $U(\ell)$ of rank $d = (a-1)$ for all $n$, this is true for $\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ only if $n \ge a-2$, as $\mathcal{F}'_n \simeq \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ is, in general, only true for $n \ge a-2$.

Now let be $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $U := U(\ell) \neq \emptyset$ (e.g., one can choose $\ell \in \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m\}$ as in Aux-Lemma 1.4). We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & \mathcal{S}_n & & \\ & & & & & \swarrow^{\kappa} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{F}_n & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{F}'_n & \longrightarrow & 0\,. \end{array}$$

Here $n \geq a-2$, $\varphi$ is the multiplication with $\ell$, $\psi$ is the canonical map and $\pi$ is the composed map

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_k S_n \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} \mathcal{P}_n/\ell\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}'_n\,.$$

$\kappa$ is the composed map $\mathcal{S}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} \mathcal{F}_n$.
(**N.B.** $\mathcal{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ is not necessarily surjective, if $n \leq b-1$.) Now $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}/\ell\mathcal{F}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{I}'$, where $\mathcal{I}' \simeq \mathcal{I}+\ell\mathcal{P}(-1)/\ell\mathcal{P}(-1)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{S}$. $\mathcal{F}'$ is flat over $U$ with rank $d$, hence $\mathcal{I}'$ is flat over $U$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q'(n) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-(a-1)+1}{1}$.Thus $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{I}'(n)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U = (0)$, if $n \geq a-2$, and it follows that:

$$\mathcal{S}_n \to \mathcal{F}'_n \quad \text{is surjective on } U \text{ for } n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.22}$$

We consider for $y \in U$ a sufficiently small open, affine neighborhood $V \subset U$ and we argue as follows: $z \in \mathcal{F}_n(V) \Rightarrow \psi(z) = \pi(y) = \psi\kappa(y)$ for an element $y \in \mathcal{S}_n \Rightarrow z - \kappa(y) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\psi|V) = \mathrm{Im}(\varphi|V) \Rightarrow z - \kappa(y) = \varphi(x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(V)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{S}_n &\to \mathcal{F}'_n \qquad \text{with} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \varphi(x) + \kappa(y) \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

is a globally defined homomorphism which is surjective on $U$.

Put $p = P(n-1)$, $d = P'(n)$. One defines $\varphi_\ell$ by means of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{p+d}(\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{S}_n) & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{p+d}\mathcal{F}_n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_\ell} & \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{i+j \\ =p+d}} \bigwedge^i \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathcal{S}_n & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ \bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathcal{S}_n & & \end{array}$$

$\varphi_\ell$ is a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules and the horizontal map is surjective on $U = U(\ell)$. Then Aux-Lemma 1.4 says that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and $\mathcal{F}'_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is locally free over $U$ of rank $d$, if $n \geq a-2$. Let $y \in U$ and let $V = \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of $y$ in $U$. Put $F_n = \mathcal{F}_n \otimes A$,

$F'_n = \mathcal{F}'_n \otimes A$. Then one has a commutative diagram, with lower row an exact sequence of free $A$-modules, if $n \geq a-2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & S_n \otimes A & & \\ & & & & & \swarrow^{\kappa} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & F_n & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F'_n & \longrightarrow & 0\,. \end{array}$$

$F'_n \otimes k(y)$ has a basis over $k(y)$, which consists of monomials $m_1, \ldots, m_d \in S_n$. Then they form a basis of the free $A_y$-module $F'_n \otimes A_y$. It follows that there is an element $f \in A$ such that $y \in D(f)$ and the images of $m_1, \ldots, m_d$ generate the free $A_f$-module $F'_n \otimes A_f$, and hence form a basis of this module. Replacing $V$ by $D(f)$ one can achieve that $m_1, \ldots, m_d$ are monomials of $S_n$, such that $\pi(m_1), \ldots, \pi(m_d)$ is a basis of the free $A$-module $F'_n$.

We now describe the homomorphism $\varphi_\ell$. Let $L$ and $M$ be free $A$-modules of rank $p$, resp. $d$, and $\alpha : L \to N$, $\beta : M \to N$ homomorphisms of $A$-modules.
Define $\gamma : L \oplus M \to N$ by $(x, y) \mapsto \alpha(x) + \beta(y)$. Then

$$\wedge\gamma : \bigwedge^{p+d} L \oplus M \to \bigwedge^{p+d} N$$

operates by

$$\gamma(z_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge z_{p+d}) = \gamma(z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma(z_{p+d})\,.$$

Hence one has a homomorphism

$$\bigwedge^{p} L \otimes \bigwedge^{d} M \rightarrowtail \bigoplus_{i+j=p+d} \bigwedge^{i} L \otimes \bigwedge^{j} M \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^{p+d} L \oplus M \to \bigwedge^{p+d} N$$

which can be described by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d &\mapsto x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d \\ &\mapsto \gamma(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma(y_d) = \alpha(x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(x_p) \wedge \beta(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta(y_d)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to $\varphi_\ell$ gives:

$$\varphi_\ell(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d) = \ell x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell x_p \wedge \kappa(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \kappa(y_d)\,.$$

We now choose $V = \operatorname{Spec} A$ so small that $F_{n-1}$ has an $A$-basis $n_1, \ldots, n_p$ and we define $s : F'_n \to F_n$ by $s(\pi(m_i)) := \kappa(m_i)$, which is possible as $\pi(m_i), 1 \leq i \leq d$, is a basis of $F'_n$. It follows that $\psi \circ s \circ \pi(m_i) = \psi \circ \kappa(m_i) = \pi(m_i)$, which means that $s$ is a section of $\psi$ over $A$. Hence $\{\ell n_1, \ldots, \ell n_p, \kappa(m_1), \ldots, \kappa(m_d)\}$ is an $A$-basis of $F_n$ and

$$\varphi_\ell(n_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge n_p \wedge m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_d) = \ell n_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell n_p \wedge \kappa(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \kappa(m_d)\,.$$

As this element is a basis of $\bigwedge^{p+d} F_n$, it follows that $\varphi_\ell$ is surjective in a neighborhood of $y \in U(\ell)$, hence is surjective on $U(\ell)$.

Now let $\ell_i$, $1 \le i \le m$, be as in the Aux-Lemma 1.4. We define a homomorphism

$$\sigma : \bigoplus_1^m \bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathcal{S}_n \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p+d} \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{by}$$

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto \sum_1^m \varphi_{\ell_i}(x_i), \quad \text{where } x_i \in \bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathcal{S}_n \,.$$

As $\varphi_{\ell_i}$ is surjective on $U(\ell_i)$ and the $U(\ell_i)$ cover $Y$, $\sigma$ is surjective. Now

$$\bigoplus_1^m \bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d \mathcal{S}_n \simeq \bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes_Y \mathcal{E} \,,$$

where $\mathcal{E} := \bigoplus_1^m \bigwedge^d \mathcal{S}_n \simeq E \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $E := \bigoplus_1^m \bigwedge^d S_n$. One obtains a surjective homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules

$$\bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes_Y E \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \to \bigwedge^{p+d} \mathcal{F}_n \,.$$

If it is tensored with $\left(\bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)^{-1}$, one gets a surjective homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules

$$E \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \left(\bigwedge^p \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes_Y \left(\bigwedge^{p+d} \mathcal{F}_n\right) . \tag{1.24}$$

LEMMA 1.2. *$\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is a globally generated line bundle on $Y$ if $n \ge a - 2$.* □

### 1.5.3. Some proporties of determinants (after Fogarty and Mumford).

1.5.3.1. Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $M$ an $A$-module of finite type. $M$ has finite Tor-dimension, if there is a finite projective resolution of $M$. With somewhat different terminology, this is denoted as $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_A M < \infty$. It is known that

$$\operatorname{proj\,dim}_A M = \min \left\{ \, n \in N \;\middle|\; \operatorname{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = (0) \text{ for all } A\text{-modules } N \, \right\} .$$

REMARK 1.4. If $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $A$-modules of finite type and any two of the modules have finite projective dimension, then the third module has finite projective dimension, too. This follows from the exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M'', N) \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \to \operatorname{Ext}_A^i(M', N) \to \cdots$$

REMARK 1.5. (see [**F1**, p. 66]) If $0 \to \mathcal{F}_1 \stackrel{\varphi_1}{\to} \mathcal{F}_2 \stackrel{\varphi_2}{\to} \mathcal{F}_3 \stackrel{\varphi_3}{\to} \mathcal{F}_4 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with finite projective dimension, then

$$\operatorname{Inv}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes \operatorname{Inv}(\mathcal{F}_2)^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{Inv}(\mathcal{F}_3) \otimes \operatorname{Inv}(\mathcal{F}_4)^{-1} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_X \,.$$

To prove this, one splits the exact sequence into the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi_3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_4 \longrightarrow 0 \,.$$

According to Remark 1.4, all modules occurring in the exact sequences have finite projective dimension and then formula (i) in [**F1**, p. 67] gives the above assertion.

LEMMA 1.3. *If*

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathcal{F}_3 \to \mathcal{G} \to 0$$

*is an exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, $\mathcal{F}_i$ locally free on $X$ of rank $r_i$ and $\mathcal{G}_x = (0)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Ass}(\mathcal{O}_X)$, then there is an injective homomorphism*

$$\sigma : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \big(\bigwedge^{r_2} \mathcal{F}_2\big)^{-1} \otimes \bigwedge^{r_3} \mathcal{F}_3 =: \mathcal{L}$$

*which has the following property: If $y \in X$ is any point such that $\mathcal{G}_y = (0)$, then the section $s = \sigma(1)$ generates the fiber $\mathcal{L}_y$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ be an associated point, i.e. a point $x \in X$ such that $\mathrm{depth}(x) = \mathrm{depth}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = 0$. By assumption $\mathcal{G}_x = (0)$, and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(k(x), \mathcal{G}_x) = (0)$ and $x$ is not an associated point of $\mathcal{G}$. Using the terminology of Fogarty, $\mathcal{G}$ is a torsion module and hence defines a canonical injective homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{G})$ (see [**F1**, Theorem 2.2 and property 1, p. 69]). The same argumentation as in Remark 1.5 shows that $\mathrm{proj\,dim}\,\mathcal{G} < \infty$ and, at the same time, the properties (i) and (ii) in [**F1**, p. 67] show that $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$.

Let $s := \sigma(1) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$. Then $s_x \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Let $U \subset X$ be an open and affine subset and $\varphi : \mathcal{L}|U \simeq \mathcal{O}_U$ an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_U$-modules. Then $f := \varphi(s)$ is a non-zero divisor of $\mathcal{O}_U$. If $U_i$ is a covering of $X$ by open affine subsets and $\varphi_i : \mathcal{L}|U_i \to U_i$ are isomorphisms, then the $f_i := \varphi(s)$ define an effective Cartier divisor. It is denoted by $\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{G})$ and one has that

$$\mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{G})) := \{\, x \in X \mid (f_i)_x \text{ is not a unit in } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \,\}$$

is contained in $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G})$ ([**M1**, Sec. 5.3]).

Now one has the following simple fact:

*Let $A$ be a local ring, $\varphi : L \simeq A$ an isomorphism of $A$-modules and $s \in L$. Then $\varphi(s)$ is not a unit in $A$ iff $s \cdot A \subsetneq L$.*

From this it follows that

$$\mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{G})) = \{\, x \in X \mid s_x \text{ does not generate the fiber } \mathrm{Div}(\mathcal{G})_x \,\}.$$

As mentioned above, this set is contained in $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G})$ and because of $\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{L}$ the assertion of the lemma follows. □

**1.5.4. Utilization of determinants.** We assume the case of curves as in Section 1.5.1. From Aux-Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.1 it follows that if $\ell, h \in P_1$ are sufficiently general

linear forms one has a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow h & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{F}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_n/\mathcal{F}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \mathcal{F}_{n+1}/h\mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}
\qquad (*)
$$

with exact rows and columns, for all $n \geq a-2$. Besides this, we consider finitely many given points $y_1, \ldots, y_r \in Y$. At first, there are Zariski-many $\ell \in P_1$ such that

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (\#)
$$

is an exact sequence and $(\#)\otimes k(y_i)$ is an exact sequence, too, for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $n \geq a-2$. This goes as in the proof of Aux-Lemma 1.3, because one can avoid not only the associated prime ideals of $\mathcal{I} \otimes A_i$ but also the associated prime ideals of $\mathcal{I} \otimes k(y_i)$. In any case, there are Zariski-many $\ell \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $y_i \in U(\ell)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. From Aux-Lemma 1.2 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}'_n \simeq \mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, if $n \geq a-2$. From Aux-Lemma 1.4, respectively from its proof, it follows that $(\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1})\otimes\mathcal{O}_U$ is flat on $U = U(\ell)$, if $n \geq a-2$.

Now fix such a linear form $\ell$. Then $\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is flat over $U$ with Hilbert polynomial $P'(n) = d$. The same argumentation as before, with $\mathcal{F}'\otimes\mathcal{O}_U$ instead of $\mathcal{F}$, shows that there are Zariski-many $h \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U)$ such that $y_i \in U(h)$. Hence there are Zariski-many $h \in \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathrm{NNT}(\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U)$ such that $y_i \in U(h)$, where $h$ operates on $\mathcal{F}$ and on $\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ by multiplication. From Aux-Lemma 1.2 it follows that $\pi_*((\mathcal{F}/h\mathcal{F}(-1))(n)) \simeq \mathcal{F}_n/h\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ over $Y$, and

$$
\pi_*((\mathcal{F}'/h\mathcal{F}'(-1))(n+1)) \simeq \mathcal{F}'_n/h\mathcal{F}'_{n-1} \simeq (\mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n)/h(\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n
$$

over $U$, if $n \geq a-2$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n$ is flat over $V = U \cap U(h)$, if $n \geq a-2$. All in all we get:

Aux-Lemma 1.5. *Let be $y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, finitely many points in $Y$, not necessarily closed. Then there are Zariski-many linear forms $\ell, h \in P_1$ such that $(*)$ is a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, for all $n \geq a-2$ and the same is true for the diagrams $(*)\otimes k(y_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.* □

For simplification, we put $K = k(y_i)$. Then $\dim(\mathcal{F}_n/\ell\mathcal{F}_{n-1})\otimes K = P(n) - P(n-1) = d$ for all $n \geq a-2$ (see the proof of Aux-Lemma 1.4, e.g.), hence $\dim(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n)\otimes K =$

0. It follows that $(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y_i} = (0)$ for all $n \geq a-2$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$. If one especially chooses the associated points of $Y$ among the $y_i$'s, it follows that

$$\mathcal{G}_{n+1} := \mathcal{F}_{n+1}/\ell\mathcal{F}_n + h\mathcal{F}_n \text{ is a torsion module, if } n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.25}$$

Now from the diagram $(*)$ one gets an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n \oplus \mathcal{F}_n \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\alpha(f) := (\ell f, -hf)$, $\beta(f,g) := hf + \ell g$ and $\gamma(f) := \bar{f}$. It remains exact, if it is tensored with $k(y_i)$. Now if $y \in Y$ is any point, which we count among the $y_i$'s, then $\mathcal{G}_y = (0)$ follows, i.e. $y \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{G})$. But then Lemma 1.3 says that there is an injective morphism $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_Y \to \mathcal{M}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ such that $s = \sigma(1)$ generates the fiber of this line bundle at the point $y$, hence generates the line bundle itself in an open neighborhood of $y$. We have proven:

LEMMA 1.4. *If $n \geq a-2$ then the line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ is globally generated.* □

### 1.5.5. Computation of intersection numbers.

1.5.5.1. We consider the universal curve $\mathbf{C}$ over $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_Q$, i.e., one has the same situation as in Section 1.5.1.2 with $Y = \mathbf{H}$.

Let $C \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbf{H}$ be a closed curve, which is contained in the open set $U(t)$ (cf. Section 1.5.2). Then one has a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X := C \times \mathbb{P}^3 & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & \mathbf{H} \times_k \mathbb{P}^3 \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & \mathbf{H} \end{array}$$

with $j = i \times \mathrm{id}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the structure sheaf of $\mathbf{C}$, then for $\mathcal{F} := j^*(\mathcal{F})$ the same statements as in 1.5.1.2 for $\mathcal{F}$ are true. As

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes k(y), \mathcal{F}(n) \otimes k(y)) = (0) \quad \text{if } n \geq a-3,$$

one has $p_*\mathcal{F}(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^*\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ for all $n \geq a-3$ (see the formula (1.20) and [**M2**, Cor. 1, p. 51]). The intersection number $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$ is equal to the power of $\nu$ in the polynomial $\chi(M_n^{\otimes\nu})$, where $M_n := \bigwedge^{P(n)} p_*(\mathcal{F}(n))$, if $n \geq a-3$, i.e. $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$ can be computed as the intersection number $(M_n \cdot C)$. As the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(n-1) \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{F}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and as $R^1p_*\mathcal{F}(n-1) = (0)$ for all $n \geq a-2$, one has the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_*\mathcal{F}(n-1) \longrightarrow p_*\mathcal{F}(n) \longrightarrow p_*\mathcal{F}'(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

for $n \geq a-2$. It follows that $M_n \simeq M_{n-1} \otimes M'_n$ where $M'_n := \bigwedge^d p_*\mathcal{F}(n-1)$ is line bundle as $\mathcal{F}'_n$ is locally free on $C$ (cf. Aux-Lemma 1.4). It follows that

$$(M_{n-1} \cdot C) = (M_n \cdot C) - (M'_n \cdot C), \quad n \geq a-2 \tag{1.26}$$

which also can be written as

$$(\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot C) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) - (\mathcal{M}'_n \cdot C), \quad n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.27}$$

Now $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times C}/\mathcal{I}'$, where $\mathcal{I}'$ is an ideal such that $\mathcal{I}' \otimes k(y)$ has the Hilbert polynomial $Q'(n) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-(a-1)+1}{1}$ for all $y \in C$. Hence $\mathcal{I}'$ is $(a-1)$-regular and $R^1p_*\mathcal{I}'(n) = (0)$ for all $n \geq a-2$. It follows that

$$0 \longrightarrow p_*\mathcal{I}'(n) \longrightarrow S_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow p_*\mathcal{F}'(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and $\mathcal{I}'_n := p_*\mathcal{I}'(n) \subset S_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ is a subbundle of rank $Q'(n)$, if $n \geq a-2$. It follows that

$$\bigwedge^{Q'(n)} \mathcal{I}'_n \otimes M'_n \simeq \mathcal{O}_C\,.$$

$L'_n := \bigwedge^{Q'(n)} \mathcal{I}'_n$ is a line bundle on $C$ and because of (1.27) it follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot C) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) + (L'_n \cdot C), \quad \text{if } n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.28}$$

1.5.5.2. We now consider the curves $C \in \{\, C_2, D, E = C_0 \,\}$, which all are contained in $U(t)$. Then $C \simeq \operatorname{Spec} k[\alpha] \cup \{\infty\}$.

Case 1:

$$C = C_2 = \left\{\, (x, y^{a-1}(\alpha y + z), y^{a-2}z^{b-a+1}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \,\right\}^-$$

In order to see that $\mathcal{I}'_n \otimes k[\alpha] = (x, y^{a-2}(\alpha y + z))_n$ if $n \geq a-2$, it suffices to note that the vector space on the right hand side is isomorphic to $(x, y^{a-2}z)_n$, which vector space has the dimension $Q'(n)$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{I}'_n \otimes k[\alpha] = xS_{n-1} \oplus y^{a-2}(\alpha y + z) \cdot k[y,z]_{n-a+1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq a-2.$$

If $n > a-2$, the map $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{I}' \otimes k[\alpha]$ is injective and hence $(L'_n \cdot C_2) = -(n-a+2)$ follows in this case (see [**T1**, Bemerkung 3, p. 11]). If $n = a-2$, the argumentation is as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}'_{a-2} \longrightarrow S_{a-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'_{a-2} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact on $\mathbb{P}^1$, as $\mathcal{I}'$ is $(a-1)$-regular. Now $h^0(\mathcal{I}'(a-2) \otimes k(y)) = Q'(a-2) = \binom{a-1}{2}$ for all $y \in C$, because of the $(a-1)$-regularity. It follows that $\mathcal{I}'_{a-2} \otimes k(y) = xS_{a-3} \otimes k(y)$ for all $y \in C$, hence $\mathcal{I}'_{a-2} = xS_{a-3} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_C$. But then from the exact sequence it follows that $\mathcal{F}'_{a-2}$ is also a constant sheaf on $C$, hence

$$(\mathcal{M}'_n \cdot C_2) = (n-a+2) \quad \text{for all } n \geq a-2. \tag{1.29}$$

Case 2:

$$C = D = \left\{\, (x^2, xy, y^{a-1}, z^{b-2a+4}(y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3})) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \,\right\}^-$$

One sees that

$$\mathcal{I}' \otimes k[\alpha] = (x^2, xy, y^{a-1}, y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3}).$$

To see that for all $n \geq a-2$ one has

$$\mathcal{I}'_n \otimes k[\alpha] = x^2 S_{n-2} \oplus xyk[y,z]_{n-2} \oplus y^{a-1}k[y,z]_{n-a+1} \oplus (y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3})k[z]_{n-a+2}$$

we compute the dimension of the vector space on the right as

$$\tbinom{n-2+2}{2} + (n-2+1) + (n-a+2) + 1 = Q'(n)$$

for all $n \geq a-2$. As $\mathcal{I}'$ is $(a-1)$-regular, the equality follows.

As $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{I}'_n \otimes k[\alpha]$ is injective for all $n \geq a-2$ from [**T1**, loc. cit.] it follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}'_n \cdot D) = 1 \quad \text{for all } n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.30}$$

Case 3:

$$C = E = \left\{ (x^2, xy, xz, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}, xt^{b-2} + \alpha y^{a-1}z^{b-a}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$$

One sees that $\mathcal{I}'_n \otimes k[\alpha]$ is a constant sheaf and that

$$(\mathcal{M}'_n \cdot E) = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \geq a-2\,. \tag{1.31}$$

**Intersection numbers of $E$**

From (1.28) and (1.31) we get

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot E) = 1, \quad \text{if } n \geq a-3\,.$$

**Intersection numbers of $C_1$**

In Section 1.2 we had obtained that $[D] = (a-2)[E] + [C_1]$. It follows that $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D_2) - (a-2)$ if $n \geq a-3$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot C_1) &= (\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot D) - (a-2), \quad n \geq a-2 \\
&= (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D) + (L'_n \cdot D) - (a-2) \quad \text{(cf. (1.28))} \\
&= (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D) - 1 - (a-2) \quad \text{(cf. (1.30))} \\
&= (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) - 1 \quad n \geq a-2\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) = (n-b+1)$ for $n \geq b$ (see [**T2**, p. 134]), we get

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1) = (n-b+1) \quad \text{for all } n \geq a-3\,.$$

**Intersection numbers of $C_2$**

From (1.27) and (1.29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_{b-1} \cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_b \cdot C_2) - (b-a+2) \\
(\mathcal{M}_{b-2} \cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_{b-1} \cdot C_2) - (b-1-a+2) \\
&\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\
(\mathcal{M}_{a-3} \cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_{a-2} \cdot C_2) - (a-2-a+2)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{M}_{a-3}\cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_b\cdot C_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{b-a+2} i\\ &= \tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + (b-b+1) - \tbinom{b-a+3}{2}\\ &= -\left[\tbinom{b+1-a+2}{2} - \tbinom{b-a+2}{2}\right] + 1\\ &= -(b+1-a+1)+1 = (a-b-1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, using (1.27) and (1.29):

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}\cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2) - (n-a+2)\\ (\mathcal{M}_{n-2}\cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_{n-1}\cdot C_2) - (n-1-a+2)\\ &\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\\ (\mathcal{M}_{a-3}\cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_{a-2}\cdot C_2) - (a-2-a+2)\end{aligned}$$

Summation gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{M}_{a-3}\cdot C_2) &= (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-a+2} i, \quad \text{hence}\\ (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2) &= \tbinom{n-a+3}{2} + (a-b+1)\\ &= \tbinom{n+1-a+2}{2} + (a-b+1)\\ &= \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + \tbinom{n+1-a+1}{1} + (a-b+1)\\ &= \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

All in all we have

LEMMA 1.5. *For all $n \geq a-3$ one has*

$$(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot E) = 1, \quad (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_1) = (n-b+1) \text{ and } (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2) = \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\,.$$

□

**1.5.6. Globally generated line bundles on H.** By Lemma 1.2 the line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{M}_{a-3}^{-1}\otimes\mathcal{M}_{a-2}$ is g.g. (globally generated). From Lemma 1.5 we deduce that $(\mathcal{L}\cdot E)=0$, $(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_1)=1$ and $(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_2)=0$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}\equiv\mathcal{L}_1$ in NS(**H**), where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the line bundle introduced in Section 1.4.

By Lemma 1.4 the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_{n-1}\otimes\mathcal{M}_n^{-2}\otimes\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ is g.g. for all $n \geq a-3$. Using the formulas of Lemma 1.5 we get

$$(\mathcal{L}\cdot E) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{L}\cdot C_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{L}\cdot C_2) = 1\,,$$

from which we deduce that $\mathcal{L}\equiv\mathcal{L}_2$ in NS(**H**), where $\mathcal{L}_2$ is defined in Section 1.4.

Finally we compute

$$(\mathcal{M}_{b-1}\cdot E) = 1, \quad (\mathcal{M}_{b-1}\cdot C_1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{M}_{b-1}\cdot C_2) = \tbinom{b-a+1}{2}\,,$$

and we get

PROPOSITION 1.3. *The (residue classes in* $\mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{H})$ *of the) line bundles* $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\rho}$, $\rho := \binom{b-a+1}{2}$ *are globally generated.* □

Now according to Theorem 1.3(i) each line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathbf{H}$ can be written (modulo $\mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$) in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2} = \mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_0\rho} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2 - \nu_0\rho}$$

with $\nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

COROLLARY 1.4. *If all* $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\nu_2 \geq \nu_0\rho$, *then (the residue class in* $\mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{H})$ *of)* $\mathcal{L}_0^{\nu_0} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2}$ *is globally generated.* □

COROLLARY 1.5. *If* $\mathbf{H} = H_{3,0}$, *then* $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_2$ *are globally generated and* $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H})$ *is freely generated by* $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$.

PROOF. If $d = 3$, $g = 0$ one has $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-4+2}{2} + \binom{n-4+1}{1}$ hence $\rho = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are g.g. by the Proposition. Moreover, in this case $H^1(\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}}) = (0)$, hence $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathrm{NS}(\mathbf{H}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ (see [**T2**, last line on p. 137] and Theorem II in Section 1.1). □

CHAPTER 2

# Subcones of the cone of curves

The aim is the description of those curves, which lie on subcones of $A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$. This is not possible for all subcones and "description" is to be understood in a weak sense, only. In this chapter $P = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $S = k[x, y, z]$.

## 2.1. Limits of 1–cycles

**2.1.1. Limits of points.** We first introduce some notations: $\sigma(\lambda)$ (resp. $\tau(\lambda)$) denotes the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$ (resp. $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $t \mapsto t$). $\delta_\alpha^i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, denotes the $\mathbb{G}_a$-operations which are defined by the following matrices

$$\delta_\alpha^1 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_\alpha^2 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_\alpha^3 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta_\alpha^4 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_\alpha^5 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_\alpha^6 \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let be $\xi \in U(t) \subset \mathbf{H}$, and $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \in \mathbf{H}_\Phi(k)$, i.e. the of $\mathcal{J}$ is $\Phi$. Then

$$\xi_0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}_0 \in G_\Phi(k)$$

(see Appendix G for definition and notation). One can write $H^0(\mathcal{J}_0(n)) = \bigoplus_0^n t^{n-i} U_i$, $U_i \subset S_i$ subspaces with $S_1 U_i \subset U_{i+1}$ for all $i$. As $\xi \in U(t)$ one has $\sigma(\lambda)\xi \in U(t)$. Let $r : U(t) \to H^d := \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}_k^2)$ be the restriction morphism with respect to the variable $t$. Define $\xi' := r(\xi) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \in H^d(k)$. Then $\xi' = r(\sigma(\lambda)\xi)$ for all $\lambda \in k^*$, hence $r(\xi_0) = \xi'$ and $U_n = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ for all $n \geq \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{J})$. By applying a suitable linear transformation $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4; k)$, which leaves $t$ invariant, one can achieve that $r(g(\xi)) \in U(z) \subset H^d$. In the statement of the lemma (see below) it will become clear that one can assume without restriction $\mathcal{I} \in U(z)$. Let $\mathcal{I}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ be the ideal which is generated by $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}^*(n)) = \bigoplus_0^n t^{n-i} H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}(i))$. Because of $U_i \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}(i))$, one has $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}^*$. Put $\mathcal{I}_0 := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tau(\lambda)\mathcal{I}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_0$ has the same Hilbert function $\varphi$ as $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}'$ (see Appendix G).

Let $\mathcal{L} := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tau(\lambda)\mathcal{J}_0$. Then $H^0(\mathcal{L}(n)) = \bigoplus_0^n t^{n-i} L_i$, where $L_i := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tau(\lambda) U_i$, and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{I}_0^*$ has finite colength.

$\mathcal{J}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are invariant under the subgroup $\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&*\\ 0&1&0&*\\ 0&0&1&*\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ of $U(4;k)$. As $U_i$ and $L_i$ have the same dimension, $\mathcal{L} \in G_\Phi(k)$ follows. As $\mathcal{I}_0$ is invariant under the subgroup $\gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&*\\ 0&1&*\\ 0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ of $U(3;k)$, one has $\mathcal{I}_0 \in G_\varphi(k)$. (As to the notation, see Appendix G.)

Let $c$ be the colength of $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{I}_0^*$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Quot}^c(\mathcal{I}_0^*)$. Define $\mathcal{L}^1 := \lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^3(\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L}^2 := \lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^5(\mathcal{L}^1)$. As $\mathcal{I}_0$ is fixed by $\gamma$, $\mathcal{L}^1$ and $\mathcal{L}^2$ are in $\mathfrak{X}(k)$. Noted in a somewhat more explicit way, one has

$$H^0(\mathcal{L}^1(n)) := \bigoplus_0^n t^{n-i} L_i^1\,, \qquad \text{where} \quad L_i^1 := \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^3(L_i)\,.$$

As $\dim L_i^1 = \dim L_i$, one has $\mathcal{L}^1 \in G_\Phi(k)$. In the same way

$$H^0(\mathcal{L}^2(n)) := \bigoplus_0^n t^{n-i} L_i^2\,, \qquad L_i^2 := \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^5(L_i^1)\,.$$

As $\delta_\alpha^3$ and $\delta_\alpha^5$ commute, $\mathcal{L}^2$ is invariant under $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$.

Put $\mathcal{N} := \lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^4(\mathcal{I}_0)$. As $\mathcal{I}_0 \in U(z)$ (loc. cit.) we can write $H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n)) = \bigoplus_0^n z^{n-i} V_i$, where $V_i \subset R_i$, $R = k[x,y]$, are subspaces such that $R_1 V_i \subset V_{i+1}$ for all $i$. It follows that $H^0(\mathcal{N}(n)) = \bigoplus_0^n z^{n-i} W_i$, where $W_i := \lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^4(V_i)$. As $W_i \subset R_i$ is invariant under $U(2;k)$ and $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, it follows that $W_i$ is $B(2;k)$-invariant, especially is generated by monomials. As $\mathcal{I}_0$ is fixed by $\gamma$ and $\gamma$ is normalized by $\delta_\alpha^4$, the ideal $\delta_\alpha^4(\mathcal{I}_0)$ is fixed by $\gamma$ for all $\alpha \in k$, hence $\mathcal{N}$ is fixed by $\gamma$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}$ is fixed by $B(3;k)$ and hence $\mathcal{N}^*$ is fixed by $B(4;k)$. As $\mathcal{L}^2$ is fixed by $\gamma$, the ideal $\delta_\alpha^4(\mathcal{L}^2)$ is fixed by $\gamma$ for all $\alpha \in k$, hence $\mathcal{K} := \lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} \delta_\alpha^4(\mathcal{L}^2)$ is fixed by $\gamma$ and $\delta_\alpha^4$, hence fixed by $U(3;k)$. By construction, $\mathcal{K}$ is invariant under $\sigma(\lambda)$ and lies in $U(t)$, hence $\mathcal{K}$ is $\Gamma$-invariant, hence $\mathcal{K}$ is $U(4;k)$-invariant. Moreover by construction, the Hilbert functions of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}^1$, $\mathcal{L}^2$ and $\mathcal{K}$ still are equal to $\Phi$.

Besides the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operations $\sigma$ and $\tau$ introduced above, we consider the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operations

$$\sigma_1 : x \mapsto \lambda x, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z, t \mapsto t \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 : x \mapsto x, y \mapsto \lambda y, z \mapsto z, t \mapsto t.$$

We form

$$\mathcal{K}^1 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma_1(\lambda)\mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{K}^2 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma_2(\lambda)\mathcal{K}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \tau(\lambda)\mathcal{K}^2\,.$$

By construction, $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}^1$, $\mathcal{L}^2$, $\mathcal{K}$ are invariant under $\sigma(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $T(4;k)$. As $\mathcal{K}$ is $U(4;k)$-invariant and $U(4;k)$ is normalized by $T(4;k)$, $\mathcal{K}^1$, $\mathcal{K}^2$, $\mathcal{M}$ are $U(4;k)$-invariant, hence $\mathcal{M}$ is $B(4;k)$-invariant. Now by construction, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{N}^*$, hence $\mathcal{K}^1$, $\mathcal{K}^2$, $\mathcal{M}$ are contained in $\mathcal{N}^*$, too. And again by construction, all the Hilbert functions of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}^1$, $\mathcal{L}^2$, $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}^1$, $\mathcal{K}^2$, $\mathcal{M}$ are equal to the Hilbert function $\Phi$ of $\mathcal{J}$. Hence $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}^*$ again has the colength $c$ and $r(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{N}$. Finally the Hilbert function $\varphi$ of $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{I}$ is equal to the Hilbert function of $\mathcal{N}$, as $\dim W_i = \dim V_i$.

**2.1.2. Limits of integral curves.** We first recall the construction in the proof of [**T1**, Lemma 1, p. 6].

Let $X/k$ be a projective scheme. If $\psi : \mathbb{G}_a \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(X)$ is a homomorphism and $A$ is a $k$-algebra, then we denote the image of $\alpha \in A$ in $\mathrm{Aut}_k(X \otimes A)$ by $\psi_\alpha$.

Let $C \subset X$ be an integral (i.e. a closed, irreducible, reduced) curve and $p$ the Hilbert polynomial of $C$ with regard to any closed embedding of $X$ into a projective space. Then $\alpha \mapsto \psi_\alpha(C)$ defines a morphism $\mathbb{G}_a \to \mathcal{H} := \mathrm{Hilb}^p(X)$, which has a unique extension $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{H}$. This gives a family $\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{P}^1$ and a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & X \times_k \mathcal{H} \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

such that $\pi$ is flat and surjective. As $C \otimes k[t]$ is irreducible and reduced, the generic fibre $\psi_t(C)$, where $t \in K$ and $K$ denotes the quotient field of $k[t]$, is reduced and irreducible. From general properties of flat morphisms it follows that $\mathcal{C} \subset X \times_k \mathbb{P}^1$ is reduced and irreducible, too. One has $\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(\alpha) = \psi_\alpha(C)$, if $\alpha \neq \infty$; $\mathcal{C}_\infty := \pi^{-1}(\infty) =: C_\infty =: \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha(C)$. As $\mathcal{C}$ has the dimension two, it follows that $[C] = [C_\infty]$ in $A_1(X)$.

If $x \in C(k)$, then $(C, x) \in I := \mathrm{Incidence}(\mathcal{H} \times_k X)$ is an integral curve in $X \times_k X$ with Hilbert polynomial $p$. $\mathbb{G}_a$ operates by $(C, x) \mapsto (\psi_\alpha(C), \psi_\alpha(x))$ on $I$. The limit curve $\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha(C, x)$ is contained in $I$ and is equal to $(C_\infty, x_\infty)$, where $x_\infty := \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha(x)$ is formed in $\mathrm{Hilb}^1(X) = X$ (see [**T2**, Bemerkung 3, p. 127]).

If one has a homomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathrm{Aut}_k(X)$, an analogous construction gives two limit curves $C_{0/\infty} = \lim_{\lambda\to 0/\infty} \sigma(\lambda)C \subset X$, such that $[C] = [C_0] = [C_\infty]$.

Conclusion 2.1. The incidence "curve, point" is preserved, if one performs the limit curves under the $\mathbb{G}_a$- or $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. □

**2.1.3. Limits of connected cycles.** If $C \in Z_1(X)$, then one can write $C = \sum m_i C_i$, where $C_i \subset X$ are the prime components, i.e. different integral curves in $X$, and $m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We say that $C$ is a connected 1-cycle, if $|C| := \bigcup C_i$ is a connected curve in $X$. If one puts $C_\infty := \sum m_i (C_i)_\infty$, then $[C] = [C_\infty]$, and if $x$ is a closed point in $C_i \cap C_j$, then Conlcusion 2.1 shows that $x_\infty \in (C_i)_\infty \cap (C_j)_\infty$. Now the limits of the prime components are not necessarily integral curves, but they still are connected curves by "the principle connectedness" (cf. [**H**, Ex. III 11.4]).

Conclusion 2.2. The limit of an connected cycle under the $\mathbb{G}_a$- or $\mathbb{G}_m$-action is a connected cycle. □

**2.1.4.** Let $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ be a connected curve and $\xi \in C(k)$ a point, which fulfills the above assumptions, i.e. $\xi \in U(t)$ and $r(\xi) \in U(z)$. We form the limit under the operations $\sigma$, $\tau$, $\delta^3_\alpha$, $\delta^5_\alpha$, $\delta^4_\alpha$, $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, $\tau$, one after the other in this order, and arrive at the limit $D$, where $D$ is a connected 1-cycle in $\mathbf{H}$, $[C] = [D]$, $\xi_* \in D(k)$ is $B(4; k)$-invariant and the Hilbert

functions of the ideals belonging to $\xi$ and $\xi_*$ are equal. Then one carries out with $D$ the usual construction, i.e. one forms the limits under $\delta_\alpha^i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, and $\sigma$, $\tau$, $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, and finally arrives at a $B(4;k)$-invariant connected cycle $C_*$ such that $[C] = [C_*]$ and $\xi_* \in C_*$.

**2.1.5.**

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ be a connected 1-cycle and $\xi \in C(k)$. Then there is a $B(4;k)$-invariant connected 1-cycle $C_* \subset \mathbf{H}$ and a $B(4;k)$-invariant point $\xi_* \in C_*(k)$ such that:*

*(i)* $[C] = [C_*]$
*(ii) If $h(\xi)$ and $h(\xi_*)$ are the Hilbert functions of the ideals, which correspond to the points $\xi$ and $\xi_*$, then $h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$.*
*(iii) $\xi$ and $\xi_*$ can be connected by a sequence of rational curves in $\mathbf{H}_\Phi$, where $\Phi = h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$.*
*(iv) There are Zariski-many $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4;k)$ such that the pair $(g(C), g(\xi))$ fulfills the statements (i)-(iii) and in addition one has $g(\xi) \in U(t)$ and $r(g(\xi)) \in U(z)$. In this case the Hilbert functions of the ideals belonging to $r(\xi)$ and $r(\xi_*)$ are equal, too.*

PROOF. If $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4;k)$, then $[C] = [g(C)]$, $h(\xi) = h(g(\xi))$ and $\xi$ and $g(\xi)$ can be connected by a sequence of rational curves in $\mathbf{H}_\Phi$. Then, for a general choice of $g$, one has $g(\xi) \in U(t)$ and $r(g(\xi)) \in U(z)$. Then the assertions follow from what has been proved in 2.1.1 – 2.1.4. □

We begin to determine the geometric properties of the subschemes $H_m$ and $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbf{H}$ (see Appendix C for definitions).

## 2.2. Cycles without $C_0$-component

**2.2.1. Algebraic cycles.** If $C$ is an algebraic cycle then $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_m \cdot \xi}$, where $\xi \in \mathbf{H}^\Delta(k)$. By Proposition 1.2, one can write $[C] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1]$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

AUX-LEMMA 2.1. *If $q_0 = 0$, then $C \subset H_m$.*

PROOF. From the argumentation in Section 1.3.2 it follows that without restriction $\rho_3 = 0$,

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = c + \gamma(n - b + 1)\,, \quad c = \frac{1}{\ell}\lambda\text{-}\deg\Big(\dot{\bigwedge}\sigma(\lambda)H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1))\Big)$$

and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma > 0$, where $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$, $t \mapsto t$. By assumption, $c = 0$, hence $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1))$ is invariant under $\mathbb{G}_m$. If $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{J}) < b$, then $\mathcal{J}$ would be $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant and $C$ would not be a curve. Hence $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{J}) = b$ and by the Corollary in Appendix C follows that $\xi \in H_m(k)$, hence $C \subset H_m$. □

**2.2.2. Combinatorial cycles.** 1° Let $C$ be a combinatorial cycle of type 1. Then Conclusion 1.1 in Section 1.3.1 gives $[C] = q_1[C_1] + q_0[C_0]$.

AUX-LEMMA 2.2. *If $q_0 = 0$, then $C \subset H_m$.*

PROOF. One has $C = \{\, \psi^1_\alpha(\xi) \mid \alpha \in k \,\}^-$, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$ and of type 1. Using the notations of 1.3.1.1 we had got

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \sum s_i(b - r_i) + \sum s_i(n - b + 1)$$

with $s_i > 0$. From the assumption it follows that $b - r_i = 0$ for all $i$. Hence there is at least one element $u \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(b))$ such that $u \notin P_1 \cdot H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1))$. It follows that $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) = b$ is maximal and hence $\xi \in H_m(k)$. □

2° Now let $C$ be a combinatorial cycle of type 2. Then one can write

$$[C] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1] + q_2[C_2]\,,$$

where each $q_i$ is a natural number (Prop. 1.1).

AUX-LEMMA 2.3. *If $q_0 = 0$, then $q_1 = 0$, too.*

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 1.2. □

3° Let $C$ be a combinatorial cycle of type 3. Then one can write $[C] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1]$, $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ (Conclusion 1.3).

AUX-LEMMA 2.4. *If $q_0 = 0$, then $C \subset H_m$.*

PROOF. Write $C = \{\, \psi^3_\alpha(\xi) \mid \alpha \in k \,\}^-$, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ of type 3. In the proof of Conclusion 1.3 we got $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = c + D(n - b + 1)$, where $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c = \alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} \psi^3_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1)))$. By assumption $c = 0$, hence $H^0(\mathcal{J}(b-1))$ is $B(4;k)$-invariant. If $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) < b$, then $\mathcal{J}$ would be $B(4;k)$-invariant, too. Hence $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}) = b$ and $\xi \in H_m(k)$. □

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ be a closed connected curve such that $[C] = q_1[C_1] + q_2[C_2]$, and $q_1 \neq 0$. Then $C \subset H_m$.*

PROOF. Clearly one can assume that $C$ is reduced and irreducible. Applying a suitable linear transformation, one can further assume without restriction that $C \cap U(t) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $C \not\subset H_m$. If $U := \mathbf{H} - H_m$, then $C \cap U(t) \cap U$ is not empty and we take a closed point $\xi$ of this set. By Lemma 2.1 there is a connected curve $C_* \sim \sum_1^s n_i D_i \sim C$ and a point $\xi_* \in C_*(k)$ such that each $D_i$ is a $B(4;k)$-invariant 1-prime cycle, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$. As $[D_i]$ has no $C_0$-component, from the Auxiliary Lemmas 2.1–2.4 it follows that either $D_i \subset H_m$ or $D_i$ is a combinatorial cycle of type 2 and in this case $[D_i] = n[C_2]$. Suppose that $D_i \subset H_m$ if $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $D_i \not\subset H_m$ if $r+1 \leq i \leq s$. As $q_1 \neq 0$ by assumption, one has $r \geq 1$. If $r + 1 \leq i \leq s$ and $D_i \not\subset H_m$, then $D_i \subset \mathbf{H} - H_m$ as all points in $D_i$ have the same Hilbert function, because $D_i$ is a combinatorial cycle of type 2. It follows that $C_*$ is disjoint union of $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_r$ and $D_{r+1} \cup \cdots \cup D_s$. As $C_*$ is connected, $D_i \subset H_m$

for all $i$ follows. But then $h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$ is maximal, hence $\xi \in H_m$ by the corollary in Appendix C. □

COROLLARY 2.1. *If $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is a connected curve such that $[C] = q_1[C_1]$, then $C \subset H_m$.* □

## 2.3. Cycles without $C_0$ and $C_1$ component

### 2.3.1.

AUX-LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose that $C$ is combinatorial cycle of type 2 such that $[C] = n \cdot [C_2]$, $n$ a natural number. Then $C \subset \mathcal{G}$.*

PROOF. We have to take up the notation and the argumentation as in Section 1.3.1.2, especially the proof of Corollary 1.2. As now $a \geq 4$ is supposed, only Case 1 can occur and one has $\tilde{g}(n) = 0$. It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is $B(4;k)$-invariant and $g(n) = r(n)$. Then (1.12) shows that $s > 0$, as $g(n)$ is not constant. It follows that $q_1 = 0$, hence (1.4) gives $a = \alpha + 1$. Now $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{J}') = \alpha$ (see [**T1**, p. 55]). As $\mathcal{J}' \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ has the colength $a - 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{J}'$ has the maximal possible Hilbert function (cf. Appendix C). From the $G_2$-invariance of $\mathcal{J}$ we deduce that $\mathcal{J}' = (x, y^r z^s)$, hence $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$.

Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$. Then we take away in the topmost layer of the outer shell of the pyramid $E(\mathcal{J})$ the monomial $M$, which has maximal $z$-degree. Then we add a monomial $m \in E(x\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)) - E(x\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(-1))$ such that $E(x\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(-1)) \cup m$ generates a $B(4;k)$-invariant ideal $x\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1(-1)$. Then $E(\mathcal{J}) - M \cup m$ generates an ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of type 2 and

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg\big(\dot{\bigwedge}\psi_\alpha^2 H^0(\mathcal{K}(n))\big) = \alpha\text{-}\deg\big(\dot{\bigwedge}\psi_\alpha^2 H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))\big) - \nu\,,$$

where $\nu$ is a positive natural number (e.g. see [**T1**, p. 13, last line]). If $D$ is the combinatorial cycles of type 2 which is defined by $\mathcal{K}$, then $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) - \nu$, hence $[D] = -\nu[C_0] + n[C_2]$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, contrary to Theorem 1.2. It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$.

From this we deduce that $\mathcal{J} = (x, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an ideal on $\operatorname{Proj}(k[y,z,t]) =: \mathbb{P}$ with Hilbert polynomial $\binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{G}(k)$, whence $C \subset \mathcal{G}$ (cf. Appendix C). □

**2.3.2.** Let $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ be an irreducible curve such that $[C] = n \cdot [C_2]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$. Assume $C \cap U(t) \neq \emptyset$. Take $\xi \in C \cap U(t)$. Then from Lemma 2.1 we deduce that

$$[C_*] = \sum n_i [D_i] = [C]\,,$$

where the $D_i$ are the $B(4;k)$-invariant prime components of $C_*$. Moreover there is a $B(4;k)$-invariant point $\xi_* \in C_*$ such that $h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$. No $D_i$ can have a $C_0$- or $C_1$-component, hence by 2.3.1 we get $D_i \subset \mathcal{G}$, hence $\xi_* \in \mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow \xi$, from $h(\xi) = h(\xi_*)$ it follows that $h^0(\mathcal{J}(1)) = 1$, hence $\mathcal{J}$ contains a linear form $\ell \in P_1$ from which we deduce that $\xi \in \mathcal{G}(k)$. As $C \cap U(t)$ is dense in $C$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbf{H}$ is closed, we get $C \subset \mathcal{G}$. If $C \cap U(t) = \emptyset$, we replace

$C$ by $g(C)$ such that $g(C) \cap U(t) \neq \emptyset$, $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4;k)$. Then $g(C) \subset \mathcal{G}$, hence $C \subset \mathcal{G}$. We get

LEMMA 2.2. *If $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is connected and $[C] \in \mathbb{Z} \cdot [C_2]$, then $C \subset \mathcal{G}$.* □

**2.3.3.** Suppose $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is an irreducible curve such that $[C] = s[C_2]$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 0$. Then $C \subset \mathcal{G}$ by Lemma 2.2. Now we consider the morphism

$$p : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^3), \quad c = b - a + 1\,,$$

defined by $(\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \longmapsto (\ell, \mathcal{K})$ (see Appendix C). Now

$$C_2 = \left\{ \, (x, y^{a-2}(\alpha y + z)(y, z^{b-a+1})) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \, \right\}^- \subset \mathcal{G}$$

and $p(C_2) = 1$ point. It follows that

$$p_*([C]) = \deg(p|C)\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \cdot p(C)\big) = (0)\,,$$

hence $p(C) = 1$ point, too.

Besides one has the morphism $\kappa : \mathcal{G} \to \mathrm{Hilb}_q(\mathbb{P}^3)$, $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-d+2}{2}$, $d = a - 1$, defined by $(\ell, f\mathcal{K}) \mapsto (\ell, f)$. As

$$\kappa(C_2) = \left\{ \, (x, y^{a-2}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \, \right\}^- \,,$$

one sees that $\kappa|C_2 : C_2 \to \kappa(C_2)$ is an isomorphism. If $\xi \in C(k)$ is any point, then we have shown that $\xi \leftrightarrow (\ell, f(\xi) \cdot \mathcal{K})$, where $f(\xi) \in [P/\ell P(-1)]_d$ depends on $\xi$, whereas $\ell$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are independent of $\xi$. But then it follows that the restriction $\kappa|C$ is injective, too. It follows that $[\kappa(C)] = s[\kappa(C_2)]$.

Choose any $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4;k)$ such that $g(\ell) = x$. Then $[\kappa(g(C))] = s[\kappa(C_2)]$. Now $\kappa(C_2)$ is a curve in $\operatorname{Proj} k[y,z,t] =: \mathbb{P}$ of degree 1, hence $\kappa(g(C)) \subset \mathbb{P}$ is a curve of degree $s$, and it follows that $\kappa(C) \subset \mathrm{Proj}(P/\ell P(-1))$ is a curve of degree $s$, too. We have proved one direction of the following:

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is an irreducible curve such that $[C] = s[C_2]$, then there is a linear form $\ell \in P_1$, an ideal $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, $\mathbb{P} := \mathrm{Proj}(P/\ell P(-1))$ of colength $c = b - a + 1$, and a curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}$ of degree $s$, such that*

$$C = \{ \, (\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \mid \langle f \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \, \}\,.$$

*If conversely $C$ is defined in this way, then $[C] = s[C_2]$.*

PROOF. Without restriction $\ell = x$. Let $n \geq b$ be a fixed natural number. We consider the embedding

$$j : \mathbf{H} \to W := \mathrm{Grass}_{Q(n)}(P_n)$$

defined by $\mathcal{I} \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, and the Plücker-embedding

$$p : W \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^N$$

defined by $E \mapsto \bigwedge^{Q(n)} E$, where $E$ is a subbundle of rank $Q(n)$.

Put $S = P/xP(-1)$. One has a closed embedding $i : V := \mathbb{P}(S_d) \to \mathbf{H}$ defined by $\langle f\rangle \mapsto (x, f\cdot\mathcal{K})$. Then the closed embedding $j\circ i : V \to W$ is defined by

$$\langle f\rangle \mapsto xP_{n-1}\oplus f\cdot K_{n-d}\,,$$

where $K_n := H^0(\mathbb{P}^2,\mathcal{K}(n))$, $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathrm{Proj}(S)$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the universal ideal sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3\times\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathcal{L}_n := \bigwedge^{Q(n)}(p_2)_*\mathcal{I}(n)$.

Now $\mathcal{O}_W(-1) = p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1))$ and $\mathcal{L}_n = j^*\mathcal{O}_W(-1)$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_V(-1)\subset S_1\otimes\mathcal{O}_V$$

be the universal rank-1 subbundle. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_n|i(\mathcal{C}) = \big(\bigwedge^{Q(n)}(xP_{n-1}\oplus i_*(\mathcal{F})\otimes_k K_{n-d})\big)|i(\mathcal{C}) = \big(\bigwedge^{N} xP_{n-1}\otimes\bigwedge^{h(n)} i_*(\mathcal{F})\otimes_k K_{n-d}\big)|i(\mathcal{C})\,,$$

where $N = \binom{n-1+3}{3}$ and $h(n) = \binom{n-a+2}{2}+\binom{n-b+1}{1} = \dim K_{n-d}$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_n|i(\mathcal{C})\simeq i_*\mathcal{F}^{\otimes h(n)}|i(\mathcal{C})$$

and, because of $i^*i_*\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, one obtains

$$\big(i_*\mathcal{F}^{\otimes h(n)}\cdot i(\mathcal{C})\big) = \big(i^*i_*\mathcal{F}^{\otimes h(n)}\cdot\mathcal{C}\big) = h(n)(\mathcal{F}\cdot\mathcal{C})\,.$$

As $h(n) = \binom{n-a+2}{2}+\binom{n-b+1}{1} = (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2)$ it follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C) = -(\mathcal{L}_n\cdot i(\mathcal{C})) = -(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2)\cdot(\mathcal{F}\cdot\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2)\cdot(\mathcal{O}_V(1)\cdot\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot C_2)\cdot s\,.$$

But then $[C] = s\cdot[C_2]$ follows. □

## 2.4. Cycles without $C_1$-component

**2.4.1. Notations.** In the following $C\subset\mathbf{H}$ is an irreducible curve such that $C\sim q_0C_0+q_2C_2$ and $q_2\neq 0$. If $\xi\in C(k)$, there is an open, non-empty set $U\subset\mathrm{GL}(4,k)$ such that $g(\xi)$ is Borel-normed for all $g\in U$, i.e. $g(\xi)\in W_H(b)$, where $b\in\mathbf{H}(k)$ corresponds to a Borel-ideal $\mathcal{B}$ (as for the notation, cf. Appendix H). If $\xi$ and $C$ are replaced by $g(\xi)$ and $g(C)$, then $\xi$ and $g(\xi)$ have the same Hilbert function, which is denoted by $\Phi$, and $[C] = [g(C)]$. To simplify the notation, we write $X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$, $B = B(4;k)$.

From [**G3**, Lemma 4] it follows that $b\leftrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ has the Hilbert function $\Phi$, too. (According to Appendix H, the Hilbert function $h(\xi)$ of a point $\xi\in\mathbf{H}$ is defined as the Hilbert function of the ideal $\mathcal{J}\leftrightarrow\xi$.) Replacing $U$ by a smaller open subset $V$, we can assume that $g(\xi)\in U(t)$ and $[g(\xi)]' = r(g(\xi))\in U(z)$, and we write again $U$ instead of $V$. In order to simplify the notation we write again $\xi$ and $C$ instead of $g(\xi)$ and $g(C)$. If $f\in P_n$, and $f'\in S_n$ is the image under the canonical map $P\longrightarrow P/tP(-1)$, then $[in(f)]' = in(f')$, where $in(0) = 0$ and the order of the monomials in $S$ is the induced order of the monomials in $P$ (cf. Appendix H). It follows that $\xi'\in W_H(b')$, where now $H = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and the prime as usual denotes the image under the restriction map $r : U(t)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$, which is induced by $P\to S$. Hence $\xi'$ and $b'$ have the same Hilbert function, which is denoted by $\varphi$.

**2.4.2.**

AUX-LEMMA 2.6. *The point $b'$ corresponds to $(x, y^d)$, hence $[g(\xi)]'$ has maximal Hilbert function for all $g \in U$.*

PROOF. Let $C_*$ and $\xi_*$ be as in Lemma 2.1. $C_*$ is a connected union of curves of the form




FIGURE 2.1

where $D_i$, $E_i$ are combinatorial cycles, $A$ is an algebraic cycle, and $b_1$ and $b_2$ are $B$-fixed points. From Conclusion 1.1 follows that only combinatorial cycles of type 2 or 3 can occur. If $D \in \{ D_i \}$ is such a cycle of type 3, i.e. $D = \{ \psi_\alpha^3(\eta) \}^-$, then by 1.3.1 Remark 1.1 it follows that $\eta'$ is $B(3;k)$-invariant, hence $\eta' = b_1'$. If $A = \{ \sigma(\lambda)\zeta \}^-$ two cases can occur: $\sigma(\lambda)$ operates by $x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto \lambda t$, if $\rho_3 \neq 0$ or $\sigma(\lambda)$ operates by $x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto y, \quad z \mapsto \lambda z, \quad t \mapsto t$, if $\rho_3 = 0$ (see 1.3.2). If $\rho_3 \neq 0$, then $\zeta'$ is invariant under $T(3;k)$ and under $U(3;k)$, as $\zeta$ is invariant under $T(\rho)$ and $U(4;k)$. If $\rho_3 = 0$, then by 1.3.2 Remark 1.2 follows that $\zeta'$ is $B(3;k)$-invariant. In both cases we get $r(A)$ is the point $\zeta'$, hence $\zeta' = b_1' = b_2'$. If $D \in \{ D_i \}$, $D = \{ \psi_\alpha^2(\eta) \}^-$ is of type 2, then again two cases are possible: If $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D)$ is constant, then $\eta'$ is invariant under $\psi_\alpha^2$, hence $\eta'$ is $B(3;k)$-invariant and $\eta' = b_1'$. If $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D)$ is not constant, by Corollary 1.3 in Section 1.3 $\eta'$ has maximal Hilbert function. As $b_1' = \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha^2(\eta')$, $b_1'$ has maximal Hilbert function, too. Now $C_*$ is contained in the fixed point scheme $\mathbf{H}^\Gamma \subset U(t)$, hence each point of $r(C_*)$ has maximal Hilbert function and from Lemma 2.1 the statement of the Aux-Lemma 2.6 follows. □

**2.4.3.** We take up the initial situation of 2.4.2. Then

$$g(\xi)' \leftrightarrow g(\mathcal{J}) + t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$$

has maximal Hilbert function hence equals an ideal $(h, f) \subset P/tP(-1)$, where $h \in P_1/t \cdot k$, $f \in P_d/tP_{d-1}$ not divisible by $h$.

If we apply $u = g^{-1}$ to this ideal, we obtain $\ell = u(t)$, $\mathcal{J} \in U(\ell)$ and

$$\mathcal{J} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1) = \big(u(h), u(f)\big) \subset P/\ell P(-1)$$

has maximal Hilbert function.

CONCLUSION 2.3. Let $Z \subset X = \mathbb{P}^3$ be the curve which belongs to $\xi \in C(k)$. If $L = V(\ell) \subset X$ is a general hyperplane, then the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_L$, which defines the subscheme $L \cap Z \subset L$, has maximal Hilbert function and all points of $Z \cap L$ lie on the same line. □

We take $Z$ as in Conclusion 2.3 and have $Z_{\text{red}} = \bigcup Z_i$ the decomposition in irreducible components. Assume $\dim Z_1 = 1$ and $Z_1$ non-degenerate. Then from [**Har**, Prop. 18.10] it follows that $Z_1 \cap L$ is non-degenerate, contradiction. It follows that all $Z_i$ such that $\dim Z_i = 1$ are degenerate, i.e. $Z_i \subset V(\ell_i)$, where $\ell_i \in P_1$, hence $Z_i \cap L \subset V(\ell, \ell_i)$. Assume $\dim Z_1 = \dim Z_2 = 1$ and $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. Then

$$Z_1 \cap Z_2 \cap L \subset V(\ell, \ell_1) \cap V(\ell, \ell_2) = \emptyset,$$

if $\ell$ is general, contrary to Conclusion 2.3.

CONCLUSION 2.4. Let $Z$ be as in Conclusion 2.3. Each 1-dimensional irreducible component $Z_i$ of $Z_{\text{red}}$ has the form $V(\mathcal{P}_i)$, where $\mathcal{P}_i = (\ell, F_i) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is a prime ideal, $\ell \in P_1 - (0)$ is independent of $i$, and $F_i \in P/\ell P(-1)$ is an irreducible form of degree $d_i$. If one replaces $\xi \leftrightarrow Z$ by $g(\xi) \leftrightarrow g(Z)$, $g$ a suitable linear transformation of $X$, one can achieve that $\ell = z$ (independent of $i$). □

**2.4.4. Assumption I.** Let $Q \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be primary to the prime ideal $\mathcal{P} = (z, F)$, $F \in k[x, y, t]$ irreducible of degree $d$, and $e$ is the multiplicity of $Q$. If $\ell$ is a linear form in $P$, the images under the canonical map $P \to P/\ell P(-1) =: S$ are denoted by $'$.

ASSUMPTION I. *If $\ell$ is a general linear form, the image $Q'$ of $Q$ in $S$ contains the variable $z$, i.e. $z \in Q' := Q + \ell P(-1)/\ell P(-1)$.*

There is a filtration $Q = Q_0 \subset \cdots \subset Q_r = P$ such that $Q_i/Q_{i-1} \simeq (P/\mathcal{P}_i)(-\ell_i)$ modulo $\equiv$. Here $\equiv$ denotes "equality of the components of sufficient high degree". $Q_i$ is a graded $P$-module, $\mathcal{P}_i \subset P$ a graded prime ideal, $Q \subset \mathcal{P}_i$ for all $i$ ([**H**, Prop. 7.4, p. 50]), and either $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{P}_i$ is a maximal ideal in $\text{Proj}(P)$. As the multiplicity is $e$, one has $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}$ for $e$ indices $i$. Let $\ell$ be general for $Q$, e.g. $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t$. Applying the transformation $x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto t - (\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z)$, one can assume without restriction $\ell = t$. We consider the images under the map $P \longrightarrow P/t(P(-1) = S = k[x, y, z]$ and we obtain a filtration $Q' = Q'_0 \subset \cdots \subset Q'_r = S$ and surjective morphisms (modulo $\equiv$)

$$(S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(-\ell_i) \longrightarrow Q'_i/Q'_{i-1}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_i := \mathcal{P}'_i\,.$$

If $\mathcal{P}_i = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$, $\ell_i \in P_1$ are linearly independent, then $\mathfrak{p}_i = S_+$ and $Q'_i/Q'_{i-1} \equiv (0)$. Changing the numeration, one can achieve that $Q' = Q'_0 \subset \cdots \subset Q'_s = S$, $(S/\mathfrak{p})(-\ell_i) \longrightarrow Q'_i/Q'_{i-1}$ surjective mod $\equiv$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{P}'$, hence $s \leq e$. The Hilbert polynomial of $(P/\mathcal{P})$ has the form $dn + \text{const.}$, hence $\chi(P/Q) = de \cdot n + c$. It follows that

$$de = \chi(S/Q') = \sum_1^s \chi(Q'_i/Q'_{i-1}) \leq \sum_1^s \chi(S/\mathfrak{p})\,.$$

Now $S/\mathfrak{p} = S/(z,f) = R/fR(-d)$, where $f = F'$ and $R = k[x,y]$. Hence $\chi(S/\mathfrak{p}) = d$, $s = e$, $\chi(Q'_i/Q'_{i-1}) = \chi(S/\mathfrak{p})$ and $(S/\mathfrak{p})(-\ell_i) \longrightarrow Q'_i/Q'_{i-1}$ is an isomorphism modulo $\equiv$, $1 \le i \le e$. As $z \in Q'$, one also has $z \in Q'_i$ and putting $\mathfrak{q} = Q'/zS(-1)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_i = Q'_i/zS(-1)$ we get a filtration $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}_e = R$ and isomorphisms modulo $\equiv$

$$[R/fR(-d)](-\ell_i) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}_i/\mathfrak{q}_{i-1}\,,$$

which are defined by multiplication with a form $g_i \in R_{\ell_i}$. We want to show that then $\mathfrak{q}_i = f^{e-i}R(i-e)$ for all $0 \le i \le e$. This is done by descending induction. The assertion is true if $i = e$, and we assume $\mathfrak{q}_i \equiv f^{e-i}R(e-i)$. Changing the notation, we then have to show:

Aux-Lemma 2.7. *If $g \in R_\ell$, $I \subset f^nR(-dn)$ is a graded ideal and*

$$[R/fR(-d)](-\ell) \xrightarrow{\cdot g} f^nR(n-d)/I$$

*is an isomorphism mod $\equiv$, then $I \equiv f^{n+1}R(-(n+1)d)$.*

Proof. As $f^nR(-dn) \equiv gR(-\ell) + I$, it follows that $gR_i \subset (f^n)$ if $i \gg 0$, hence $g = f^n \cdot h$, $h \in R_m$, $m := \ell - dn$. As $I_i \subset (f^n)$, if $i \gg 0$, $I \equiv f^nJ(-nd)$, $J \subset R$ a graded ideal. As $R(-dn) \equiv hR(-\ell) + J(-nd)$, we have $R \equiv h \cdot R(-m) + J$, hence $R \equiv hR(-m) + pR(-\mu)$, where $p \in R_\mu$. As by assumption

$$[R/fR(-d)](-\ell) \xrightarrow{\cdot f^n \cdot h} f^nR(-nd)/f^npR(-\mu - nd)$$

is an isomorphism mod $\equiv$, this is also true for $[R/fR(-d)](-m) \xrightarrow{\cdot h} R/pR(-\mu)$, hence $h \cdot fR(-d-m) \subset pR(-\mu)$ mod $\equiv$. As $(h,p) \equiv R$, $h$ and $p$ have no common divisor, hence $f = pu$, $u \in R_{d-\mu}$, Now $pR(-\mu-m) \cdot h \subset pR(-\mu)$, and as multiplication by $h$ is injective, $pR(-\mu-m) \subset fR(-d-m)$, hence $f$ is a divisor of $p$ and $f = pu$, $u \in k^*$. □

Conclusion 2.5. Suppose $\mathcal{P} = (z,F)$, $F \in k[x,y,t]_d$ irreducible, hence $\mathcal{P}$ is a prime ideal. Let $Q$ be primary to $\mathcal{P}$ with multiplicity $e \ge 1$. If for a general $\ell \in P_1$ the image $Q'$ of $Q$ in $P/\ell P(-1)$ contains the variable $z$, then $Q' \equiv (z, f^e)$ where $f$ is the image of $F$ in $P/\ell P(-1)$. □

**2.4.5. Assumption II.** Let now be $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ an *irreducible* curve without $C_1$-component, but with non-vanishing $C_2$-component. Let be $\xi \in C(k)$, $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi$ and $Z \subset X$ the corresponding subscheme. Then Conclusions 2.4 and 2.3 give:

(i) The 1-dimensional irreducible components of $Z_{\mathrm{red}}$ are defined by prime ideals $\mathcal{P}_i = (z, F_i)$, $F_i \in P/zP(-1)$ irreducible of degree $d_i > 0$.
(ii) If $\ell \in P_1$ is general, $Y := \mathrm{Proj}(P/\ell(P(-1))$, then $\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ has maximal Hilbert function, hence $\mathcal{I}' = (h,g)$, where $h \in P/\ell P(-1)$ is a linear form and $g \in P/(\ell,h)P(-1)$ has degree $d$.

We deduce that $Z \cap V(\ell) = V(\mathcal{I}')$ is contained in the line $V(h) \cap V(\ell)$. But as, with exception of finitely many isolated points, $Z$ is contained in $V(z)$, $Z \cap V(\ell)$ is contained in the line $V(z) \cap V(\ell)$, too.

ASSUMPTION II. *If $\ell$ is general, $Z \cap V(\ell)$ consists of more than one point.*

CONCLUSION 2.6. If this assumption is fulfilled, then for general $\ell$ the lines $V(h,\ell)$ and $V(z,\ell)$ are equal, hence

$$\mathcal{I}' = (z, g)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

□

Now let $\mathcal{I} = \bigcap_1^r Q_i \cap R$ be a reduced primary decomposition, where $Q_i$ is primary to $\mathcal{P}_i = (z, F_i)$ with multiplicity $e_i$, $F_i \in k[x,y,t]$ irreducible of degree $d_i$ and $R$ the punctual part. Then $d = d_1e_1 + \cdots + d_re_r$ is the degree of $Z$. From (2.1) it follows that $z \in Q_i'$, hence each $Q_i'$ fulfills the Assumption I. Conclusion 2.5 then gives $Q_i' = (z, f_i^{e_i})$, $f_i$ the image of $F_i$ in $P/\ell P(-1)$, and we note that for a general choice of $\ell$, no two of the $f_i$ have a common divisor.

Put $\mathcal{R}_i = (z, F_i^{e_i})$, $1 \le i \le r$, $\quad \mathcal{R} = \bigcap_1^r \mathcal{R}_i$, $\quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{R}$.

Then for general $\ell$ one has:

$$\mathcal{R}' \subset \bigcap_1^r \mathcal{R}_i' = \bigcap_1^r (z, f_i^{e_i}) = (z, f)\,,$$

where $f = f_1^{e_1} \cdots f_r^{e_r}$. It follows that $\bigcap_1^r Q_i' = (z,f) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ has the colength $d$, $\mathbb{P}^2 = V(\ell)$. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{I}' = \big(\bigcap Q_i\big)' \subset \bigcap Q_i'$$

also has colength $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$, hence

$$\mathcal{I}' = \bigcap_1^r Q_i' \supset \mathcal{R}'\,,$$

and we get $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{I}' + \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{I}'$.

If $F := F_1^{e_1} \cdots F_r^{e_r}$, then $\mathcal{R} = (z, F)$ has the Hilbert polynomial $Q^*(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-d+2}{2}$. As $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{I}'$, the ideal $\mathcal{L}$ also has the Hilbert polynomial $Q^*$, hence $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{R}$ has the colength $c = b - a + 1$.

In order to formulate a preliminary result, we have to introduce some notations:

As always $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+3}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, $Q^*(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-d+2}{2}$, $d = a - 1$. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be the Flag–Hilbert scheme

$$\mathbf{F} = \{\, (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) \in \mathbf{H}_Q \times \mathbf{H}_{Q^*} \mid \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J} \,\}\,.$$

This is a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{H}_Q \times \mathbf{H}_{Q^*}$. Let $\pi : \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{H}_Q$ be the projection and put $\mathcal{Z} := (\pi(\mathbf{F}))_{\mathrm{red}}$. We have obtained so far:

CONCLUSION 2.7. If $\xi \in C(k)$ corresponds to the subscheme $Z \subset X$, and if $Z$ fulfills the Assumption II, then $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$. □

**2.4.6.** We now consider the case that Assumption II is *not* fulfilled. Let $M_1$ be the set of points $\xi \in C(k)$ such that the curve $\xi \leftrightarrow C_\xi \subset X$ is completely degenerate. This means the following: $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = Q \cap R$, $Q$ is primary to a prime ideal of the form $(F_1, F_2)$, where $F_1, F_2 \in P_1$ are linearly independent, and $R$ is the punctual part. In other words, $C_\xi$ is a line of multiplicity $\geq 1$ and possibly some more points, isolated or not. If $\xi \in M_2 := C(k) - M_1$, the $C_\xi$ looks like Fig. 2.2 or Fig. 2.3 plus some points, which are irrelevant:



FIGURE 2.2



FIGURE 2.3.

From Conclusion 2.7 then $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$ follows.

Now a family of completely degenerate curves cannot have in its closure (in $\mathbf{H}$) a curve as in Fig. 2.2, hence $M_1$ is closed in $C(k)$, hence $M_1 = C(k)$ or $M_1$ is a finite set of points. In the last case it follows that $C \subset \mathcal{Z}$, as $\mathcal{Z}$ is closed. It remains the case that $M_1 = C(k)$, i.e. all $C_\xi$ are completely degenerate.

Let $\varphi$ be the smallest Hilbert function of ideals $\mathcal{I}_\xi \leftrightarrow \xi \in C(k)$. Then $C \cap \mathbf{H}_\varphi$ is open and non-empty in $C$. Applying a suitable linear transformation, which leaves $z$ invariant we can achieve, without restriction, that $\tilde{U}(t) := C \cap \mathbf{H}_\varphi \neq \emptyset$.

Let $r : U(t) \to H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ be the restriction morphism defined by $P \to P/tP(-1) = S$. If $r(\tilde{U}(t))$ would be a single point, all $C_\xi$ would run through a fixed point on $V(t)$, if $\xi \in \tilde{U}(t)$.

Now take a general $\ell$ and consider the restriction morphism

$$r : U(\ell) \to H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathrm{Proj}\, P/\ell P(-1))$$

which is defined by restriction modulo $\ell$. If, for general $\ell$, the set $\tilde{U}(\ell) := C \cap \mathbf{H}_\varphi \cap U(\ell)$ would be mapped by $r$ to a point in $H^d$, all curves $C_\xi$ would run to a fixed point in $V(\ell)$, if $\xi \in \tilde{U}(\ell)$. But then $C_\xi$ would be the same line with the same multiplicity (possibly with different scheme structure) for all $\xi \in \tilde{U}(t) \cap \tilde{U}(\ell) \neq \emptyset$, hence the Hilbert-Chow morphism would map $C$ to a single point, which is not possible, as $C$ has a $C_2$-component (see Chapter 3, Section 3.5.3).

By applying once more again a suitable linear transformation if necessary, we can assume without restriction, that the closure of $r(\tilde{U}(t))$ is a curve $C' \subset H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

We now let $\mathbb{G}_m$ operate by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto \lambda t$. Then let $\sigma$ be the morphism $U(t) \cap \mathbf{H}_\varphi \to G_\varphi$ which is defined by

$$\xi \mapsto \xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$$

where $\mathbf{H}_\varphi$ is taken as a reduced subscheme of $\mathbf{H}$ (see Appendix G). One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(t) \cap \mathbf{H}_\varphi & \xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } & G_\varphi \\ & {\scriptstyle r}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & \\ & H^d & \end{array}$$

where $\rho$ is the restriction morphism defined by $t$. Then $\sigma(\tilde{U}(t)) \subset G_\varphi$ is an irreducible curve, its closure in $G_\varphi$ is denoted by $D$, hence $\rho(D) = C'$.

Let $L := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)C$. Then $L$ contains the irreducible curve $D$ with multiplicity $\geq 1$. Because of $[C] = [L]$, $L$ has no $C_1$-component, too, hence $[D] = \mu[C_0] + \nu[C_2]$ and

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot D) - (\mathcal{M}_{n-1} \cdot D) = \nu(n - d + 1)\,.$$

Let be $\mathcal{I}$ the universal ideal sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbf{H}$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbf{H}}/\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{I}|\,\mathbb{P}^3 \times G_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}|\,\mathbb{P}^3 \times G_\varphi$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(-1) \otimes k(y) \xrightarrow{\ t\ } \mathcal{G} \otimes k(y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}' \otimes k(y) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $y \in G_\varphi$, where $\mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{F}/t\mathcal{F}(-1))|\,\mathbb{P}^3 \times G_\varphi$, hence $\mathcal{G}'$ is flat over $G_\varphi$. If $\mathcal{M}'_n$ is the tautological line bundle on $H^d$, then $(\mathcal{M}'_n \cdot C') = \nu(n - d + 1)$, hence $[C'] = \nu \cdot [F]$, where

$$F := \left\{\ (x, y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)) \ \middle|\ \alpha \in k\ \right\}^-$$

(see Appendix D). As in the case of $\mathbb{P}^3$ (see Prop. 2.2) it follows that $C' = \{\ (h, f) \mid f \in \mathcal{C}\ \}$ where $h$ is a fixed linear form in $S$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a curve of degree $\nu$ in $\mathbb{P}(R_d)$, $R = P/(\ell, h)P(-1)$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}'_\xi = (h, f)$, $h$ independent of $\xi \in \tilde{U}(t)$, $f \in R_d$ and $V(\mathcal{I}'_\xi)$ is a point with multiplicity $d$ on the line $V(h)$. It follows that $V(t, \mathcal{I}_\xi) \subset V(h, t)$ for all $\xi \in \tilde{U}(t)$.

If one replaces the operation $\sigma(\lambda)$ by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau(\lambda)$ which is defined by the projection onto the general plane $V(\ell)$ from a point $P_0 \notin V(\ell)$ (see Appendix A), then the analogous argumentation shows:

Conclusion 2.8. Let $\ell$ be a general linear form and $\mathcal{I}'_\xi = \mathcal{I}_\xi + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Then

(i) $\mathcal{I}'_\xi = (h, f)$ and $V(\mathcal{I}'_\xi) = V(\ell, \mathcal{I}_\xi) \subset V(\ell, h)$ for all $\xi \in \tilde{U}(\ell)$, where the linear form $h \in P/tP(-1)$ does not depend on $\xi \in \tilde{U}(\ell)$ and $f \in P/(\ell, h)P(-1)$ has degree $d$.
(ii) $\bigcup V(\mathcal{I}'_\xi)$, where $\xi$ runs through $\tilde{U}(\ell)$, is an infinite set. □

Now $C_\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\xi$ is completely degenerate, i.e. the unique irreducible, reduced, 1-dimensional component of $(C_\xi)_{\text{red}}$ is a line $L_\xi \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and, according to Conclusion 2.8, $V(\ell) \cap L_\xi$ is contained in a line in $V(\ell)$ for almost all $\xi \in \tilde{U}(\ell)$. Varying $\ell$ one sees this is possible

only if almost all $L_\xi$ are contained in one and the same plane $E$ for almost all $\xi \in C(k)$. By applying a suitable linear transformation one can achieve that $E = V(z)$, hence

$$V(\mathcal{I}_\xi) \cap V(\ell) \subset V(z) \cap V(\ell)$$

for general $\ell$ and almost all $\xi \in C(k)$. By Conclusion 2.8 $V(\mathcal{I}_\xi) \cap V(\ell) \subset V(\ell, h)$ for almost all $\xi \in C(k)$ and hence the set $V(\ell, z) \cap V(\ell, h)$ contains more than 1 point. It follows that $V(\ell, z) = V(\ell, h)$ hence $\langle \ell, z\rangle = \langle \ell, h\rangle$ for general $\ell$. But then one has $h = \alpha z, \alpha \in k$, and we get the equation

$$\mathcal{I}'_\xi = (z, f) \tag{2.2}$$

which is valid for general $\ell$ and for all $\xi \in U'(\ell)$, where $U'(\ell)$ is an open non-empty subset of $\tilde{U}(\ell)$, and the form $f \in P/(\ell, z)P(-1)$ of degree $d$ possibly depends on $\xi$.

We can write $\mathcal{I}_\xi = Q \cap R$, where $Q$ is primary to $\mathcal{P} = (z, F)$, $F \in k[x, y, t]$ a linear form, $R$ the punctual part, both depending on $\xi \in U'(\ell)$. Now $\ell$ is general for $\mathcal{I}_\xi$ if $\xi \in \tilde{U}(\ell)$ by definition, hence $\ell \notin \mathcal{P}$ and $V(R) \cap V(\ell) = \emptyset$. With equation (2.2) it follows that $\mathcal{I}'_\xi = Q' = (z, f)$, hence Assumption I is fulfilled and the same reasoning as in Section 2.4.5 shows that $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$ for almost all $\xi \in C(k)$, hence for all $\xi \in C(k)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ is an irreducible curve such that $[C] = q_0[C_0] + q_2[C_2]$ and $q_2 \neq 0$, then $C \subset \mathcal{Z}$.* □

CHAPTER 3

# Tautological morphisms

The headline means morphisms from $\mathbf{H}$ to a projective space, which are defined by means of the tautological line bundles $\mathcal{M}_n$. Let $f$ (respectively $f_n$) be the morphism defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, if $n \geq d$). In this chapter $P = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $S = k[x, y, z]$ as usual.

## 3.1. Connection with a general hyperplane section

If $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$, is a linear form, $U = U(\ell)$ denotes the non-empty open subset of $y \in \mathbf{H}$, such that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} k(y) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} k(y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} k(y) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. For abbreviation, we temporarily write $X = \mathbf{H} \times_k \mathbb{P}^3$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_X$ by the universal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on $X$, and $\mathcal{F}' := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and $\mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is flat over $U$ with constant Hilbert polynomial $d$.

Now let $A$ be a noetherian $k$-algebra and $\mathcal{I}_i \leftrightarrow \xi_i \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{Spec} A)$ two points, which are mapped by $f$ to the same point of $\mathbb{P}_k^N(A)$, if $f : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is defined by $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$. The assumption is that the morphisms $\xi_i : \operatorname{Spec} A \to \mathbf{H}$ factorize through $U(\ell)$. An equivalent condition is that $\mathcal{I}_i \otimes k(y) \in U(\ell)$, $i = 1, 2$, for all closed points $y \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. It follows that the ideals $\mathcal{I}_i' := \mathcal{I}_i + \ell\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \otimes A/\ell\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \otimes A$ are elements of $\operatorname{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)(A)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \operatorname{Proj}(P/\ell P(-1))$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Under these assumptions one has* $\mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_2'$.

PROOF. 1° We first recall the construction of the surjective homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_1^m \bigwedge^d S_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$$

in Chapter 1, Section 1.5.2. In order to simplify the notations we write $S_n$, $P_n$ etc. instead of $S_n \otimes A$, $P_n \otimes A$ etc. Then one has the following diagram:

(3.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & S_n & & \\ & & & & & \overset{\kappa}{\swarrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\ell} & F_n & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F_n' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $n \geq d-1$ and $\kappa$ is the composition of the canonical homomorphism $S_n \hookrightarrow P_n \twoheadrightarrow F_n$. $F'_n$ is free over $A$ with basis $\pi(m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, $m_i \in S_n$ monomials. If $s\pi(m_i) := \kappa(m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, one has $\psi s\pi(m_i) = \pi(m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, hence $\psi \circ s = \mathrm{id}$, i.e. $s$ is a section and $F_n = \ell F_{n-1} \oplus sF'_n$. If $\mu$ denotes the multiplication with $\ell$, then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{n-1} \oplus S_n & \xrightarrow{\mu \oplus \kappa} & F_n = \ell F_{n-1} \oplus sF'_n \\ & \searrow^{\mathrm{id} \oplus \pi} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mu \oplus s} \\ & & F_{n-1} \oplus F'_n \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

is *not* commutative. But the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^p F_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d S_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} & \bigwedge^{p+d} F_n = \bigwedge^p \ell F_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d sF'_n \\ & \searrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \simeq} \\ & & \bigwedge^p F_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^d F'_n \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\varphi_\ell(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d) = \ell x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell x_p \wedge \kappa(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \kappa(y_d)$, the diagonal arrow is the homomorphism $\mathrm{id} \otimes \bigwedge^d \pi$ and the vertical arrow is the isomorphism $\bigwedge^p \mu \otimes \bigwedge^d s$, is commutative again. In order to prove this statement, we take $y \in S_n (= S_n \otimes A)$ and deduce: $\psi[(s \circ \pi)(y) - \kappa(y)] = \pi(y) - \pi(y) = 0 \Rightarrow (s \circ \pi)(y) - \kappa(y) = \mu(z)$, where $z \in F_{n-1}$ depends on $y$. From this we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_\ell(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p \otimes y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d) \\ &= \ell x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell x_p \wedge \kappa(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \kappa(y_d) \\ &= \ell x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell x_p \wedge (s\pi(y_1) + \ell z_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (s\pi(y_d) + \ell z_d) \\ &= \ell x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ell x_p \wedge s\pi(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge s\pi(y_d) \\ &= (\bigwedge^p \mu)(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p) \wedge (\bigwedge^d s)(\pi(y_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi(y_d)) \\ &= \bigwedge^p \mu(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p) \otimes (\bigwedge^d s \circ \bigwedge^d \pi)(y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_d)\,, \end{aligned}$$

hence (3.3) is commutative. If we tensorize (3.3) with $\left(\bigwedge^p F_{n-1}\right)^{-1}$, we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^d S_n & \twoheadrightarrow & \left(\bigwedge^p F_{n-1}\right)^{-1} \otimes \left(\bigwedge^{p+d} F_n\right) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ & & \bigwedge^d F'_n \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Here the diagonal arrow is equal to $\bigwedge^d \pi$ and the vertical arrow is an isomorphism. (The letter $\sigma$ has not the meaning as in Section 1.5.2 but is simply used as an abbreviation.)

2° We continue with some general considerations: Let be $E = k^{n+1}$, $X/k$ a scheme, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on $X$, which is generated by the global sections $s_i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. These define an epimorphism $E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{(s)} \mathcal{L}$, hence an element of $\mathbb{P}(X)$, i.e. a morphism $f : X \to \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}^n_k$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$.

Now let $u_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be two morphisms $Y \to X$ such that $f \circ u_1 = f \circ u_2$. This is equivalent to the condition that $u_i^*(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes_X u_i^*(\mathcal{O}_X) =: \mathcal{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, give the same element in $\mathbb{P}(Y)$. According to [**EGA**, Prop. 4.2.3] this means that one has a commutative diagram:

(3.5)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \mathcal{L} \otimes_X u_2^*(\mathcal{O}_X) & \xleftarrow{\quad\tau\quad} & \mathcal{L} \otimes_X u_1^*(\mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrows are the morphisms $(s) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\tau$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules.

3° We apply this to $X = \mathbf{H}$, $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Then $u_i : Y \to X$ is defined by $\mathcal{I}_i \leftrightarrow \xi_i \in \mathbf{H}(A)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d = (\mathcal{M}_{d-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d-1}) \otimes (\mathcal{M}_{d-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d) = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$. Then the diagrams (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5) give the diagram:

(3.6)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bigwedge^d S_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \bigwedge^d H^0(\mathcal{F}_2'(d)) & & \xleftarrow{\quad\tau'\quad} & & \bigwedge^d H^0(\mathcal{F}_1'(d)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_1} \\ \mathcal{A}_2 & & \xleftarrow{\quad\tau\quad} & & \mathcal{A}_1 \end{array}$$

where the diagonal arrow, respectively the vertical arrow, is the map $\bigwedge^d \pi$, respectively the isomorphism $\sigma$ as in diagram (3.4). Then $\tau'$ can be defined as an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules such that the upper triangle is commutative. Then from 2° it follows that $\bigwedge^d H^0(\mathcal{F}_i'(d))$, $i = 1, 2$ define the same point in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d S_d)(Y)$. As the Plücker-morphism $\mathrm{Grass}^d(S_d) \to \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^d S_d)$ is a closed immersion, it follows that $H^0(\mathcal{F}_1'(d)) = H^0(\mathcal{F}_2'(d))$. Now

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_i'(d)) \longrightarrow S_d \otimes A \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}_i'(d)) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, as $\mathcal{I}_i'$ is $d$-regular. It follows that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_1'(d)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}_2'(d))$ and then the $d$-regularity implies $\mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_2'$. □

Remark 3.1. In the diagram (3.6) one can replace $\bigwedge^d S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ by $\bigwedge^d S_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$, if $n \geq d - 1$ is any integer. As $H^1(\mathcal{I}_i'(n)) = (0)$ if $n \geq d - 1$, it follows that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_1'(n)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}_2'(n))$. If $n \geq d$, from $n$-regularity one deduces $\mathcal{I}_1' = \mathcal{I}_2'$, again. But if $n = d - 1$, this is not the case, in general.

COROLLARY 3.1. *If one supposes that $\mathcal{I}_i \leftrightarrow \xi_i \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ are mapped to the same point by the tautological morphism $f$, then $\mathcal{I}'_1 = \mathcal{I}'_2$ for Zariski-many linear forms $\ell \in P_1$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$. We use the same notations as in Chapter 1, Section 1.5.2. There a surjective morphism $E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathcal{L}$ had been constructed by means of an open covering $\mathbf{H} = \bigcup_1^m U(\ell_i)$. Now we add $U(\ell)$ to this covering, where $\ell = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + t$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$, is a linear form. Then one has a surjective morphism $D \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathcal{L}$, where $D = E \oplus \bigwedge^d S_d$. If $p : D \to E$ is the obvious projection, one gets a commutative diagram:




Then the natural mapping $i : \mathbb{P}(E) \to \mathbb{P}(D)$ defined by $p$ is a closed immersion and one has a factorization:




Therefore $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ are mapped to the same point by $f(E)$ iff they are mapped to the same point by $f(D)$. As the points $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are in $U(\ell)$ for Zariski-many $\ell$, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1. □

## 3.2. The fiber of $f$

Let be $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $F := f^{-1}f(\xi)$ have the *reduced* scheme structure. Let be $\xi_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_1$ and $\xi_2 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$ in $F(k)$ and put $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$. There are Zariski-many linear forms $\ell$, such that $\ell$ is an NNT of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{I}_1$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{I}$. From Corollary 3.1 it follows that $\mathcal{I}'_1 = \mathcal{I}'_2 = \mathcal{I}'$ for Zariski-many $\ell$, where $'$ denotes restriction modulo $\ell$. Let be $\xi_3 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_3$ in $F(k)$ and $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3$. In the same way it follows that $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}'_1 = \mathcal{I}'_2 = \mathcal{I}'_3$. As the ascending chain of ideals $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 \subset \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 \subset \cdots$ becomes stationary, one deduces that there is an ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with the following property: If $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in F(k)$, then $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{J}'$ for Zariski-many linear forms. It follows that $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}$ has constant Hilbert polynomial, independent of $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$. Moreover one can assume without restriction that $\mathcal{J}$ has no embedded or isolated components, hence is a CM-ideal on $\mathbb{P}^3$. If one puts $X = \mathbb{P}^3$,

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J},$$
$$\mathcal{E}_n := H^0(\mathcal{E}(n)), \quad \mathcal{F}_n := H^0(\mathcal{F}(n)), \text{ and } \quad \mathcal{G}_n := H^0(\mathcal{G}(n))$$

then one gets the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \longrightarrow 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$, because the support of $\mathcal{E}$ has the dimension 0. We get:

$$\dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{F}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{E}_n \otimes \dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{G}_n\,.$$

If $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ then $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes k(\xi) = \dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{F}_n$. As the CM-part $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ is constant on $F(k)$, $\mathcal{N}_n := \dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{G}_n$ is constant, too. It follows that

$$\big(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n\big) \otimes k(\xi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \big(\dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{E}_{n-1}\big)^{-1} \otimes \big(\dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{E}_n\big) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{N}_n\,.$$

There is a filtration of $\mathcal{E}$:

$$(0) = \mathcal{E}^0 \subset \mathcal{E}^1 \subset \mathcal{E}^2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}^e = \mathcal{E}$$

such that $\mathcal{E}^i/\mathcal{E}^{i-1} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(-\ell_i)$, where the isomorphism is defined by multiplication with a form $f_i \in P$ of degree $\ell_i$ (see [**H**, Proposition 7.4, p. 50]).

Now $\mathcal{F}$ is $(a-2)$-regular (Lemma 1.1), hence $\mathcal{G}$ is $(a-2)$-regular and $\dim_k \mathcal{F}_n = P(n)$ and $\dim_k \mathcal{G}_n = P(n) - e$, for all $n \geq a-3$. We conclude that $\dim_k \mathcal{E}_n = e$, if $n \geq a-3$, hence $e = \sum_{i=1}^{e} h^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-\ell_i))$, if $n \geq a-3$.

From the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n^{i-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n^{i} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}^i/\mathcal{E}^{i-1})_n \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that $\det[(\mathcal{E}^i/\mathcal{E}^{i-1})_n] = \big(\det[\mathcal{E}_n^{i-1}]\big)^{-1} \otimes \det[\mathcal{E}_n^i]$ and

$$\det \mathcal{E}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{e} \det[\mathcal{E}^i/\mathcal{E}^{i-1}]_n = \bigotimes_{1}^{e} \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-\ell_i))] \cdot f_i$$

for all $n \geq a-3$.

*Additional consideration:* Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Proj}(P \otimes A)$ be an ideal such that $(P \otimes A/\mathfrak{p})^{\sim}$ is flat over $A$ with Hilbert polynomial equal to 1. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is generated by a subbundle $L \subset P_1 \otimes A$ of rank 3. By shrinking $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$, if necessary, we can suppose that $L \subset P_1 \otimes A$ is a direct summand of of rank 3. Applying a suitable $A$-linear transformation of $P \otimes A$, we can suppose that $L = \langle x, y, z\rangle \otimes_k A$. We claim that for all $n \geq 1$ one has:

$$\big(\det[P_{n-1} \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}]\big)^{-1} \otimes \det[P_n \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} \big(\det[P_n \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_n]\big)^{-1} \otimes \det[P_{n+1} \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}]$$

One sees that this is equivalent to

$$[P_n \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_n] \otimes [P_n \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_n] \xrightarrow{\sim} [P_{n-1} \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}] \otimes [P_{n+1} \otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}]$$

or equivalent to $At^n \otimes_A At^n \xrightarrow{\sim} At^{n-1} \otimes_A At^{n+1}$, which is true for all $n \geq 1$. From this we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathcal{E}_{n-1}\big)^{-1} \otimes \big(\det \mathcal{E}_n\big) \\
&= \big(\bigotimes_1^e \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-1-\ell_i))] \cdot f_i\big)^{-1} \bigotimes_1^e \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-\ell_i))] \cdot f_i \\
&= \bigotimes_1^e \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-1-\ell_i))]^{-1} \otimes \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(n-\ell_i))] \\
&= \bigotimes_1^e \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(0))]^{-1} \otimes \det[H^0((\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{P}_i)(1))] \\
&= \bigotimes_1^e (P_1/L_i) \qquad \text{for all } n \geq a-3,
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_i \subset P_1$ is the 3-dimensional vector space, which generates $\mathcal{P}_i$. The prime ideals $\mathcal{P}_i$ are uniquely determined by $\mathcal{E}$ as the associated primes, and the number of times which $\mathcal{P}_i$ appears is equal to the multiplicity of $\mathcal{E}_{(\mathcal{P}_i)}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathcal{P}_i)}$-module (see [**H**, loc. cit.]). Following [**F1**, p. 82] we denote the 0-cycle $\sum_1^e V(\mathcal{P}_i) \in \mathrm{Symm}^e(\mathbb{P}^3)$ by $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $\xi_i \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be two closed points in* **H**. *We write $\mathcal{I}_i = \mathcal{J}_i \cap \mathcal{R}_i$, where $\mathcal{J}_i$ is the CM-part and $\mathcal{R}_i$ is the punctual part of $\mathcal{I}_i$. Let $f$ be the tautological morphism defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ on* **H**. *$\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are mapped by $f$ to the same point iff $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_2$ and $\langle \mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{I}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{I}_2 \rangle$.*

PROOF. Suppose $f(\xi_1) = f(\xi_2)$. Then $\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{J}_2$ and $\langle \mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{I}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{I}_2 \rangle$ follow from the forgoing discussion. Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{I}_i = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}_i$ and $\langle \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}_2 \rangle$. From the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^i \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$
$$\mathcal{E}^i := \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}_i, \quad \mathcal{F}^i := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$$

one deduces in the same way as before that

$$\big(\det \mathcal{E}^i_{n-1}\big)^{-1} \otimes \big(\det \mathcal{E}^i_n\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_1^e (P_1/L_j)$$

are equal for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, which then implies $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \otimes k(\xi_i)$ are equal for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, and all $n \geq a-2$. If $n = a-1 = d$ one deduces that $f(\xi_1) = f(\xi_2)$. □

As already mentioned in Remark 3.1, in the case of the morphism defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{M}_{d-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d-1}$, I could not find a similar description of the fibers.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f_n$ be the morphism $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}^{N(n)}$ defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ for $n \geq d$. Then the fibers of $f_n$, as sets of closed points, are independent of $n \geq d$.*

PROOF. Replace $d$ by $n \geq d$ in the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

## 3.3. Connectedness of the fibers of $f$

From Proposition 3.1 it follows, with the method invented by Fogarty (see [**F2**, Section 2]), that the fibers of $f_n$ are connected for $n \geq d$. For later use we need a slightly more precise statement (see below Lemma 3.2). The proof imitates Fogarty's method (probably in a too complicated way...).

**3.3.1.** Let $G$ be a unipotent group, which acts on a projective space $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^r_k$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ be a closed subscheme, invariant under $G$. Let $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d$ be different lines in $\mathbb{P}$, all contained in $X$. Then $\ell_i = V(\mathcal{P}_i)$, $\mathcal{P}_i \subset S := k[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ is a prime ideal, which is generated by a linear subspace of dimension $r-1$ of $S_1$. Let be $\mathcal{I} := \bigcap_1^d \mathcal{P}_i$ and $Z \subset X$ the closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}$. Let $h$ be the Hilbert polynomial of $Z$, i.e. the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}/\mathcal{I}$, and put $\mathcal{Z} := \mathrm{Hilb}^h(X)$.

AUX-LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that $Z := \bigcup_1^d \ell_i$ is a connected curve in $X$, which connects the two $G$-invariant points $x_1$ and $x_2$ in $X(k)$. Then there is a connected curve $C = \bigcup_1^e L_i \subset X$, which connects $x_1$ and $x_2$, such that each $L_i \subset X$ is a pointwise $G$-invariant line and $e \leq d$.*

PROOF. $G$ has a composition series with quotients isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a$, hence we may suppose $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ and $G$ operates via a homomorphism $\psi_\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}(k)$ be the point which belongs to $Z$. Then $z_0 := \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{Z}(k)$ corresponds to a $G$-invariant subscheme $Z_0 \subset X$ with Hilbert polynomial $h$. We need an additional

AUX-LEMMA 3.2. *The support of $Z_0$, i.e. the underlying set of closed points, consists of at most $d$ lines plus finitely many closed points.*

PROOF. If $d = 1$, then $h(n) = n + 1$. As a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}$, $Z_0$ also has the Hilbert polynomial $h$, hence $Z_0$ is a line. Suppose the Aux-lemma 3.2 is proved in the case of $d-1$ lines. We put $Y = \bigcup_1^{d-1} \ell_i$ and denote $g$ the Hilbert polynomial of $Y \subset X$. Put $\mathcal{Y} := \mathrm{Hilb}^g(X)$ and $\mathbf{F} := \{\, (Y, Z) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z} \mid Y \subset Z \,\}$. $G$ operates on $\mathbf{F}$, and if $Y \leftrightarrow y \in \mathcal{Y}(k)$, then $\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \psi_\alpha(y, z) = (y_0, z_0)$ and $y_0$ corresponds to a $G$-invariant subscheme $Y_0 \subset Z_0$. Now $h(n) = dn + a$, $g(n) = (d-1)n + b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. By induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{supp}(Y_0)$ consists of $e \leq d-1$ lines plus any suitable points. In other words, $Y_0$ is defined by an ideal $\mathcal{J} = \bigcap_1^e \mathfrak{q}_i \cap Q_1 \cdots \cap Q_s \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$, where $V(Q_i)$ is a closed point in $X$, $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset S$ is a $\mathfrak{p}_i$-primary ideal of multiplicity $e_i$, $V(\mathfrak{p}_i) \subset X$ is a line and $\sum_1^e e_i = d - 1$. Because of $Y_0 \subset Z_0$, either $\mathrm{supp}(Y_0) = \mathrm{supp}(Z_0)$, or $\mathrm{supp}(Z_0)$ contains a further irreducible component, which is a point or a line. Hence the Aux-lemma 3.2 is proved. □

We continue the proof of Aux-lemma 3.1. By assumption $Z$ is connected, hence $Z_0$ is connected, too (see [**H**, Chap. III, Ex. 11.4]). Clearly $x_1, x_2 \in Z_0$, and according to

a theorem of Fogarty [**F2**, Prop. 2.1, p. 515], the fixed point scheme $Z_0^G$ is a connected curve, which contains $x_1$ and $x_2$. Then the proof of (loc. cit.) implies Aux-lemma 3.1. □

**3.3.2.** Let $\Lambda$ be local Artinian $k$-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $\Lambda/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$, $\mathfrak{m}^n \neq (0)$, but $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} = (0)$. Let $E$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module, $\dim_k E = e$. Then $\mathrm{Grass}^c(E)$ represents the functor

$$\mathbf{G}(A) := \{\, V \subset E \otimes_k A \text{ is a submodule such that } E \otimes_k A/V \text{ is flat of rank } c \text{ over } A \,\} .$$

One also has $\mathbf{G}(A) = \{\, V \subset E \otimes_k A \text{ is a subbundle of rank } d \,\}$, where $c + d = e$ and $A$ is a $k$-algebra.

If $m \in \mathfrak{m}$, then multiplication with $1 + m$ is a $k$-automorphism of $E$ (because of $(1 - m)(1 + m + \cdots + m^n) = 1$), hence $U := 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ operates as a unipotent group on $E$ and $\mathbf{G}$. If one puts

$$X(A) := \{\, V \in \mathbf{G}(A) \mid V \text{ is invariant under } U \,\}$$

then one gets a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{G}$. If $\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{P}$ is the Plücker-embedding, then $U$ operates in an equivariant manner on $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbb{P}$, and as a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}$, $X$ remains invariant under $U$.

Let $v_1, \ldots, v_d$ be a basis of $V \in \mathbf{G}(k)$. Let $u \in E - V$. Then

$$\left\{\, v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{d-1} \wedge (\lambda v_d + \mu u) \;\middle|\; (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \,\right\}$$

is a line in $\mathbb{P}$, i.e. $\{\, \langle v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, \lambda v_d + \mu u\rangle \mid (\lambda : \mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \,\}$ is a line in $\mathbf{G}$. It follows that any two points in $\mathbf{G}(k)$ can be connected by a chain of lines. From Aux-lemma 3.1 follows:

Aux-Lemma 3.3. *Any two points $x_1, x_2 \in X(k)$ can be connected by a chain of lines in $X$.* □

**3.3.3.**

Aux-Lemma 3.4. *Let be $X = \mathbb{P}^r$ and $\mathcal{M}$ a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a submodule of colength $c$, such that* $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N})$ *consists of a single closed point $p$. If $P$ is the corresponding prime ideal in $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$, then $P^c\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Put $M := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{M}(n))$, $N := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{N}(n))$. One has a sequence of $S_{(P)}$-modules:

$$N_{(P)} \subset (N + P^cM)_{(P)} \subset \cdots \subset (N + PM)_{(P)} \subset M_{(P)} .$$

If all the inclusions are strict, then one would get a sequence of strict inclusions $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N} + P^c\mathcal{M} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{N} + P\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and the colength would be $\geq c + 1$. It follows that either $N_{(P)} = (N + P^cM)_{(P)}$ or there is an index $0 \leq i \leq c-1$ such that $(N + P^{i+1}M)_{(P)} = (N + P^iM)_{(P)}$. It follows that either $P^c(M/N)_{(P)} = (0)$ or $P^{i+1}(M/N)_{(P)} = P^i(M/N)_{(P)}$. By Nakayama it follows that $P^c_{(P)}(M/N)_{(P)} = (0)$ hence $P^c_{(P)}M \subset N_{(P)}$. Thus there is a form $f \in S - P$ such that $f \cdot P^cM \subset N$. The associated primes of $M/N$ are contained in

$\mathrm{supp}(M/N) = \{ P \}$, hence multiplication with $f$ is an injective mapping $M/N \to M/N$. It follows that $P^c M \subset N$, hence $P^c \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. □

**3.3.4.** We now can give a somewhat more geometric description of the fibers of $f$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Two points $\xi$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ lie in the same fiber of $f$ iff they can be joined by a connected curve $C$ in the fiber, such that $[C] = \nu \cdot [C_0]$ for a natural number $\nu$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow \zeta \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ lie in the same fiber. Then by Proposition 3.1 we can write $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$, $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N} \cap R_1 \cap \cdots \cap R_r$, $\mathcal{N}$ the CM-part, $Q_i$ and $R_i$ both $\mathcal{P}_i$-primary, where $\mathcal{P}_i$ corresponds to a closed point of $\mathbb{P}^3$ and for all $i$ $\mathrm{length}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_i) = \mathrm{length}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap R_i) =: c_i$. In the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0$$

one has $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{I} \simeq \bigoplus_1^r \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_i$. If $P$ and $p$ is the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{I}$ respectively of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{N}$, then $P(n) = p(n) + s$, $s := \sum_1^r c_i$. Ditto with $\mathcal{J}$. To simplify the notation, put $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}$ and $c_1 = c$. One sees that from Aux-lemma 3.4 it follows that $\mathcal{N} \cdot \mathcal{P}^c \subset \mathcal{N} \cap Q_1 \subset \mathcal{N}$ and therefore $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_1$ and $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap R_1$ correspond to closed points in $X := \mathrm{Quot}^c(E)$, where $E := \mathcal{N}/P^c\mathcal{N}$ is a finitely generated module over the Artinian $k$-algebra $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3,\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{P}^c\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3,\mathcal{P}}$. Without restriction one can assume that $\mathcal{P} = (x, y, z)$. Putting $U = D_+(t)$, one can write $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{U,\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{P}^c\mathcal{O}_{U,\mathcal{P}} = k[X, Y, Z]/\mathfrak{m}^c$ where $X = x/t$, $Y = y/t$, $Z = z/t$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y, Z)$. By Aux-lemma 3.3, the two points $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_1$ and $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap R_1$ can be connected by a curve $T \subset X$. In other words: There is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times T}$-module $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{P}^c\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$ such that $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{L}$ is flat over $T$ of rank $c$ and there are $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(k)$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes k(\tau_1) = \mathcal{N} \cap Q_1$ and $\mathcal{L} \otimes k(\tau_2) = \mathcal{N} \cap R_1$.

If one puts $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L} \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$, then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times T}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times T}/\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}$$

is exact and

$$\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times T}/\mathcal{L} \bigoplus_2^r (\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T =: \mathcal{E}\,.$$

Let $\pi$ be the projection $\mathbb{P}^3 \times T \to T$. Applying $\pi_*$ to the last sequence gives an exact sequence again, hence an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{E}_n := \pi_*(\mathcal{E}(n))$ is locally free of rank $s$, $\mathcal{F}_n$ is the universal locally free sheaf of rank $P(n)$ on $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}_n$ is the $k$-vector space $P_n/H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{N}(n))$ of rank $p(n)$, $n$ sufficiently large. Hence

$$\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \simeq \bigwedge^s \mathcal{E}_n \otimes_T (\dot{\bigwedge} \mathcal{G}_n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n = \dot{\bigwedge} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a tautological line bundle on $\mathbf{H}$.

If $\ell \in k[x,y,z,t]_1 - \bigcup_1^r \mathcal{P}_i$ and $\mu$ is the multiplication with $\ell$, then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(n-1) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{E}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}'(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence, $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E}/\ell\mathcal{E}(-1)$. Tensoring with $k(\tau)$, $\tau \in T$, gives an exact sequence again (because of $\mathrm{Ass}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{L} \otimes k(\tau)) = \{\mathcal{P}_1\}$ etc.). Applying $\pi_*$ gives exact sequences on $T$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

as $\mathcal{E}_n$ is locally free of rank $s$ on $T$, for all $n$. Hence the intersection number $\left(\dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{E}_n \cdot T\right)$ is independent of $n$ and the same is true for $(\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_T \cdot T)$. Now the sequence (3.7) shows that one can take $T$ as a curve in $\mathbf{H}$ and can write

$$[T] = q_0[C_0] + q_1[C_1] + q_2[C_2]\,.$$

But then $q_1 = q_2 = 0$. This means, one has connected the point $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$ with the point $\xi_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \cap R_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$ by a curve $T \sim qC_0$. In the same way one can connect $\xi_1$ with the point $\mathcal{N} \cap R_1 \cap R_2 \cap Q_3 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$, etc. Conversely, suppose that $\xi$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ can be connected by a curve $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ such that $C \sim q_0 C_0$. Then from $(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = 0$ it follows that $f_n(C) = 1$ point . □

### 3.4. The morphism $g$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{b-1}$

Let $Y/k$ be a scheme. $\pi : \mathbb{P}^3 \times Y \to Y$ the projection. If $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbf{H}(Y)$, $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3 \times Y}/\mathcal{I}$ then $\mathcal{I}_n = \pi_*\mathcal{I}(n) \subset P_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a subbundle of rank $Q(n)$ for all $n \geq b-1$, and if $\mathcal{F}_n := \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_n \longrightarrow P_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for $n \geq b-1$ and thus $\bigwedge^{P(n)} \mathcal{F}_n$ is a globally generated line bundle, which is nothing else but the line bundle $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{O}_Y$ if $n \geq b-1$. (The $b$-regularity of $\mathcal{I} \otimes k(y)$ for all $y \in Y$ implies that the formation of $\pi_*\mathcal{I}(n)$ and $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ commutes with base change (see [**G1**] and [**M2**, Lecture 14]). This gives a morphism $\gamma : \mathbf{H} \to V := \mathrm{Grass}^p(P_{b-1})$ defined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{F}(b-1))$, $p := P(b-1)$. If $q := Q(b-1) = \binom{b-1+3}{3} - p$, then $V$ is isomorphic in a natural way to $W := \mathrm{Grass}_q(P_{b-1})$ and $\gamma$ can be identified with the morphism $\mathbf{H} \to W$ defined by $\mathcal{I} \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{I}(b-1))$. Composing these maps with the Plücker-embedding $V \to \mathbb{P}^n$ (or $W \to \mathbb{P}^n$) defined by $L \mapsto \dot{\bigwedge} L$, $n = \binom{\binom{b-1+3}{3}}{p} - 1 = \binom{\binom{b-1+3}{3}}{q} - 1$, we obtain a morphism $g : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}^n$. Now suppose $\xi_i \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_i$, $i = 1,2$ are two elements in $\mathbf{H}(Y)$ such that $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{I}_i \otimes k(y)) \leq b-1$, for all $y \in Y$ and $i = 1,2$. If $g(\xi_1) = g(\xi_2)$ then $\gamma(\xi_1) = \gamma(\xi_2)$ and thus $\pi_*(\mathcal{I}_1(b-1)) = \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_2(b-1))$. From the $(b-1)$-regularity we conclude that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2$ (see [**M2**, p. 99]).

Let $U \subset \mathbf{H}$ be the open subset consisting of ideals with regularity $\leq b-1$. Then $H_m := \mathbf{H} - U$ has a *natural* structure as a smooth subscheme of $\mathbf{H}$ (see Appendix C). If $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$ (as always), then $H_m(k)$ consists of the ideals of the form $(\ell, f(h,g))$, $\ell \in P_1 - (0)$, $f \in [P/\ell P(-1)]_{a-1}$, $h \in P_1/\ell \cdot k - (0)$, $g \in [P/(\ell,h) \cdot P(-1)]_{b-a+1}$.

Suppose that $(\ell_i, f_i(h_i, g_i)) \leftrightarrow \xi_i \in H_m(k)$, $i = 0, 1$ have the same image in $W$ under $\gamma$, hence $\ell_i P_{b-2} + f_i h_i \cdot k$ are equal subspaces in $P_{b-1}$ for $i = 0, 1$. It follows that they generate the same ideal in $P$, i.e. one has $(\ell_0, f_0 h_0) = (\ell_1, f_1 h_1)$. From this it follows that we can assume $\ell_0 = \ell_1 =: \ell$ and $f_0 h_0 = f_1 h_1$ in $P/\ell P(-1)$. Two cases can occur:

(i) $h_1 \in h_0 \cdot k$, hence $f_1 \in f_0 \cdot k$.
(ii) $h_1 \notin h_0 \cdot k$. Then $h_1$ divides $f_0$ and $f_1 = h_0 \cdot (f_0/h_1)$.

If $h_1, \ldots, h_r$ are the essentially different linear forms in $P/\ell P(-1)$ which divide $f_0$, then define $f_i := h_0 \cdot (f_0/h_i)$ and put $L_i := [P/(\ell, h_i)P(-1)]_{b-a+1}$. Then $\gamma$ maps $W_i := \{ (\ell, f_i(h_i, g)) \mid g \in L_i \} \subset H_m$ to $\gamma(\xi_0)$. As $W_i \simeq \mathbb{P}(L_i) \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^{b-a}$, we get

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $g$ be the morphism $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{b-1} = \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\rho}$. Then one has:*

*(i) $g|\mathbf{H} - H_m$ is an isomorphism.*
*(ii) If $(\ell, f(h, g)) \leftrightarrow \xi \in H_m(k)$ and $F := g^{-1}g(\xi)$, then $F(k)$ is a disjoint union of $r$ projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_k^{b-a}$, where $r$ is the number of essentially different linear forms in $P/\ell P(-1)$, which divide $f \in [P/\ell P(-1)]_d$.* □

## 3.5. Connection with the results of Fogarty

In [**F1**] Fogarty constructed morphisms $\omega_t^P(m) : \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}_k^N) \to \mathbb{P}_k^n$, where $m \gg 0$ is a natural number and $n$ depends on $m$, and he gave a description of the fibers (loc. cit. Theorem 10.4., p. 84).

**3.5.1.** If one chooses $N = 3$, $t = 1$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$ in (loc. cit.), then one sees that the fibers of $\omega_1^P(m)$ coincide with the fibers of $f_n$, at least as sets of points. From $f_n(C_0) = \{ 1 \text{ point} \}$ it follows that $\omega_1^P(m)(C_0) = \{ 1 \text{ point} \}$. If then $\mathcal{L}_{1,m}$ is the line bundle belonging to $\omega_1^P(m)$ (loc. cit. p. 88), from $(\mathcal{L}_{1,m} \cdot C_0) = 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{1,m} = \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2} \otimes L$, where $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$ and $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ are natural numbers depending on $m$. But I cannot describe this dependence more concretely.

**3.5.2.** If $N = 3, t = 2$, $P(n) = dgn - g + 1$, then $\omega_1^P(m)$ is the Hilbert-Chow morphism (loc. cit. p. 84). If $U = U(4; k) \subset G := \mathrm{GL}(4; k)$ is the subgroup of all upper unitriangular matrices, than any integer closed curve in $X = \mathbb{P}_k^3$, which is invariant under $U$ is equal to the line $\ell = V(x, y)$, hence the fixed point scheme $\mathbf{H}^U$ is mapped by $\omega_2^P(m)$ to a single point. If $\mathcal{L}_{2,m}$ is the line bundle belonging to $\omega_2^P(m)$, then $(\mathcal{L}_{2,m} \cdot C_0) = 0$ follows. Now the 1-cycle $D = \left\{ (x^2, xy, y^{a-1}, z^{b-2a+4}(y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3})) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$ is contained in $\mathbf{H}^U$ and $[D] = (d-1)[C_0] + [C_1]$ (eq. (1.1) in Chapter 1). It follows that $(\mathcal{L}_{2,m} \cdot C_1) = 0$, hence $\mathcal{L}_{2,m} = \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu} \otimes L$ where $\nu > 0$ and $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathbf{H})$. Certainly $\mathcal{L}_{2,m}$ has to be equal to $\mathcal{L}_2$, but I cannot prove this in a simple way.

**3.5.3.** It is for this reason that I have to use the morphism $\Phi$, which was constructed by Mumford in [**M1**, Section 5.4].

Let be $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, $\xi \leftrightarrow C$ the corresponding closed curve in $X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$. The cycle $\langle C \rangle$ of $C$ is defined as

$$\langle C \rangle = \sum \nu_i (C_i)_{\mathrm{red}}$$

where the $C_i$ are the 1-dimensional, irreducible components of $C$ and $\nu_i$ their multiplicities. The Hilbert-Chow morphism is a morphism $\Phi : \mathbf{H} \to \mathrm{Div}^{d,d}(X \times X)$, where $\mathrm{Div}^{d,d}(X \times X)$ is a projective scheme, hence a closed subscheme of a projective space $\mathbb{P}$. If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, one has $\Phi(\xi) =$ Chow form of $\langle C \rangle$. Now Fogarty showed that

$$\langle C \rangle \mapsto \text{Chow form of } \langle C \rangle$$

is an injective map [**F1**, proof of Lemma 10.3]. As we will make statements on the Hilbert-Chow morphism, which only concern the fibers, we write $h$ instead of $\Phi$, i.e. $h(\xi) = \langle C \rangle$. As $\Phi$ is $\mathrm{PGL}(3; k)$-equivariant (cf. [**M1**, p. 109]), one has $h(g\xi) = gh(\xi)$ if $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4; k)$.

CHAPTER 4

# The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on the first Chow groups

We recall the convention that $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ and $A_1(\mathbf{C})$ denote the Chow groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, and put $S = k[x, y, z, t]$.

## 4.1. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(\mathbf{H})$

In Chapter 1 it had been shown that the cone $A_1^+(\mathbf{H})$ is freely generated by the classes of $C_0, C_1, C_2$ (cf. Theorem 1.2). It follows that each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ permutes the set $\{\, [C_0], [C_1], [C_2] \,\}$.

Case 1: $[\varphi(C_2)] = [C_1]$.
Let be $(\ell, f\mathcal{K}) \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{G}(k)$ and $g \in S_d/\ell S_{d-1}$ such that $f$ and $g$ are linearly independent modulo $\ell S_{d-1}$. Then $D := \left\{\, \langle \alpha \bar{f} + \beta \bar{g} \rangle \;\middle|\; (\alpha : \beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \,\right\}$ is a curve of degree 1 in $\mathbb{P}(S_d/\ell S_{d-1})$ and $C := \{\, (\ell, (\alpha f + \beta g)\mathcal{K} \mid (\alpha : \beta) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \,\} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a curve such that $[C] = [C_2]$ (cf. Proposition 2.2), from which it follows that $[\varphi(C)] = [\varphi(C_2)] = [C_1]$. By Corollary 2.1 it follows that $\varphi(C) \subset H_m$ hence $\varphi(\mathcal{G}) \subset H_m$. Comparing the dimensions of $H_m$ and $\mathcal{G}$ it follows that $a = b$ or $a + 1 = b$ (cf. Appendix C).

Case 2: $[\varphi(C_1)] = [C_2]$.
Applying $\varphi^{-1}$ one gets $[\varphi^{-1}(C_2)] = [C_1]$ and as in the first case $a = b$ or $a + 1 = b$ follows.

Case 3: $[\varphi(C_0)] = [C_1]$.
Let be $\mathcal{I} = (\ell, f) \cap \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{b-a-1} \cap Q$, where $f \in (S_d/\ell S_{d-1}) - (0)$, $\mathcal{P}_i \in \mathbb{P}^3 - V(\ell, f)$ are closed points, different from each other, $Q$ an ideal in $S$ which is primary to a point $\mathcal{P}$ with multiplicity 2, and $\mathcal{P} \notin V(\ell, f)$ and $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_i$ for all $i$. Let $M \subset \mathbf{H}(k)$ be the set of all such ideals. Fixing $\ell, f, \mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{b-a-1}$ and $\mathcal{P}$, $M$ contains the closed points of $V := \mathrm{Quot}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{P}^2) \subset \mathrm{Grass}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}/\mathcal{P}^2)$. Take a point $\xi_0 \leftrightarrow (\ell, f) \cap \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{b-a-1} \cap Q_0 \in M$ and a different point $\xi_1 \leftrightarrow (\ell, f) \cap \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_{b-a-1} \cap Q_1$ such that $Q_0$ and $Q_1 \in V(k)$. Then $f(\xi_0) = f(\xi_1)$ if $f$ is the morphism defined by $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ (see Chapter 3). By Lemma 3.2, $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$ can be joined by a connected curve $D \subset \mathbf{H}$ such that $[D] = \nu \cdot [C_0]$. It follows that $[\varphi(D)] = [\nu\varphi(C_0)] = \nu[C_1]$, and this implies $\varphi(D) \subset H_m$ (Corollary 2.1), hence $\varphi(M) \subset H_m$. But clearly one has $\dim M \geq 3 + \binom{d+2}{2} - 1 + 3(b-a) + 1 = \binom{d+2}{2} + 3(b-a) + 3$ and $\dim H_m = \binom{d+2}{2} + (b-a) + 5$; this implies $a = b$ or $a + 1 = b$.

Case 4: $[\varphi(C_0)] = [C_2]$.
Using the same argumentation as in Case 3 and Lemma 2.2, it follows that $\varphi(M) \subset \mathcal{G}$. As $\dim \mathcal{G} = \binom{d+2}{2} + 2(b-a) + 4$, this again implies $a = b$ or $a + 1 = b$.

Now the general assumption was $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq g(d) = (d-2)^2/4$. Using the formulas from [**T1**, p. 92] one sees that this amounts to $a^2 - 1 \leq 4b$ and we obtain:

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{H} = H_{d,g}$ *be the Hilbert scheme, which parametrizes curves in* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *with degree* $d \geq 3$ *and genus* $g \leq g(d) = (d-2)^2/4$. *If* $(d,g) \notin \{\,(3,0),\,(3,-1),\,(4,1)\,\}$, *then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ *operates trivially on* $A_1(\mathbf{H})$. □

COROLLARY 4.1. *If* $d \geq 5$ *and* $g \leq g(d)$, *then the subschemes* $H_m$ *and* $\mathcal{G}$ *are invariant under* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$.

PROOF. 1°. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\ell, f(h,g)) \leftrightarrow \xi \in H_m(k)$. Take any $g' \in S_c$, $c = b - a + 1$, such that $g$ and $g'$ are linearly independent modulo $(\ell, h)S(-1)$. Put $\mathcal{I}_\alpha := (\ell, f(h, g + \alpha \cdot g'))$. In order to compute the degree of $C := \{\,\mathcal{I}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in k\,\}^-$ one can suppose that $\ell = x$, $h = y$ and $g, g' \in k[z,t]_c$. Then one can write:

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = xP_{n-1} \oplus f \cdot y \cdot k[y,z,t]_{n-a} \oplus f \cdot (g + \alpha g')k[z,t]_{n-b}\,.$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \alpha\text{-}\deg\Big(\dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_\alpha(n))\Big) = n - b + 1\,.$$

As *numerical equivalence = rational equivalence* on $\mathbf{H}$, we have $[C] = [C_1]$. From Proposition 4.1 it follows $[\varphi(C)] = [C_1]$ and by Corollary 2.1 in Chapter 2 it follows that $\varphi(C) \subset H_m$.

2°. If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, in the proof of Proposition 4.1 it was shown that there is a connected curve $C \subset \mathcal{G}$ with $\xi \in C$ and $[C] = [C_2]$. From $[\varphi(C)] = [C_2]$ and Lemma 2.2 in Chapter 2 it follows that $\varphi(C) \subset \mathcal{G}$. □

## 4.2. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(H_m)$ and on $A_1(\mathcal{G})$

**4.2.1.** Let be $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(H_m)$. By Appendix C, Proposition C.4 the cone $A_1^+(H_m)$ is freely generated by $[Z_i]$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$, hence $\varphi_*$ permutes these classes. If $\varphi_*[Z_i] = [Z_j]$ in $A_1(H_m)$, this equation is true in $A_1(\mathbf{H})$, too. As $\varphi_*$ acts trivially on $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ if $d \geq 5$ and $g \leq g(d)$ (cf. Proposition 4.1), it follows $[Z_i] = [Z_j]$. Forming the intersection numbers with $\mathcal{M}_n$ shows that $i = j$, i.e. $\varphi_*$ acts trivially on $A_1(H_m)$.

**4.2.2.** Let be $Z = q_0Z_0 + \cdots + q_3Z_3$, $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, and suppose $[Z] = 0$ in $A_1(\mathcal{G})$. As usual $p : \mathcal{G} \to X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$ is the projection $(\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$, hence the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_3$ to $H_m$ agrees with the line bundle introduced in Appendix C, Section C.7. Using Lemma C.1 in that section gives $q_i = 0$. As $A_1(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$ by Corollary C.2, it follows that $[Z_i]$ is a basis of $A_1(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If $[Z] \in A_1(\mathcal{G})$, it follows that there are integers $n_i$ and $n \neq 0$ such that $n[Z] = \sum n_i[Z_i]$. But then $n\varphi_*[Z] = n[Z]$, hence $\varphi_*[Z] = [Z]$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *If* $d \geq 5$ *and and* $g \leq g(d)$, *then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ *acts trivially on* $A_1(H_m)$ *and* $A_1(\mathcal{G})$. □

## 4.3. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on $A_1(\mathbf{C})$

Each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ induces an automorphism $\varphi \times \mathrm{id}$ of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $(\varphi \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, hence induces an automorphism $\psi$ of the universal curve via the cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbf{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa} \\ \mathbf{H} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{H} & & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

As had been shown (Theorem 1.2) that $A_1^+(\mathbf{C})$ is freely generated by the classes of $C_i^* := C_i \times \{ P_0 \}$ and $L^* = \{ \omega \} \times L$, where $P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$, $\omega \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ is the point corresponding to the lexicographic ideal, and $L = V(x, y) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, it follows that $\psi_*$ permutes the set $\{ [C_0^*], [C_1^*], [C_2^*], [L^*] \}$. Suppose $\psi_*[L^*] = \sum q_i [C_i^*] + q[L^*]$. It follows that

$$\pi_* \psi_* [L^*] = \sum q_i [\pi(C_i^*)] + q \pi_* [L^*] = \sum q_i [C_i]$$

as $\pi | C_i$ is injective and $\pi(L^*) = \{ \omega \}$. From the diagram above it follows that $\pi_* \psi_* [L^*] = \varphi_* \pi_* [L^*] = 0$, hence $q_i = 0$, $0 \le i \le 2$. But then $\psi_*[L^*] = [L^*]$ follows and $\psi_*$ permutes the $[C_i^*]$. If $\psi_*[C_i^*] = [C_j^*]$, then application of $\pi_*$ and using Proposition 4.1 gives $i = j$.

Proposition 4.3. *If $d \ge 5$, $g \le g(d) = (d-2)^2/4$, then* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ *acts trivially on* $A_1(\mathbf{C})$. □

CHAPTER 5

# Automorphisms of some special schemes

## 5.1. Description of the starting situation

We write $S = k[x, y, z, t]$ or $S = k[X_0, \ldots, X_3]$, $X = \mathbb{P}(S_1) = \mathrm{Proj}(S) = \mathbb{P}^3$, $d = a - 1$, $c = b - a + 1$, where $a$ and $b$ are the Macaulay coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - P(n)$ (see Section 1.1).

If $Y, Z, \ldots$ are the schemes of Appendix C, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(k) &= \{\, (\ell, h) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ h \in S_1/\ell \cdot k \,\} \\
Z(k) &= \{\, (\ell, h, g) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ h \in S_1/\ell \cdot k,\ g \in S_d/\langle \ell, h \rangle S_{d-1} \,\} \\
\mathcal{H}(k) &= \{\, (\ell, f) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ f \in S_d/\ell S_{d-1} \,\} \\
\mathfrak{X}(k) &= \{\, (\ell, \mathcal{K}) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ \mathcal{K} \in \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Proj}\, S/\ell S(-1)) \,\} \\
H_m(k) &= \{\, (\ell, f(h, g)) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ h \in S_1/\ell \cdot k,\ f \in S_d/\ell S_{d-1},\ g \in S_c/\langle \ell, h \rangle S_{c-1} \,\} \\
\mathcal{G}(k) &= \{\, (\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \mid \ell \in S_1,\ f \in S_d/\ell S_{d-1},\ \mathcal{K} \in \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Proj}\, S/\ell S(-1)) \,\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\ell, h, f, g$ are all different from zero.

In Appendix C it is shown that all these schemes are projective and smooth. $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathfrak{X}$, hence $H_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H} \times_X Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H} \times_X \mathfrak{X}$. One has a commutative diagram

$(**)$




where $\pi : Z \longrightarrow X$ factorizes in $Z \xrightarrow{q} Y \xrightarrow{p} X$. Let be $R = k[x, y, z]$, $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathrm{Proj}(R)$. Then $U = \{\, (\ell, f) \mid \ell = ax + by + cz + t, f \in R_d \otimes A \,\}$ is an open set in $\mathcal{H}(A)$ and $p_1^{-1}(U) = U \times_A \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)(A)$ respectively $p_1^{-1}(U) = U \times_A F(A)$, where $F \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the closed subscheme of ideals $(h, g)$, $h \in R_1$, $g \in R_c/hR_{c-1}$. It follows that in both cases $p_1$ defines a locally trivial fiber bundle and the other morphisms define projective bundles.

Each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ induces $k$-automorphisms of $H_m$ and $\mathcal{G}$ (cf. Corollary 4.1). The aim in this Chapter 5 is to show:
*There is a $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}(3,k)$, which is uniquely determined by $\varphi$, such that $\varphi|H_m$ and $\varphi|\mathcal{G}$ are induced by $\gamma$* (cf. Proposition 5.3).

The proof uses the aformentioned properties of the different morphisms in diagram $(**)$, the fact that $\varphi_*$ operates as the identity on $A_1(H_m)$ and $A_1(\mathcal{G})$ (cf. Proposition 4.2) and a formalism, which is explained in the next sections.

## 5.2. Relative automorphisms of $\mathcal{H}$

It seems rather difficult to determine the group $\mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathcal{H})$. But if $\pi : \mathcal{H} \to X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$ is the projection, the fiber of $\pi$ over $\ell \cdot A \in X(A)$ is $\mathbb{P}(S_d \otimes A/\ell S_{d-1} \otimes A)$, i.e. $\pi : \mathcal{H} \to X$ is a projective bundle.

PROPOSITION 5.1. $\mathrm{Aut}_X(\mathcal{H}) = \{\,\mathrm{id}\,\}$.

PROOF. To simplify the notations, in this section we write $S = k[X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3]$. If we put $L := x_0X_0 + \cdots + x_3X_3$, $X = \mathbb{P}(S_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Proj}\, k[x_0, \ldots, x_3]$, then $\mathcal{L} := L \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ is the universal 1-subbundle of $S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ and we let $\mathcal{F}$ be the subbundle of rank $\binom{d-1+3}{3}$ of $\mathcal{E} := S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, which is generated by $\mathcal{L}$, i.e. we put $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{L} \otimes_k S_{d-1}$. As $\mathcal{L}|D_+(x_i) = \left(\frac{x_0}{x_i}X_0 + \cdots + \frac{x_3}{x_i}X_3\right) \cdot \Gamma(D_+(x_i), \mathcal{O}_X)$, the quotient $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}$ is locally free over $X$ of rank $\binom{d+2}{2}$. One sees that $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) =: \mathbb{P}$.

One has a commutative diagram

(5.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P} & \xrightarrow{\ \varphi\ } & \mathbb{P} \\ & {\scriptstyle\pi}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} & \\ & X & \end{array}$$

and $\varphi^*$ defines an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{P}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{O}_X(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$$

(see [**H**, Chapter II, Ex. 7.9]). It follows $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X(m))$ with $n = \pm 1$. Now $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is trivial over $U = D_+(x_i)$ and $\pi^{-1}(U) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_k \times U =: Y$. It follows

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu)) \simeq H^0(Y, \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\nu)|Y)) \simeq H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\nu n)) \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

It follows that $n = 1$ and we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X(m)) \text{ as } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\text{-modules} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \pi_*\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \pi_*\varphi_*\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \text{ as } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-modules} \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(\nu m) \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}$$

If $m \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{G}$ has a constant Hilbert polynomial and thus $\dim(\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{G})) = 0$, contradiction. It follows that $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi^* : \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. Conversely, each isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ over $X$ [**H**, Chapter II, Ex. 7.9].

As $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ one has an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow 0$$

and one obtains an exact sequence

(5.2)

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G})) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}))\,.$$

Now $\mathcal{F} = L \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes_k S_{d-1}$ and putting $n = \dim_k S_{d-1} \otimes S_d$ we obtain:

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, L \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \otimes_k S_{d-1} \otimes S_d \simeq L \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes_k k^n \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes_k k^n$$

which implies that the first and last term in the sequence (5.2) are equal to (0). Now $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_X(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}(S_d, S_d)$ and thus $\mathrm{Hom}_k(S_d, S_d) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G})$. Together with the diagram (5.1) we deduce that $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can.}} \mathcal{G} \underset{\varphi^*}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} \mathcal{G}$ is induced by a $k$-linear map $\psi : S_d \to S_d$ which, for all $\ell \in S_1 - (0)$, induces a $k$-linear isomorphism $S_d/\ell S_{d-1} \to S_d/\ell S_{d-1}$. It follows that $\psi(\ell S_{d-1}) \subset \ell S_{d-1}$ for all $\ell \in S_1$. The lemma in Appendix B shows that $\psi$ is the multiplication by an element $\alpha \in k^*$ and thus $\varphi$ is the identical map on $\mathbb{P}$. □

If one puts $d = 1$, one obtains

Corollary 5.1. $\mathrm{Aut}_X(Y) = \{\,\mathrm{id}\,\}$. □

### 5.3. Relative automorphisms of $Z$

If $A$ is a $k$-algebra, we defined $Z(A)$ by

$$Z(k) = \left\{ (\ell, h, g) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \ell \in S_1 \otimes A,\ h \in S_1 \otimes A/\ell A \text{ and } g \in S_d \otimes A/\langle \ell, h\rangle \cdot S_{d-1} \otimes A \\ \text{respectively, generate 1-subbundles.} \end{array} \right\}$$

The aim is to show that $\mathrm{Aut}_Y(Z) = \{\,\mathrm{id}\,\}$ and, as in the case of $\mathcal{H}$, we have to build up a more formal setting:

$S = k[X_0, \ldots, X_3]$, $X = \mathbb{P}^3 \simeq \mathbb{P}(S_1)$, $L_1 := x_0X_0 + \cdots + x_3X_3$, $\mathcal{L}_1 := L_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \subset S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ universal 1-subbundle over $X$, $\mathcal{G}_1 := S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{L}_1$ locally free over $X$ of rank 3.

$Y := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1) \simeq \mathrm{Flag}(1, 2, S_1) \xrightarrow{p} X$ is a projective bundle, where $p$ is defined by $(F_1, F_2) \mapsto F_1$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_X \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the universal 1-subbundle. As $\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes_X \mathcal{O}_Y = S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y/p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_k S_{d-1} = S_1 \otimes_k S_{d-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y/p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes_k S_{d-1} \twoheadrightarrow S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y/p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot S_{d-1}$$

because, locally on $Y$, one has $p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)|U = \ell_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_U$, $\ell_1 \in S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ not a zero-divisor. Thus $\mathcal{E} := S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y / p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot S_{d-1}$ is locally free over $Y$ of rank $\binom{d+2}{2}$. As locally on $Y$ one has $\mathcal{L}_2|U = \ell_2 \cdot \mathcal{O}_U$ and $\ell_2 \in S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_U/\ell_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_U$ not a zero-divisor of $S \otimes \mathcal{O}_U/\ell_1 \cdot S(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$, the canonical map $\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes_k S_{d-1} \to \mathcal{E}$ is injective and remains so if tensored with $k(y)$, for all $y \in Y$. It follows that the image $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{L}_2 \cdot S_{d-1} \simeq \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes_k S_{d-1}$ of this homomorphism is a subbundle, $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}$ is locally free over $Y$ of rank $d+1$ and $Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ by construction. The canonical morphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{G}$ is denoted by $\kappa$.

REMARK 5.1. $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = (0)$.

PROOF. $Y = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G}_1)$, $\mathcal{G}_1$ of rank $3 \Rightarrow R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu) = (0)$, if $0 < i < 2$, all $\nu$; $R^2 p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\nu) = (0)$, if $\nu > -3$ (see [**H**, III, Ex. 8.4]) $\Rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = H^1(X, p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1))$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.1). As $p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) = (0)$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.4a), the assertion follows. □

REMARK 5.2. $H^1(Y, p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) = (0)$.

PROOF. $R^i p_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1) = (0)$, if $i > 0$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.3, 8.4) $\Rightarrow H^1(Y, p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = H^1(X, p_* p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.1). Now $p_* p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1) = p_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes p_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.3). As $p_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathrm{Symm}^0(\mathcal{G}_1) = \mathcal{O}_X$ (loc. cit. Ex. 8.4) one gets $H^1(Y, p^* \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = (0)$. □

REMARK 5.3. $H^0(Y, p^* \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = (0)$.

PROOF. $R^i p_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = R^i p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) = (0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1) = (0)$, if $i > 0$ (loc. cit.) $\Rightarrow H^0(Y, p^* \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) \simeq H^0(X, p_*(p^* \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-1))) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = (0)$ as $p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) = (0)$ (loc. cit.). □

REMARK 5.4. $H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) = (0)$.

PROOF. $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = (0)$, as $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free on $Y$, and there are two exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.3}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.4}$$

From (5.4) we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot S_{d-1}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$$

which gives the exact sequence:

(5.5)

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow$$
$$\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot S_{d-1}, \mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F}))$$

Now from

$$\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F}) \otimes S_d = \mathcal{F} \otimes S_d$$

it follows that $H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{F})) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{F}) \otimes S_d \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes S_{d-1}) \otimes S_d \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}_2) \otimes S_{d-1} \otimes S_d \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) \otimes S_{d-1} \otimes S_d = (0)$ because of $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$ as $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules and Remark 5.1. We further compute:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}om(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)S_{d-1}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_{d-1}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1), \mathcal{F}) \otimes S_{d-1} \\ = \ &\mathcal{H}om(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(-1), \mathcal{F}) \otimes S_{d-1} \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_Y, p^*\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes S_{d-1}) \otimes S_{d-1} \\ \simeq \ &p^*\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-1) \otimes S_{d-1} \otimes S_{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

(see [**H**, Chap. III, Prop. 6.7 and 6.3a] and use $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$). By Remark 5.3 we get $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}om(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)S_{d-1}, \mathcal{F})) = (0)$ and from the exact sequence (5.5) Remark 5.4 follows. □

Applying $\Gamma(Y, -)$ to the sequence (5.3) gives

Conclusion 5.1. The canonical map

$$\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}) \simeq \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{G}))$$

defined by $u \mapsto \kappa \circ u$ is surjective. □

Applying $\mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, -)$ to the exact sequence (5.4) gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_{d-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Now one applies $\Gamma(Y, -)$ and, because of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, one obtains the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_{d-1}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)))\,. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_Y, p^*(\mathcal{L}_1)) \otimes S_d = p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_d \simeq p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \otimes S_d\,,$$

from Remark 5.2 it follows that the last term in the sequence is equal to (0) and $\mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E})$ is surjective. Now

$$\mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_k(S_d, S_d) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_k(S_d, S_d)\,.$$

As $Y$ is a variety, $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = k$ and one has

Conclusion 5.2. $\mathrm{Hom}_k(S_d, S_d) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E})$ . □

As $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xt}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$, applying $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{E})$ to (5.4) gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \cdot S_{d-1}, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

Applying $\Gamma(Y, -)$ to this sequence gives

Conclusion 5.3. $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \overset{\text{can.}}{\rightarrowtail} \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E})$ . □

Finally, the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})\,.$$

Application of $\Gamma(Y,-)$ gives

CONCLUSION 5.4. The canonical morphism $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\kappa^*} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{G})$ defined by $u \mapsto u \circ \kappa$ is injective. □

All in all one obtains a diagram of natural homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{G}) & & \longleftarrow & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}) \\ \uparrow & & & & \\ \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) & \rightarrowtail & \mathrm{Hom}(S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}) & \twoheadleftarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_k(S_d, S_d) \end{array}$$

CONCLUSION 5.5. Each $\mathcal{O}_Y$-homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is induced by a $k$-linear homomorphism $S_d \to S_d$. □

*Geometrical consequences*

We recall that $p : Y \to X$ and $\pi : Z \to Y$ are defined by $(\ell, h) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$ respectively $(\ell, h, g) \mapsto (\ell, h)$. The fibers are $\mathbb{P}(S_1 \otimes A/\ell A) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_k \otimes A$ respectively $\mathbb{P}(S_d \otimes A/\langle \ell, h \rangle S_{d-1} \otimes A) \simeq \mathbb{P}^d_k \otimes A$, which shows that $p$ and $\pi$ are projective bundles.

If we take any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_Y(Z)$, the diagram

(5.6)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Z \\ & {\scriptstyle\pi}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

is commutative. We want to compute $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ and again use the results [**H**, Chap II, Prop. 7.11; Ex. II 7.8, 7.9, 7.10; III 8.1, 8.3, 8.4].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pic}(Z) &\simeq \mathrm{Pic}(Y) \times \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}_X(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \cdot \mathbb{Z}\,. \end{aligned}$$

From the diagram (5.6) it follows that

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1)) \simeq \pi^* p^* \mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(\mu)\,,$$

where $m, n \in \{\, 0, \pm 1 \,\}$ and $\mu \in \{\, \pm 1 \,\}$, as $\varphi^*$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$.

If $y \in Y(k)$ and $F := \pi^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow Z$ is the fiber, then $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi' = \varphi | F$ and one has a commutative diagram:

(5.7)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & F \\ & {\scriptstyle\pi}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} & \\ & \mathrm{Spec}\, k(y) & \end{array}$$

As $\pi^*p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m)|F$ and $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_Y(m)|F$ are trivial, $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_Z(1)|F) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(\mu)|F$, hence $\varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_Z(\nu)|F) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(\nu\cdot\mu)|F$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $\mathcal{G}$ is a $d+1$-bundle, therefore $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^d$ and $(\varphi')^*\mathcal{O}_F(\nu) \simeq \mathcal{O}_F(\mu\cdot\nu)$ which implies

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_F(\nu)) \simeq H^0((\varphi')^*(\mathcal{O}_F(\nu)) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{O}_F(\nu\cdot\mu))$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\mu = 1$ and

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \pi^*p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_Y(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$$
$$\pi_*\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(n) \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1)\,.$$

Putting $\mathcal{L} := p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(n)$ and using $\pi_* = \pi_* \circ \varphi_*$ gives

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

and hence $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\nu$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in X(k)$ and $F := p^{-1}(x) \hookrightarrow Y$. Then $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ and $p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m)|F$ is trivial. It follows $\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_F \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_F(\nu n)$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \neq 0$, then the dimension of $\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_F)$ would be equal to 0, contradiction, as $\mathcal{G}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of rank $d+1$. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ and

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \pi^*p^*\mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(1)\,.$$

Application of $(p\circ\pi)_* = p_* \circ \pi_*$ gives:

$$p_*\pi_*\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes p_*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$$
$$p_*\pi_*\varphi_*\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{G})$$
$$p_*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{G})$$
$$p_*(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{G})$$

Hence $p_*(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(\nu m) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{G})$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. We now compute $p_*\mathcal{G}$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and defines $\mathcal{G}$. $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$ as $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. Application of $p_*$ gives

$$0 \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow R^1p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) \otimes S_{d-1}$$

and the first and last term in this exact sequence are zero [**H**, Ex. III 8.4]. Hence $p_*(\mathcal{G}) \simeq p_*(\mathcal{E})$ and we get $p_*(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(\nu m) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{E})$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show again that this is possible only if $m = 0$: $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

and $\mathcal{L}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. It follows the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow S_d \otimes p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow R^1p_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y)\,.$$

Now

$$p_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$$

and

$$R^1p_*(p^*\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes R^1p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) = (0)$$

again by [**H**, Ex. III 8.4]. We get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes S_{d-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes S_d \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \otimes S_{d-1} \simeq \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes S_{d-1}$ as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. It follows that $p_*(\mathcal{E}) \simeq S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes S_{d-1}$ is locally free of rank $\binom{d+2}{2}$, hence $m = 0$ follows. Now from $\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ and $\pi_* = \pi_*\varphi_*$ it follows that $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules

$$\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(1) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}\,.$$

Conclusion 5.6. Each $Y$-automorphism of $Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{G})$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$, and conversely.

Proof. One direction follows from the preceding considerations and (loc. cit.). Using [**EGA**, Proposition 4.2.3] gives the other direction. □

From Conclusions 5.5 and 5.6 follows that any $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_Y(Z)$ is induced by a $k$-linear map $\psi : S_d \to S_d$ and from the commutative diagram (5.7) follows that

$$\psi(\langle \ell, h\rangle \cdot S_{d-1}) \subset \langle \ell, h\rangle \cdot S_{d-1} \tag{5.8}$$

for all $\ell \in S_1 - (0)$ and all $h \in (S_1/\ell \cdot k) - (0)$. In order to draw further conclusions from (5.8), we need two simple statements.

Remark 5.5. If $\ell \in S_1 - (0)$ and $I = (\ell, f)$ and $J = (\ell, g)$ are two ideals in $S$ such that $f \in \bar{S}_d$ and $g \in \bar{S}_\ell$ are relatively prime in the ring $\bar{S} = S/\ell S(-1))$, then $I \cap J = (\ell, \bar{f}\bar{g})$. □

Remark 5.6. Suppose that $\ell \in S_1 - (0)$, $\bar{S} = S/\ell S(-1)$, $I_{(i)} := (\ell, h_i)$, $h_i \in \bar{S}_1$, $1 \le i \le m$, are relatively prime to each other and $I := \bigcap_1^m I_{(i)}$. Then $I_n = \ell S_{n-1}$, if $n < m$, and $I_n = \ell S_{n-1} + h_1 \cdots h_m \cdot S_{n-m}$, if $n \ge m$. □

Now choose $h_1, \ldots, h_{d+1} \in S_1$ which modulo $\ell$ are relatively prime to each other and put $L_i := \langle \ell, h_i\rangle S_{d-1}$, $1 \le i \le d+1$. Then from Remark 5.6 and (5.8) it follows that

$$\psi(\cap L_i) \subset \bigcap \psi(L_i) \subset \bigcap L_i = \ell \cdot S_{d-1}\,.$$

Conclusion 5.7. $\psi(\ell S_{d-1}) \subset \ell S_{d-1}$ for all $\ell \in S_1$. □

From the lemma in Appendix B follows

Proposition 5.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_Y(Z) = \{\,\mathrm{id}\,\}$. □

## 5.4. Normed automorphisms of H

**5.4.1.** We start with a general situation:
Suppose one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ X & & X \end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

where all schemes are reduced and projective over $k$, $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are automorphisms and the following conditions are fulfilled:

a) $\psi_*$ acts trivially on $A_1(Z)$.
b) $p$ locally has sections and $q$ is surjective.
c) If $x \in X(k)$ and $y_1, y_2 \in p^{-1}(x)$ are closed points, then there is a curve $C \subset p^{-1}(x)$ and a connected curve $D \subset Z$ such that $y_1, y_2 \in C$ and $q(D) = C$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose these conditions are fulfilled. Then one has:*

*(i) There is a morphism $\varphi'$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & X \end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

*is commutative.*
*(ii) $\varphi'$ is uniquely determined by $\psi$ and $\varphi$ (Notation: $\varphi' = (\psi, \varphi)$).*
*(iii) $\varphi'$ is an automorphism.*
*(iv) If one has two diagrams fulfilling the aforementioned conditions*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\psi_i} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i'} & X \end{array} \tag{5.11}$$

*then $(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2)' = \varphi_1' \circ \varphi_2'$.*

PROOF. (All points are closed points.)

(i) If $x \in X$, $y \in p^{-1}(x)$, then $\varphi'(x) := p\varphi(y)$ is well defined: If $y_1, y_2 \in C \subset p^{-1}(x)$ and $q(D) = C$, then $\psi_*[D] = [\psi(D)] = [D]$, hence

$$\deg(pq|\psi(D)) \cdot [pq\psi(D)] = p_*q_*[\psi(D)] = p_*q_*[D] = 0\,.$$

It follows that $pq\psi(D) = p\varphi q(D) = p\varphi(C)$ is a single point. If $U \subset X$ is an open set and $s : U \to Y$ is a section of $p$, then $\varphi'|U = p \circ \varphi \circ s$ is a morphism.

(ii) follows from the surjectivity of $p$.

(iv) follows from (ii), and (iii) follows from (iv) if one puts $\psi_1 = \psi$, $\psi_2 = \psi^{-1}$, $\varphi_1 = \varphi$, $\varphi_2 = \varphi^{-1}$. □

**5.4.2.** Let be $\varphi \in G := \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathbf{H})$. By Proposition 4.2 , $\varphi_*$ trivially acts on $A_1(\mathcal{G})$. Then Lemma 5.1 should give a commutative diagram:

(5.12)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\
\| & & \| \\
\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\
{\scriptstyle p_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2} \\
\mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \mathfrak{X} \\
{\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\
X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} & X
\end{array}$$

Here $p_2$ is a projective bundle and $\pi$ is a locally trivial fiber bundle (see Section 5.1). If $x = \langle \ell \rangle \in X$, $y_i = (\ell, \mathcal{K}_i) \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, then there is a connected curve $B \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$, which contains $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$, hence $C = \langle \ell \rangle \times B \subset \mathfrak{X}$ connects $y_1$ and $y_2$ and if $f$ is any suitable form, $D = (\ell, f) \times C \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a connected curve such that $p_2(D) = C$. It follows that $\varphi_2$ and $\varphi_2'$ exist. In a similar way one gets

(5.13)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\
\| & & \| \\
\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\
{\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1} \\
\mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \mathcal{H} \\
{\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\
X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1'} & X
\end{array}$$

and running through the diagrams gives:

$$\varphi_1' = \varphi_2' \,.$$

**5.4.3.** As to $H_m$, one has the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H_m \\ {\scriptstyle p_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} & Y \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2',\varphi_3'} & X \end{array} \tag{5.14}$$

The construction of $\varphi_2$ and $\varphi_2' = (\varphi, \varphi_2)$ goes as in 5.4.2 if one takes the irreducible subscheme $F$ instead of $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (see Section 5.1). If $y = (\ell, h) \in Y$ and $z_i = (\ell, h, g_i) \in q^{-1}(y)$, then $C = \{\, (\ell, h, \alpha g_1 + \beta g_2) \,\}^- \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ connects $z_1$ and $z_2$ and $p_2$ maps $D = (\ell, f) \times C$ onto $C$. Hence $\varphi_3 = (\varphi, \varphi_2)$ exists.

If $x = \langle \ell \rangle \in X$, $y_1 = (\ell, h_1)$, $y_2 = (\ell, h_2)$, take $z_i = (\ell, h_i, g_i) \in Z$ and a connected curve $B \subset F$, which contains $(h_i, g_i)$. Then $D = (\ell, f) \times (\langle \ell \rangle \times B)$ is a connected curve in $H_m$ such that $C := qp_2(D)$ contains $y_i$. It follows that $\varphi_3' = (\varphi, \varphi_3)$ exists and one checks that

$$\varphi_2' = \varphi_3' \,.$$

If in the diagram (5.13) $\mathcal{G}$ is replaced by $H_m$, one gets an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$ and one checks again that it agrees with the $\varphi_1$ of (5.13).

Conclusion 5.8. $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ induces the same $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(X)$ in all diagrams. □

**5.4.4.** Now we take this $\gamma$ and form all diagrams with $\gamma^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ instead of $\varphi$. One obtains diagrams (5.12)–(5.14) such that all horizontal arrows are equal to $\gamma^{-1}$. Putting $\tilde{\varphi} = \gamma^{-1}\varphi$ (or $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}$) from Lemma 5.1 Part (iv), it follows that all diagrams, with $\tilde{\varphi}$ instead of $\varphi$, induce the identical map of $X$. But then Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 5.1 show that $\varphi_1 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\varphi_3 = \mathrm{id}$ in the diagram (5.14), hence $\varphi_2 = \mathrm{id}$ in the same diagram by Proposition 5.2. As $H_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H} \times_X Z$ one obtains

Conclusion 5.9. $\tilde{\varphi}|H_m = \mathrm{id}$. □

**5.4.5.** From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{H} \times_X \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \mathcal{H} \times_X \mathfrak{X} \\ & & {\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1} \\ & & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

it follows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ induces an element of $\mathrm{Aut}_X(\mathfrak{X})$, hence for each $\langle \ell \rangle \in X$ an automorphism of $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Proj}\, S/\ell \cdot S(-1))$. By Theorem D.2 in Appendix D, Section D.10, it is induced by a linear map $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(S_1/\ell \cdot k)$, if $c = b - a + 1 \geq 6$. But as $\tilde{\varphi}|H_m = \mathrm{id}$, $\tau$ leaves fixed each ideal $(h, g) \subset S/\ell S(-1)$, hence $\tau$ acts as the identity on $\mathrm{Proj}(S/\ell S(-1))$ (cf. the Lemma in Appendix B). One verifies that $b - a + 1 \geq 6$, if $d \geq 6$ and $g \leq g(d)$ is supposed.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Suppose that $d \geq 6$ and $g \leq g(d)$. Let be $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$. Then there is a uniquely determined $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}(3,k)$ such that $\varphi|H_m$ and $\varphi|\mathcal{G}$ are induced by $\gamma$.* □

DEFINITION. (a) $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ is *normed* if one of the following equivalent conditions is fulfilled:

(i) $\varphi|H_m = \mathrm{id}$.

(ii) $\varphi|\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{id}$.

(iii) If $\gamma$ is the element of $\mathrm{Aut}_k(X)$, which is determined by $\varphi$ in the sense of Proposition 5.3, then $\gamma = \mathrm{id}$.

(b) $N$ is the subgroup of all normed automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}$.

CHAPTER 6

# The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ on linear configuration ideals

## 6.1. The case of simple lines

**6.1.1. Notations and assumptions.** We recall from earlier chapters that $f : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$ is the so called tautological morphism, which is defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$ (respectively $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, if $n \geq d$ is any integer). In order to simplify the notation, if $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, then we write $\xi_1 \equiv \xi_2$ iff $f(\xi_1) = f(\xi_2)$.

$\varphi$ is any *normed* automorphism of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\psi : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ is the induced automorphism of the universal curve. As we will use the results of Chapter 5, we have to assume $d \geq 6$.

**6.1.2.**

LEMMA 6.1. *Let be $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4, k)$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ a normed automorphism. Then one has:*

*(i)* $\xi_1 \equiv \xi_2 \iff g(\xi_1) \equiv g(\xi_2)$.
*(ii)* $\xi_1 \equiv \xi_2 \iff \varphi(\xi_1) \equiv \varphi(\xi_2)$.

PROOF. Suppose $\xi_1 \equiv \xi_2$. By Lemma 3.2 in Chapter 3 there is a connected curve $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ such that $\xi_i \in C, i = 1, 2$, and $C \sim \nu \cdot C_0$. For all curves $C \subset \mathbf{H}$ one has $[g(C)] = [C]$ respectively $[\varphi(C)] = [C]$ if $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4; k)$ respectively $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ (cf. Proposition 4.1). As $\deg(f|C) \cdot [f(C)] = f_*[C] = \nu \cdot \deg(f|C_0) \cdot [f(C_0)] = 0$, $f(C)$ is a single point, hence "$\Rightarrow$" is proved. Applying $g^{-1}$ respectively $\varphi^{-1}$ gives "$\Leftarrow$". □

*Standard assumption* (**A**): Given $d$ distinct, simple lines $\ell_i$ in $X := \mathbb{P}_k^3$, which are "perpendicular" to the plane $E = V(t)$. Moreover let $P_j$, $1 \leq j \leq c$, be different simple points in $X$, such that no $P_j$ lies on any $\ell_i$. It is assumed that this configuration defines a point $\xi \leftrightarrow \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_d \cup P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_c \in \mathbf{H}(k)$.

Let $L$ be a plane "perpendicular" to $E$. The "perpendicular" projection $\pi$ onto $L$ is defined by a suitable $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau$, such that

$$\pi(P) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \tau(\lambda)P = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \tau(\lambda^{-1})P$$

(see Appendix A).

*Additional assumption* (**A1**): Under the projection $\pi_L$ onto $L$, the images $\ell_i' = \pi_L(\ell_i)$, respectively $P_i' = \pi_L(P_i)$, are different from each other and $P_j' \notin \ell_i'$ for all $i$ and $j$.

We put $\xi(\lambda) := \tau(\lambda)\xi$ and get a curve $\mathcal{C} := \{\, \xi(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{H}$, which connects $\xi$ and $\xi_\infty := \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(\lambda)\xi$. Hence $\mathcal{D} := \varphi(\mathcal{C})$ connects the points $\varphi(\xi)$ and $\varphi(\xi_\infty)$.

### 6.1.3.

AUX-LEMMA 6.1. *Under the assumptions* **(A)** *and* **(A1)** *one has* $\varphi(\xi_\infty) \equiv \xi_\infty$.

PROOF. If $\xi_\infty \in \mathcal{G}$, this would follow from Proposition 5.3. Now definitely $\xi_\infty \notin \mathcal{G}$, as is to be shown by the following consideration:

The lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d$ intersect in $P_0 \leftrightarrow (x, y, z)$ and they intersect $E = V(t)$ in the closed points $p_i \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i \subset k[x, y, z]$. Then $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathfrak{p}_1^* \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_d^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_c = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i^*$ is the ideal generated by $\mathfrak{p}_i$ in $k[x, y, z, t]$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{p}_1^* \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_d^*$ is the CM-part of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{R} = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_c$ is the punctual part of $\mathcal{I}$. (We identify a closed point $P \in X$ with the corresponding ideal.)

As $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, $P(n) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}) - c$. Let $p_i'$ be the projection of $p_i$ on $L$, $\mathfrak{p}_i' \leftrightarrow p_i'$ the corresponding prime ideal. Then $\mathcal{N}' := (\mathfrak{p}_1')^* \cap \cdots \cap (\mathfrak{p}_d')^*$ is the CM-part of $\mathcal{I}_\infty \leftrightarrow \xi_\infty$ and one can write $\mathcal{I}_\infty = \mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{R}'$, $\mathcal{R}'$ the punctual part of $\mathcal{I}_\infty$. Put $P_i' := \pi(P_i) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(\lambda)P_i$. From $\tau(\lambda)\mathcal{I} \subset \tau(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \tau(\lambda)P_1 \cap \cdots \cap \tau(\lambda)P_c$ follows $\mathcal{I}_\infty \subset \mathcal{N}' \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c'$.

Let be $\chi$ the Hilbert function of $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_d$ and $\chi'$ the Hilbert function of $\mathfrak{p}_1' \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_d'$. As the $p_i'$ lie on the line $L \cap E$, one has $\chi'(n) \geq \chi(n)$, hence the Hilbert polynomial $\sum_0^n \chi'(i)$ of $\mathcal{N}'$ is greater or equal the Hilbert polynomial $\sum_0^n \chi(i)$ of $\mathcal{N}$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}' \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c') = \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}') + c \leq \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}) + c = P(n)\,.$$

From this we deduce that the punctual part of $\mathcal{I}_\infty$ has the form $Q \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c'$, where $Q$ is primary to $P_0 = (x, y, z)$.
(**N.B.** $P_i' \notin \ell_i'$ by the choice of $\pi$ and $P_0 \in \ell_i'$ for all $i$ gives $P_i' \neq P_0$ for all $i$.)

Put $\mu := \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{N}') - \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}) - \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}')$. From

$$\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_\infty \cong \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q \bigoplus_1^c \mathcal{O}_X/P_i'$$

it follows that $P(n) = \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q) + c = \mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}) + c$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}' \longrightarrow 0$$

gives $\mathrm{length}(\mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q) = \mu$.

Choose $\mu$ simple points $R_i \in L$, which do not lie on any line $\ell_j'$ and are not equal to any of the points $P_0, P_1', \ldots, P_c'$. Put $R_i(\lambda) = R_i + \lambda(P_0 - R_i)$ and $\zeta(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}' \cap R_1(\lambda) \cap \cdots \cap R_\mu(\lambda) \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c'$. If $\lambda \in k$, $\lambda \neq 1$, this is a point of $\mathcal{G}(k)$, hence $\zeta(\lambda)$ is invariant under $\varphi$. As $\varphi$ is continuous, it follows that $\zeta_1 := \lim_{\lambda\to 1} \zeta(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{N}' \cap Q_1 \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c'$ is fixed under $\varphi$. As $\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{O}_X/Q_1) = \{P_0\}$, $\mathcal{N}' \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c'/\mathcal{I}_1 \simeq \mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{N}' \cap Q_1$ has the

support $\{P_0\}$ and the length $\mu$. Hence the CM-parts of $\mathcal{I}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{I}_1$ are equal to $\mathcal{N}'$ and

$$\langle \mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{I}_\infty \rangle = \mu \cdot P_0 + \sum_1^c P_i' = \langle \mathcal{N}'/\mathcal{I}_1 \rangle \,.$$

From Proposition 3.1 it follows that $\xi_\infty \equiv \zeta_1$, hence $\varphi(\xi_\infty) \equiv \varphi(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1 \equiv \xi_\infty$ by Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 5.3. □

**6.1.4.** Case 1. Suppose that $\xi$ fulfills **(A)**. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of planes $L \subset X$ such that $\pi_L$ fulfills **(A1)** and in addition: $\ell_1 \subset L$, $\ell_i \not\subset L$, if $i \geq 2$, $P_j \notin L$ for all $1 \leq j \leq c$.

Let be $\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \tau(\lambda)\ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau(\lambda)\ell_d \cup \tau(\lambda)P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup \tau(\lambda)P_c$, where the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau$ is defined by the "perpendicular" projection $\pi$ onto $L$. Let be $\mathcal{C} := \{\, \xi(\lambda) \,\}^-$ and $\mathcal{D} = \varphi(\mathcal{C}) = \{\, \varphi\xi(\lambda) \,\}^-$. Let $p \in \ell_1$ be any point. Then $\mathcal{C}^* := \{\, (\xi(\lambda), p) \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a curve without $L^*$-component, hence $\psi(\mathcal{C}^*) := \{\, \psi(\xi(\lambda), p) \,\}^- = \big\{\, (\varphi\xi(\lambda), \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(p)) \,\big\}^-$ has no $L^*$-component, too (Prop. 4.3 ) and therefore

$$\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(p) = \varphi_\xi(p) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1 \,. \tag{6.1}$$

Now $|\mathcal{C}_\infty| = \ell_1' \cup \cdots \cup \ell_d' \dot\cup P_1' \dot\cup \ldots \dot\cup P_d'$ and $\ell_1' = \ell_1$ by construction, and $|\mathcal{C}_\infty| = |\mathcal{D}_\infty|$ by Aux-Lemma 6.1. As $\varphi_{\xi_\infty}$ induces an isomorphism $|\mathcal{C}_\infty| \simeq |\mathcal{D}_\infty|$, from (6.1) it follows $\varphi_\xi(p) = \varphi_{\xi_\infty}(p) \in \varphi_{\xi_\infty}(\ell_1) = \ell_i'$, where the index $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ does not depend on $p$. As $\psi$ induces an isomorphism $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| \simeq |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$, for $\lambda = 1$ it follows that $\varphi_\xi(\ell_1) \subset \ell_i'$, hence $\varphi_\xi(\ell_1) = \ell_i'$. If $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow \varphi(\xi)$, then

$$|V(\mathcal{J})| = |\mathcal{D}_1| = \varphi_\xi(\ell_1) \cup \cdots \cup \varphi_\xi(\ell_d) \cup \varphi_\xi(P_1) \cup \cdots \cup \varphi_\xi(P_d) \,,$$

hence $\ell_i' \subset V(\mathcal{J})$, where $i$ still depends on the projection $\pi_L$ on $L$. Hence there is an index $i \in \{\, 1, \ldots, d \,\}$ such that

$$\pi_L(\ell_i) \subset V(\mathcal{J}) \quad \text{for Zariski-many } L \in \mathcal{L} \,.$$

It follows that $i = 1$, i.e. $\varphi_\xi(\ell_1) = \ell_1$.

If one chooses $\ell_2$ instead of $\ell_1$, the same argumentation shows $\varphi_\xi(\ell_2) = \ell_2$, etc. As $\psi$ induces an isomorphism, one gets

Conclusion 6.1. If $\xi$ fulfills **(A)** it follows that $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_d \dot\cup R_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup R_c$, where the $R_i$ are different, isolated simple points. □

**6.1.5.** Case 2. Suppose $\xi$ fulfills **(A)** and in addition the following assumption **(A2)**: *If one perpendicularly projects $P_i$ to the plane $E = V(t)$, one obtains $c$ different image points.*

**N.B.** One should mention here that condition **(A1)** refers to the projection $\pi_L$, whereas condition **(A2)** refers to the point $\xi$.

Let $a$ be the line through $P_1$ and $P_0 = (x, y, z)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of planes $L$, which contain $a$ and fulfill the condition **(A1)**.

Let $\tau$ be again the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation defined by the projection $\pi_L$, $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Because of $\tau(\lambda)P_1 = P_1$ one has

$$\xi(\tau) = \tau(\lambda)\xi \leftrightarrow \tau(\lambda)\ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau(\lambda)\ell_d \cup P_1 \cup \tau(\lambda)P_2 \cup \cdots \cup \tau(\lambda)P_c\,.$$

From Conclusion 6.1 follows

$$\varphi\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \tau(\lambda)\ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \tau(\lambda)\ell_d \dot\cup \mathcal{P}_1(\lambda) \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup \mathcal{P}_c(\lambda)\,,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) := \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\tau(\lambda)P_i)$ are $c$ distinct simple points.

If $\mathcal{C} := \{\, \xi(\lambda) \,\}^-$ and $\mathcal{C}_i^* := \{\, (\xi(\lambda), \tau(\lambda)P_i) \,\}^-$, then one can write $\mathcal{C} \sim q_2C_2 + q_1C_1 + q_0C_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_i^* \sim q_2^*C_2^* + q_1^*C_1^* + q_0^*C_0^* + q \cdot L^*$ (cf. Theorem 1.2). Applying $\pi_*$ and $\kappa_*$ (see Section 1.1) shows that $q_j = q_j^*$, $0 \le j \le 2$, and $q = 0$, if $i = 1$ respectively $q = 1$, if $i \ge 2$. If $\mathcal{D}_i^* := \psi(\mathcal{C}_i^*) = \{\, (\varphi\xi(\lambda), \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)) \,\}^-$, then $[\mathcal{D}_i^*] = [\mathcal{C}_i^*]$ by Proposition 4.3. It follows that

$$\kappa_*[\mathcal{D}_i^*] = \deg(\kappa|\mathcal{D}_i^*) \cdot [\{\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)\}^-] = \kappa_*[L^*] = [L]\,,$$

hence $\deg(\kappa|\mathcal{D}_i^*) = 1$ and $\{\, \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \,\}^- \subset X$ is a line, if $i \ge 2$. As $\tau(\lambda)P_1 = P_1$, in $[\mathcal{D}_1^*]$ the term $[L^*]$ is missing, hence $\kappa_*[\mathcal{D}_1^*] = 0$. From this one deduces that $\mathcal{P}_1(\lambda) =: \mathcal{P}_1$ is independent of $\lambda$ and hence $\mathcal{P}_1 = \varphi_\xi(P_1)$. As one has $\varphi\tau(\lambda) \leftrightarrow: \mathcal{J}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{P}_1(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda$, it follows that $\mathcal{J}_\infty = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_\infty \leftrightarrow \varphi(\xi_\infty)$ is contained in $\mathcal{P}_1$. Now by Aux-Lemma 6.1

$$\varphi(\xi_\infty) \equiv \xi_\infty \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\infty = (\mathfrak{p}_1')^* \cap \cdots \cap (\mathfrak{p}_d')^* \cap P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_c' \cap Q\,,$$

where $Q$ is primary to $P_0 = (x, y, z)$ (see Section 6.1.3). It follows that $\mathcal{P}_1 \in V(\mathcal{I}_\infty) \subset L$. This holds true for the Zariski-many planes $L \in \mathcal{L}$, hence $\mathcal{P}_1$ is contained in the intersection of these planes and it follows that $\mathcal{P}_1 \in a$. Now $P_1 = P_1' \in a$ and $P_1' \notin \ell_i' = V((\mathfrak{p}_i')^*)$ by Assumption **(A1)**, hence $a \ne \ell_i'$. If one assumes $P_0 = \mathcal{P}_1 = \varphi_\xi(P_1)$, this gives a contradiction of Conclusion 6.1. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_1$ does not lie on any line $\ell_i'$, hence $(\mathfrak{p}_i')^* \not\subset \mathcal{P}_1$. From $\mathcal{I}_\infty \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ it follows that $\mathcal{P}_1 \in \{\, P_1', P_2', \ldots, P_c', P_0 \,\}$. As $P_i' \notin a$ if $i \ge 2$ by Assumption **(A2)**, it follows that $\varphi_\xi(P_1) = \mathcal{P}_1 = P_1' = P_1$. The same argumentation with $P_2, \ldots, P_c$ gives $\varphi_\xi(P_i) = \mathcal{P}_i$ for all $i$.

Conclusion 6.2. If $\xi$ fulfills **(A)** and **(A2)**, then $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$. □

**6.1.6.** If $P_j$ are any different simple points such that $P_j \notin \ell_i$ for all $i$ and $j$, then one chooses points $R_i \in X$ in general position and puts $P_i(\lambda) = P_i + \lambda(R_i - P_i)$. Then for almost all $\lambda \in k$ one has a point $\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_d \dot\cup P_1(\lambda) \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_c(\lambda) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, which fulfills **(A)** and **(A2)**. Then from Conclusion 6.2 follows:

$$\varphi(\xi) = \varphi(\xi(0)) := \varphi\big(\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \xi(\lambda)\big) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \varphi\xi(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \xi(\lambda) = \xi\,.$$

Conclusion 6.3. If $\xi$ fulfills the assumption **(A)**, then $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let be $\ell_i$, $1 \le i \le d$, different simple lines, all running through one and the same point $P$. Let $P_j$, $1 \le i \le c$, be simple points, different from each other and none of them lying on a line $\ell_i$. Assume that $\xi \leftrightarrow \ell_1 \cup \cdots \cup \ell_d \dot\cup P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_c$ is in $\mathbf{H}(k)$. Then $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$ for each normed automorphism $\varphi$.*

PROOF. Take a linear form $\ell$ such that $P \notin V(\ell)$ and $\ell_i$ is not contained in $V(\ell)$ for all $i$. Choose $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4,k)$ such that $g(\ell) = t$ and $g(P) = P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$. Then $g(\xi)$ fulfills the assumption **(A)**, hence $\varphi g(\xi) = g(\xi)$ for all $\varphi \in N$ by Conclusion 6.3 (cf. Definition in Chapter 5). As $g^{-1}Ng = N$ for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4,k)$, the assertion follows. □

## 6.2. The case of multiple lines

To simplify the notation, we put $X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$, $Y = \mathbb{P}^2_k$, $H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(Y)$. Let $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ be an ideal of colength $d$ and Hilbert function $\psi$. If $\mathcal{J}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal generated by $\mathcal{J}$, then $H^0(\mathcal{J}^*(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n t^{n-i}H^0(\mathcal{J}(i))$, hence $\mathcal{J}^*$ has the Hilbert polynomial $\Psi(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \psi(i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}^*$ has the Hilbert polynomial $p(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - \Psi(n)$.

LEMMA 6.3. *If $p(n) - P(n) =: s \geq 0$ and $P_i \in X - V(\mathcal{J}^*)$ are $s$ distinct simple points, then $\mathcal{J}^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ defines a point $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$ for all normed $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$.*

PROOF. Let $H_\psi$ be the subscheme (with the induced reduced structure) of $H^d$, whose closed points correspond to ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ of colength $d$ with Hilbert function $\psi$. By a theorem of Davis [**D**] one has: $H_\psi \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow H_\psi \cap H^{(d)} \neq \emptyset$. As $H_\psi$ is irreducible [**G3**, p. 539], $H^{(d)} \cap H_\psi$ is dense in $H_\psi$.

We still have to take into account the points $p_i := \pi(P_i)$ where $\pi : X - \{\,(0:0:0:1)\,\} \to Y$ is the projection onto the plane $V(t)$. For this reason we replace $Y$ by $E := Y - \{\,p_1, \ldots, p_s\,\}$ and obtain an open subscheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(E)$ of $H^d$ and an open subscheme $U = H^{(d)} \cap H_\psi \cap \mathrm{Hilb}^d(E)$ of $H_\psi$, which is dense in $V := H_\psi \cap \mathrm{Hilb}^d(E)$.

Let be $\zeta \in V(k)$, i.e. $\zeta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ has the Hilbert function $\psi$. If one defines $\mathcal{J}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ by $H^0(\mathcal{J}^*(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n t^{n-i}H^0(\mathcal{J}(i))$, then $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}^*$ has the Hilbert polynomial $p(n)$. Let $\eta \leftrightarrow P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_s$ and define $\zeta^* \dot\cup \eta \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ in the obvious manner. Let $f$ be the tautological morphism of Chapter 3 and define morphisms $g_i : V \to \mathbb{P}$ by $g_1 : \zeta \mapsto f(\zeta^* \dot\cup \eta)$, respectively $g_2 : V \to \mathbb{P}$ by $g_2 : \zeta \mapsto f(\varphi(\zeta^* \dot\cup \eta))$. $g_1$ and $g_2$ agree on the open dense subset $U \subset V$, because $\varphi(\zeta^* \dot\cup \eta) \equiv \zeta^* \dot\cup \eta$ by Lemma 6.2, hence they agree on $V$. □

## 6.3. The case of multiple points

Set $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal such that $\mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}) = P(n) - s$, $s$ a positive integer. If $P_i \in X - V(\mathcal{N})$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, are distinct simple points, then $\eta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ is a point of $\mathbf{H}(k)$.

LEMMA 6.4. *Suppose there is an open, non-empty set $U \subset X - V(\mathcal{N})$ such that for all different simple points $\mathcal{P}_i \in U$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, the point $\zeta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{P}_s \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ fulfills the condition $\varphi(\zeta) \equiv \zeta$. If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r$, $Q_i$ is $P_i$-primary, $P_i$ distinct points in $X(k)$ such that $\mathcal{I}$ defines a point $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, then $\varphi(\xi) \equiv \xi$.*

PROOF. If $Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_r =: \mathcal{R}$, then $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_1^r \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_i$. If $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap Q_i$ has the length $\mu_i$, then $\sum_1^r \mu_i = s$. Choose distinct simple points $P_i^j \in U$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Then $P_i^j(\lambda) := P_i + \lambda(P_i^j - P_i)$ is in $U$ for almost all $\lambda \in k$ and $\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \bigcap_{i,j} P_i^j(\lambda) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ for almost all $\lambda$. Then $\xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \xi(\lambda) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and the corresponding ideal is $\mathcal{N} \cap R_1 \cap \cdots \cap R_r$, where $R_i$ is $P_i$-primary and $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N} \cap R_i$ has the length $\mu_i$. By Proposition 3.1 one has $f(\xi) = f(\xi_0)$. By assumption one has $f(\varphi\xi(\lambda)) = f(\xi(\lambda))$ for almost all $\lambda$ and because $f$ and $\varphi$ are continuous $f(\varphi(\xi_0)) = f(\xi_0)$ follows. Using Lemma 6.1 we get $f(\varphi(\xi)) = f(\varphi(\xi_0)) = f(\xi_0) = f(\xi)$. □

Let be $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in U(t)$, $\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{I} + t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$, $\mathcal{I}_0 \leftrightarrow \xi_0 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$. Let $(\mathcal{I}')^* \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal generated by $\mathcal{I}'$. Then $\mathcal{I}_0 = (\mathcal{I}')^* \cap \mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is $(x, y, z)$-primary (cf. Appendix G, Lemma G.3). The CM-part $(\mathcal{I}')^*$ fulfills the assumption of Lemma 6.3, and by Lemma 6.4 we get:

PROPOSITION 6.1. *If $\xi \in U(t)$, then $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$ for all $\varphi \in N$.* □

## 6.4. Limits of image points

Let $\xi \in U(t)$ be a closed point and $C \subset X$ the corresponding curve. Let be $P \in C(k) - V(t)$ and $P \neq P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$. Then

LEMMA 6.5. *(a)* $\varphi_{\sigma(\lambda)\xi}(\sigma(\lambda)P) \xrightarrow[\lambda\to 0]{} P_0$ .
*(b)* $\{\, \varphi_{\sigma(\lambda)\xi}(\sigma(\lambda)P) \,\}^-$ *is a line in $X$ through $P_0$.*

PROOF. 1° We modify the proof of Lemma 6.3 and use the same notations. We first treat the case $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$, where $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ has the Hilbert function $\psi$. Then $\mathcal{J} \in \bar{U} = H_\psi$ (closure in $H_\psi$). Now $H_\psi$ is a rational variety (see [**G3**, proof of the theorem on page 544]), hence there is a connected curve $A$, which connects the point in $H_\psi$, which corresponds to $\mathcal{J}$, to a point in $U$. Hence $\mathcal{J}_b \leftrightarrow b \in U$ for all $b \in A - \{$ finitely many points $\} =: B$. Hence $F := \bigcup \{\, V(\mathcal{J}_b^*) \mid b \in B \,\}^-$ is a surface in $X$. Let be $P_i \in X - (C \cup F)$ $s$ distinct, simple points. Then $\mathcal{J}_b^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ fulfills the assumptions of Lemma 6.3 for all $b \in B$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\, \mathcal{J}_b^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s \mid b \in B \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{H}$$

is a connected curve, which contains $\xi$. If $b \in B$, then $P_0 = (0:0:0:1) \in V(\mathcal{J}_b^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s)$, i.e. $\mathcal{J}_b^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s \subset (x, y, z)$. As this is a closed condition, $P_0 \in C_\eta \leftrightarrow \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$, hence $\mathcal{B}^* := \{\, (\eta, P_0) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{B} \,\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a closed curve, such that $\mathcal{B}^* \sim q_2 C_2^* + q_1 C_1^* + q_0 C_0^* + 0 \cdot L^*$. It follows that $\psi(\mathcal{B}^*) = \{\, (\varphi(\eta), \varphi_\eta(P_0)) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{B} \,\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a curve in $\mathbf{C}$ without an $L^*$-component, hence $\varphi_\eta(P_0)$ is independent of $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\eta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}_b^* \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$ and $b \in B$, then $\varphi(\eta) = \eta$ by Lemma 6.3, hence $\psi$ induces an automorphism of $|C_\eta| = (C_\eta)_{\text{red}}$ as a set of closed points in $X$, which is described by $p \mapsto \varphi_\eta(p)$. If $b \in B$, then $|C_\eta|$ consists of $d$ distinct lines $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d$ which all run through $P_0$, and points $P_i \notin \bigcup \ell_i$. It follows that $\varphi_\eta$ permutes the points and lines and hence $\varphi_\eta(P_0) = P_0$. As has been noted above, $\varphi_\eta(P_0)$ is independent of $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$, hence $\varphi_\eta(P_0) = P_0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{B}$, hence $\varphi_\xi(P_0) = P_0$.

2° Let now be $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in U(t)$. Then $\xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{I}')^*$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is $P_0 = (x, y, z)$-primary with length$(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{I}) =: s$ (see Appendix G). We take $s$ different simple points $P_i \in X - V(\mathcal{J})$ and put $P_i(\lambda) = P_0 + \lambda(P_i - P_0)$. Then $\eta(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \cap P_1(\lambda) \cap \cdots \cap P_s(\lambda) \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ for almost all $\lambda \in k$. If $\mathcal{C} = \{\, \eta(\lambda) \,\}^-$, then $\mathcal{C}^* = \{\, (\eta(\lambda), P_0) \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a curve without $L^*$-component, hence $\psi(\mathcal{C}^*) = \{\, (\varphi(\eta), \varphi_\eta(P_0)) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{C} \,\}$ also has no $L^*$-component. This means that $\varphi_\eta(P_0)$ is independent of $\eta \in \mathcal{C}$. But $\eta(\lambda)$ fulfills the assumption of Part 1°, hence $\varphi_\eta(P_0) = P_0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\xi_1 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \eta(\lambda)$, then $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, hence $\varphi_{\xi_1}(P_0) = P_0$.

3° Now by construction $\xi_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}_1$, where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is $P_0$-primary and $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ has length $s$, hence $\xi_0 \equiv \xi_1$ by Proposition 3.1. By Lemma 3.2 the points $\xi_0$ and $\xi_1$ can be connected by a curve $D \sim \nu \cdot C_0$. It follows that $f(\eta) = f(\xi_0)$ for all $\eta \in D$, hence the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\eta \leftrightarrow \eta$ has the same CM-part $\mathcal{J}$ for all $\eta \in D$, hence $D^* := \{\, (\eta, P_0) \mid \eta \in D \,\} \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a curve without $L^*$-component. It follows that $\psi(D^*) = \{\, (\varphi(\eta), \varphi_\eta(P_0)) \mid \eta \in D \,\}$ has no $L^*$-component, too. It follows that $\varphi_\eta(P_0)$ is independent of $\eta \in D$. Now $\varphi_{\xi_1}(P_0) = P_0$ by Part 2°, hence $\varphi_\eta(P_0) = P_0$ for all $\eta \in D$, thus $\varphi_{\xi_0}(P_0) = P_0$.

4° If $P \in C - V(t)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\sigma(\lambda)\xi, \sigma(\lambda)P) &= (\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi, \varphi_{\sigma(\lambda)\xi}(P)) \xrightarrow[\lambda\to 0]{} \psi\big(\lim_{\lambda\to 0}(\sigma(\lambda)\xi, \sigma(\lambda)P)\big) \\ &= \psi(\xi_0, P_0) = (\varphi(\xi_0), \varphi_{\xi_0}(P_0)) = (\varphi(\xi_0), P_0)\end{aligned}$$

by Part 3°. Hence one gets (a).

5° If $\mathcal{C} := \{\, \sigma(\lambda)\xi \,\}^-$, $P \in C - V(t)$ and $P \neq P_0$ , then $\mathcal{C}^* := \{\, (\sigma(\lambda)\xi, \sigma(\lambda)P) \,\}^-$ has the $L^*$-component $1 \cdot L^*$, hence $\psi(\mathcal{C}^*) \sim \mathcal{C}^*$ has the same $L^*$-component. Applying $\kappa_*$ shows that $\big\{\, \varphi_{\sigma(\lambda)\xi}(\sigma(\lambda)P) \,\big\}^- \subset X$ is a line, which runs through $P_0$, because of Part $(a)$. □

CHAPTER 7

# Automorphisms of H and the Hilbert–Chow morphism

The aim of this chapter is to show:

THEOREM 7.1. *If $h : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$ is the Hilbert–Chow morphism, then for all $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and all normed morphisms $\varphi$ of $\mathbf{H}$ one has $h(\varphi(\xi)) = h(\xi)$.*

## 7.1. Notations

$N$ denotes the group of all normed automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}$; $N$ is normalized by any $g \in G := \mathrm{GL}(4, k)$; $|-|$ denotes the set of points, where "point" means "closed point"; $S = k[x, y, z, t]$, $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$; and $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto \lambda t$.

If $C \subset X$ is a curve and $P \in X - C$, then the cylinder $Z(P, C)$ is the union of all lines in $X$, which join a point in $C$ with $P$. Each $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ corresponds to a curve $C \subset X$, and we write $\xi \leftrightarrow C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_r \cup \{\text{points}\}$, where $C_i$ are the irreducible components of dimension 1, $(C_i)_{\mathrm{red}} = V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset S$ graded prime ideal, $C_i$ has degree $d_i$ and multiplicity $\mu_i$, $\{\text{points}\}$ denotes the 0-dimensional components, embedded or not. A linear form $\ell \in S_1$ is *very general* for $C$, if $V(\ell) \cap V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ consists of $d_i$ simple points $P_{ij}$ of multiplicity $\mu_i$, $P_{ij} \notin C - C_i$, and $P_{ij} \notin \{\text{points}\}$ for all $i$ and $j$.

In the same way we write $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow D = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_s \cup \{\text{points}\}$, $D_j$ the 1-dimensional components of multiplicity $\nu_j$.

## 7.2. The irreducible components

**7.2.1.** We want to show that $\{|C_i|\} = \{|D_j|\}$ and assume that there is an index $i$ such that $C_i \not\subset D$. (For simplicity we write $C$, $D$, $C_i$, $D_j$ instead of $|C|$, $|D|$, $|C_i|$, $|D_j|$ etc.) Without restriction we assume $C_1 \not\subset D$, hence $C_1' := C_1 - D$ is open in $C_1$.
Then there is a point $P \in X - (C \cup D)$ such that $Z(P, D) \cap C_1' = \emptyset$. Then one can find $\ell \in S_1$ such that $\ell$ is very general for $C$ and $P_{1j} \in C_1' \cap V(\ell)$ for $1 \le j \le d_1$. (The set of such $\ell \in S_1$ forms a Zariski-dense subset of $S_1$.)

Let $\pi$ be the projection from $P$ onto $V(\ell)$. According to Appendix A, $\pi$ is defined by a $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau(\lambda)$ and one can find a $g \in G$, such that $g(\ell) = t$, $g(P) = P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ and $\tau(\lambda) = g^{-1}\sigma(\lambda)g$. From the assumptions follows that $\xi$ is not invariant under the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau(\lambda)$ and one obtains the following curves in $\mathbf{H}$: $\mathcal{C} = \{\, \tau(\lambda)\xi \,\}^-$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{\, \varphi\tau(\lambda)\xi \,\}^-$. If one applies $g$, one obtains the curves

$$g(\mathcal{C}) = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)g\xi \,\}^- \quad \text{and} \quad g(\mathcal{D}) = \left\{\, g\varphi g^{-1} g\tau(\lambda)\xi \,\right\}^- = \{\, \tilde{\varphi}\sigma(\lambda)g\xi \,\}^-,$$

where $\tilde{\varphi} := g\varphi g^{-1} \in N$. Put $\tilde{\xi} := g(\xi) \leftrightarrow g(C) =: \tilde{C}$.
Then

$$g(D) = g\varphi(C) = g\varphi g^{-1} g(C) = \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{C}) \leftrightarrow g\varphi(\xi) = \tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\xi}) \ .$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{\xi})) = h(\tilde{\xi}) &\Leftrightarrow h(g\varphi g^{-1} g\xi) = h(g(\xi)) \Leftrightarrow h(g\varphi(\xi)) = h(g\xi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow gh(\varphi(\xi)) = gh(\xi) \Leftrightarrow h(\varphi(\xi)) = h(\xi) \qquad \text{for all } \varphi \in N \, . \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to show the assertion for $\tilde{\xi}$ and all $\varphi \in N$. Now clearly $t$ is very general for $\tilde{C}$, and as $g(Z(P,D)) = Z(g(P), g(D))$, $g(C)$, $g(D)$, $t$ fulfill all assumptions as before. Hence we can assume $P = P_0$, $\ell = t$ and the projection is defined by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\sigma(\lambda)$. By construction $P_{1j} \notin Z := Z(P_0, D)$, $1 \le j \le d_1$.

**7.2.2.** Let be $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$. Then $\xi_0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_0 = (\mathcal{I}')^* \cap \mathcal{R}_0$, where $(\mathcal{I}')^*$ is the CM-part of $\mathcal{I}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is $(x,y,z)$-primary (see Appendix G). Hence the curve $\mathcal{C}_0 \leftrightarrow \xi_0$ contains the line $\ell_{1j}$, $1 \le j \le d_1$, which connects $P_{1j}$ and $P_0$ (at the moment the multiplicities are irrelevant). Let be $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi$. Then $D = \mathcal{D}_1$ and $C = \mathcal{C}_1$. Now $\varphi$ defines an automorphism $\psi$ of $\mathbf{C}$, which induces an isomorphism $|C| \simeq |D|$ denoted by $p \mapsto \varphi_\xi(p)$. If $p$ runs through the points of $C$, then $\varphi_\xi(p)$ runs through the points of $D$, and the same holds true for $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$. From Lemma 6.5 it follows that all curves $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ lie on the cylinder $Z = Z(P_0, D)$. By Proposition 6.1 one has $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$, hence $|\mathcal{C}_0| = |\mathcal{D}_0|$. But by construction, the lines $\ell_{1j} \subset |\mathcal{C}_0|$ do not lie on $Z$, contradiction.

It follows that each $C_i(k)$ is equal to a $D_j(k)$. As $C(k) \simeq D(k)$, one has:

Conclusion 7.1. $\{\, C_i(k) \,\} = \{\, D_i(k) \,\}$. □

As the triple $(\sigma(\lambda)C, P_0, t)$ fulfills the same assumptions as $(C, P_0, t)$ for all $\lambda \in k^*$, $\mathcal{C}_\lambda(k) = \mathcal{D}_\lambda(k)$ except isolated points. Hence the same is true for $\lambda = \infty$, and because of $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$ one gets:

Conclusion 7.2. With the exception of isolated points $\mathcal{C}_\lambda(k) = \mathcal{D}_\lambda(k)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and all the curves lie on the cylinder $Z(P_0, C) = Z(P_0, D)$. □

### 7.3. The multiplicities

Choose $\ell \in S_1$ very general for $C$ and $D$. Then again $\ell = t$ without restriction. As $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$ (Proposition 6.1) and $\xi_0 \in U(t)$, there is an open set $T \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $0 \in T$ and $\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi \in U(t)$ for all $\lambda \in T$. Let $r$ be the restriction morphism defined by $t$ (cf. Appendix G). Then $\lambda \mapsto r(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi)$ defines a morphism $T \to \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$, i.e. a closed subscheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times_k T$ over $T$, such that for all $\lambda \in T$

$$|Y \otimes k(\lambda)| = |\mathcal{D}_\lambda| \cap V(t) = |\mathcal{C}_\lambda| \cap V(t) = \{\, P_{ij} \mid 1 \le i \le r,\, 1 \le j \le d_i \,\} \ .$$

It follows that $Y = \coprod Y_{ij}$, $Y_{ij}$ flat over $T$.

Now the multiplicity of $P_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \cap V(t)$ is equal to the Hilbert polynomial of $Y_{ij}$, and this is equal to a constant $c_{ij}$, independent of $\lambda$.

From $\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi \to \varphi(\xi_0)$, it follows that $r(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) \to r(\varphi(\xi_0))$. Now by Lemma 3.1, from $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$ it follows that $r(\varphi(\xi_0)) = r(\xi_0)$. But as $r(\xi_0) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} r(\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = r(\xi)$, it follows that $Y \otimes k(0) \leftrightarrow r(\xi)$. By construction $r(\xi) \leftrightarrow \bigcap Q_{ij}$, $Q_{ij}$ is $P_{ij}$-primary with multiplicity $\mu_i$. The points $P_{ij}$, $1 \le j \le d_i$ lie on $|D_i| = |C_i|$, hence the multiplicity of $D_i$ is equal to the multiplicity of $C_i$. It follows that $h(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = h(\xi)$ for all $\lambda \in T$, hence for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $h(\varphi(\xi)) = h(\xi)$ follows.

CHAPTER 8

# Automorphisms of H and the tautological morphism

## 8.1. Preliminaries

The so called tautological morphism $f_n : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$ is defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, if $n \geq d$. If $n = d$, we wrote $f$ instead of $f_n$, but for simplification we now write $f$ instead of $f_n$, if $n \geq d$ is any number. We denote $h$ the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$. The normed automorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathbf{H}$ induces an automorphism $\psi$ of the universal curve $\mathbf{C}$. We again suppose $d \geq 6$.

We write $S = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$. In order to avoid formulas of too awkward size, we often write $S = A[x, y, z, t]$, $X = \operatorname{Proj}(S \otimes A)$ etc., if $A$ is a $k$-algebra. The letter $T$ stands for $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ or an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto \lambda t$.

Let $N$ be the subgroup of all normed automorphisms of $\mathbf{H}$. An essential property of $N$ is $g^{-1}Ng = N$ for all $g \in G := \operatorname{Aut}_k(S_1)$.

As usual the idea is to produce, by means of a suitable projection, for a point $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ a curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{H}$ (respectively curves $\mathcal{C}^* \subset \mathbf{C}$). If $\varphi \in N$, then $[\mathcal{C}] = [\varphi(\mathcal{C})]$ (respectively $[\mathcal{C}^*] = [\psi(\mathcal{C}^*)]$) by Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2. (This is the reason why one has to require $d \geq 6$.) More concretely, the procedure goes as follows: Let be $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $C \subset X$ the corresponding curve. Choose a point $P \notin C$ and $\ell \in S_1$ such that $P \notin V(\ell)$ and $\ell$ is general for $C$. Take $g \in G$ such that $g(\ell) = t$ and $g(P) = P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ and put $g(\xi) = \tilde{\xi}$. By Lemma 6.1 one has $\varphi(\tilde{\xi}) \equiv \tilde{\xi}$ for all $\varphi \in N \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}\varphi g\xi \equiv \xi$ for all $\varphi \in N \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\xi) \equiv \xi$ for all $\varphi \in N$. Hence we can assume that $\xi \in U(t)$ and $P_0 \notin C \leftrightarrow \xi$.

Let be $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ and take any $\ell \in k[x, y, z]_1$ such that $\ell$ is not a zero-divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ (the set of such linear forms is dense in $k[x, y, z]_1$). Then $t+\alpha\ell$ is not a zero-divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ for almost all $\alpha \in k$. Define $u_\alpha \in G$ by $x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto t+\alpha\ell$. Then one still has $P_0 \notin u_\alpha(C)$ and $u_\alpha(\xi) \in U(t)$ for almost all $\alpha \in k$. By Corollary A.2 of Appendix A the $\mathbb{G}_m$-isotropy of $h(u_\alpha(\xi))$ is trivial for almost all $\alpha \in k$, i.e. $\sigma(\lambda)h(u_\alpha(\xi)) = h(u_\alpha(\xi)) \Rightarrow \lambda = 1$.

Take any such $\alpha$ and put $\tilde{\xi} = u_\alpha(\xi)$. If we can prove $\varphi(\tilde{\xi}) \equiv \tilde{\xi}$ for all $\varphi \in N$, the same argumentation as before shows $\varphi(\xi) \equiv \xi$ for all $\varphi \in N$. Hence we can assume that $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ is "adapted" in the following sense:

Definition 1. A point $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ is adapted, if $\xi \in U(t)$, $P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \notin C \leftrightarrow \xi$ and $h(\xi)$ has trivial $\mathbb{G}_m$-isotropy.

So we assume from Section 8.2 to Section 8.6.2 that $\xi$ is adapted, but from Section 8.6.3 we do not need this assumption.

If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, then $\xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\xi \in U(t) \Leftrightarrow \xi_0 \in U(t)$ (see Appendix G). If $M \subset X$ is any set, $|M|$ denotes the set of its closed points. For example, if $C \subset X$ is a curve, in order to simplify the notation, we write $|C|$ instead of $C(k)$, etc. If $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbf{H}$ is a curve, one can write $[\mathcal{C}] = q_2(\mathcal{C}) \cdot [C_2] + q_1(\mathcal{C}) \cdot [C_1] + q_0(\mathcal{C}) \cdot [C_0]$ where $q_i(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Theorem 1.2). If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\mathcal{C} := \{\, \sigma(\lambda)\xi \,\}^-$, then $q_i(\xi) := q_i(\mathcal{C})$ is called the *complexity* of $\xi$ with regard to $C_i$ (see Appendix F).

## 8.2. Composition series of ideal sheaves

**8.2.1. Preliminaries.** Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$, $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, $\alpha : T \to \mathbf{H}$ the uniquely determined extension of the morphism $\lambda \mapsto \sigma(\lambda)\xi$, $\lambda \in k^*$.
We put $\xi(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)\xi$, $\xi(0) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$, $\xi(\infty) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$. The image of $\alpha$ is the curve $\mathcal{C} = \{\, \xi(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in T \,\} = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)\xi \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{H}$ which, at the same time, is a curve in $X \times T$, flat over $T$. $\mathcal{C}$ is defined by an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times T}$ and $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) := \mathcal{I} \otimes_T k(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \xi(\lambda)$.

There is a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{M}^0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}^\ell = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(1) \tag{8.1}$$

such that, possibly after renumbering,

$$\mathcal{M}^i/\mathcal{M}^{i-1} \simeq f_i(S/p_i)(-d_i), \quad 1 \le i \le r, \tag{8.2}$$

and for the remaining indices

$$\mathcal{M}^i/\mathcal{M}^{i-1} \simeq g_i(S/P_i)(-e_i)\,. \tag{8.3}$$

Here $f_i$ and $g_i$ are forms in $S$ of degree $d_i$ respectively $e_i$; $p_i \subset S$ is a graded prime ideal, which defines a curve in $X$, and $P_i \subset S$ is a prime ideal, which is generated by a 3-dimensional linear subspace of $S_1$, i.e. $P_i$ is a point in $X(k)$. And in order to simplify the notation, we delete $\sim$ (sheafification).

If $\mathbb{P}^1_k = \operatorname{Proj} k[x, y]$, then we write $k[\lambda] = k[x/y]$, i.e. $k(\lambda) = k[x/y]/(x/y - \lambda)$, if we take $\lambda$ as a parameter in $k$.

If we apply $\sigma(\lambda)$ to eq. (8.1), then we get a filtration of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times T}/\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ over $T = \operatorname{Spec}[\lambda]$ with quotients

$$f_i(\lambda)(S \otimes T/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)(-d_i), \quad 1 \le i \le r, \tag{8.4}$$

$$g_i(\lambda)(S \otimes T/\sigma(\lambda)P_i)(-e_i)\,, \tag{8.5}$$

where $f_i(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)f_i$, $g_i(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)g_i$ are forms in $S \otimes k[\lambda]$ of degree $d_i$ respectively $e_i$.

**8.2.2. Applying an automorphism.** In the following considerations $\varphi$ is any normed automorphism of $\mathbf{H}$. $\beta : T \to \mathbf{H}$ is defined by $\lambda \mapsto \varphi\xi(\lambda)$. The image of $\beta$ is the curve $\mathcal{D} := \varphi(\mathcal{C}) = \{\, \varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^-$. One can conceive $\mathcal{D}$ as a curve in $X \times T$, flat over $T$, which is defined by an ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times T}$.

Now we replace $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ by a suitable small open affine subset $T = \operatorname{Spec} A \subset \mathbb{P}^1_k - \{\, 0, \infty \,\}$, $A = k[\lambda]_f$, $f \in k[\lambda] - (0)$ and for simplicity write $X$, $\mathcal{J}$, $S$, etc. instead of $X \times T$, $\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$, $S \otimes A$, etc. Then by the lemma in Appendix E we get a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{M}^0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}^\ell = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J} \tag{8.6}$$

such that the quotients have the form:

$$f_i(S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(-\ell_i), \quad 1 \le i \le s, \text{ and} \tag{8.7}$$

$$g_i(S/\mathcal{P}_i)(-m_i)\,. \tag{8.8}$$

Here all quotients are flat over $T = \operatorname{Spec} A$; $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset S$ is a graded prime ideal, which defines a curve in $X$, $\mathcal{P}_i \subset S$ is a graded prime ideal, generated by a subbundle $\mathcal{L}_i \subset S_1$ $(= S_1 \otimes A\,!)$ of rank 3; and $f_i$ and $g_i$ are forms in $S$ $(= S \otimes A\,!)$ of degree $\ell_i$ respectively $m_i$.

Let $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n \cap \mathcal{R}$ be a reduced primary decomposition of $\mathcal{J}$ $(= \mathcal{J} \otimes A\,!)$, where $\mathfrak{q}_i$ is primary to $\mathfrak{p}_i$ with multiplicity $\nu_i$, and $\mathcal{R}$ is the punctual part, i.e. $\mathcal{R}$ is the intersection of ideals, which are primary to associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$ and occur among the $\mathcal{P}_i$ in eq. (8.8). If $\mathcal{P}_i$ occurs in eq. (8.8), then $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{P}_i$ (cf. [**H**, Prop. 7.4, p. 50]).

As one can choose $T$ sufficiently small, all quotients are flat over $T$ and $f_i$ and $g_i$ generate subbundles of $S_n \otimes A$, and $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{R}$ is flat over $T$ with constant Hilbert polynomial. Hence $\dim_k |V(\mathcal{R})| \le 1$.

Put $D := \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T$. Then

$$|D| = \bigcup |V(\mathfrak{p}_i)| \cup |V(\mathcal{R})| \cup M\,, \tag{8.9}$$

where $M \subset |X \times T|$ is a finite set. Now $\varphi\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\lambda = \mathcal{D} \otimes k(\lambda)$ by definition and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \subset X \otimes k(\lambda) = \mathbb{P}^3$ is defined by $\mathcal{J}(\lambda) := \mathcal{J} \otimes k(\lambda)$.

Let be $\xi = \sigma(1)\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}(1) = \bigcap q_i \cap R$ a reduced primary decomposition, $q_i$ primary to a $p_i$ as in eq. (8.2) with multiplicity $\mu_i$, and $R$ the punctual part. It follows

$$|\mathcal{C}_1| = \bigcup |V(p_i)| \,\dot\cup\, \{\, P_i \,\}\,,$$

where $\{\, P_i \,\}$ is a finite set of isolated points in $|V(R)|$, which therefore are among the $P_i$ of (8.3). It follows that

$$|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| = \bigcup |V(\sigma(\lambda)p_i)| \,\dot\cup\, \{\, \sigma(\lambda)P_i \,\}\,.$$

Because of $|D_\lambda| \simeq |\mathcal{C}_\lambda|$ and $h(\xi(\lambda)) = h(\varphi\xi(\lambda))$ (cf. Thm. 7.1) it follows that

$$|\mathcal{D}_\lambda| = \bigcup |V(\sigma(\lambda)p_i)| \,\dot\cup\, \left\{\, \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}\sigma(\lambda)P_i \,\right\}\,,$$

where the $\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\sigma(\lambda)P_i)$ again are different isolated points (as $|\mathcal{D}_\lambda| \simeq |\mathcal{C}_\lambda|$).

Suppose there is a $P_i$, which really occurs. Then $\mathcal{C}_1^* := \{\, (\xi(\lambda), \sigma(\lambda)P_1 \,\}^- \subset \mathbf{C}$ is a curve with $L^*$-component $1 \cdot L^*$. Then $\mathcal{D}_1^* = \psi(\mathcal{C}_1^*) = \left\{\, (\varphi\xi(\lambda), \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\sigma(\lambda)P_1)) \,\right\}^- \subset \mathbf{C}$ has the $L^*$-component $1 \cdot L^*$, too. Hence $\kappa(\mathcal{D}_1^*) = \left\{\, \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\sigma(\lambda)P_1) \,\right\}^-$ is a line $L_1 \subset X$. In any

case one has

$$|\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathcal{O}_T| = \bigcup_\lambda |\mathcal{D}_\lambda| = \bigcup_i\bigcup_\lambda |V(\sigma(\lambda)p_i)|\cup\ell_1\cup\cdots\cup\ell_m\cup E\,,$$

where $\lambda$ runs through $T$, $\ell_i\subset X$ are lines minus finitely many points, $E$ finite set of points (possibly there are no such lines and $E=\emptyset$).

If one takes $\lambda$ as a variable, then $\sigma(\lambda)p_i =: \mathbf{p}_i$ is a graded prime ideal in $S\otimes A$ and the set of its closed points $|V(\mathbf{p}_i)|\subset|X\times T|$ is equal to $\bigcup_\lambda|V(\sigma(\lambda)p_i)|$. It follows that

$$|\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathcal{O}_T| = \bigcup_i |V(\mathbf{p}_i)|\cup\ell_1\cup\cdots\cup\ell_m\cup E\,. \tag{8.10}$$

Comparing eq. (8.9) and eq. (8.10), it follows that $\{\,\mathfrak{p}_i\,\}=\{\,\mathbf{p}_i\,\}$, hence

$$(S\otimes A/\mathfrak{p}_i)\otimes k(\lambda) = S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i\,. \tag{8.11}$$

Because of $h(\varphi(\xi(\lambda))) = h(\xi(\lambda))$ the prime ideal $\sigma(\lambda)p_i$ occurs in the filtration of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ as many times as in the filtration of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ and $r=s$.

Put $I=\bigoplus H^0(X,\mathcal{I}(n))$ and $J=\bigoplus H^0(X,\mathcal{J}(n))$. The essential point is: Although the $f_i$ and $g_i$ and their degrees in (8.4) & (8.5) respectively (8.7) & (8.8) do not agree, one obtains (with the abbreviation $S=S\otimes A$):

$$\begin{aligned}&(\det(S/I)_{n-1})^{-1}\otimes\det(S/I)_n = \\ &\Big[\bigotimes_1^r(\det(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_{n-d_i-1})^{-1}\otimes\det(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_{n-d_i}\Big]\otimes\Big\{\bigotimes_i S_1/\sigma(\lambda)L_i\Big\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

where $L_i\subset k[x,y,z,t]_1$ is a 3-dimensional subspace and $P_i=(L_i)$ the generated ideal.

$$\begin{aligned}&(\det(S/J)_{n-1})^{-1}\otimes\det(S/J)_n = \\ &\Big[\bigotimes_1^r(\det(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_{n-e_i-1})^{-1}\otimes\det(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_{n-e_i}\Big]\otimes\Big\{\bigotimes_1^s S_1/\mathcal{L}_i\Big\}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_i\subset A[x,y,z,t]_1$ is a rank 3-subbundle and $\mathcal{P}_i=(\mathcal{L}_i)$. Now $(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_n$ is globally generated on $T$ by the monomials in $S_n$, hence $\det(S/\sigma(\lambda)p_i)_n$ can be extended to a uniquely determined line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. The same is true for $S_1/\mathcal{L}_i$ and $S_1/\sigma(\lambda)L_i$. The extensions of $[\otimes\cdots]$ in eq. (8.12) and eq. (8.13) to line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ are denoted by $A_n$ resp. $\mathcal{A}_n$. The extensions of $\{\otimes\cdots\}$ to line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ are denoted by $B$ resp. $\mathcal{B}$. Hence

$$\big(\det(S/I)_{n-1}\big)^{-1}\otimes\det(S/I)_n = A_n\otimes B\,, \tag{8.14}$$

$$\big(\det(S/J)_{n-1}\big)^{-1}\otimes\det(S/J)_n = \mathcal{A}_n\otimes\mathcal{B}\,. \tag{8.15}$$

We now write $U=\operatorname{Spec}A$ and $T=\mathbb{P}^1_k$. Let $\lambda_0\in U$, $\zeta_0 := \varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 = \big\{\,\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\zeta_0\,\big\}^{-1}$. Then instead of eq. (8.12) one gets:

$$\big(\det(S/J(\lambda_0))_{n-1}\big)^{-1}\otimes\det(S/J(\lambda_0))_n = \mathcal{A}_n\otimes B(\lambda_0)\,, \tag{8.16}$$

where

$$B(\lambda_0) := \bigotimes_1^s S_1\otimes A/\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0) \quad \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)=\mathcal{L}_i\otimes k(\lambda_0)\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ be the prime ideal generated by $\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_i \otimes k(\lambda)$; let $P_i(\lambda)$ be the prime ideal generated by $\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$. Then $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ is a closed point on $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ and $P_i(\lambda)$ is a closed point on $\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}$.

Case 1. $P_i(\lambda)$ is independent of $\lambda \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{L}_i \otimes k(\lambda_0)) = \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda_0)$ is fixed under the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\sigma(\lambda)$.

Case 2. $P_i(\lambda)$ depends on $\lambda$. Then $P_i(\lambda)$ moves on a line and the intersection number (of the extension) of $S_1 \otimes A/\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ with $T = \mathbb{P}^1$ is equal to 1.

**Assumption** $A(0)$**:** Until the end of Section 8.6 the curve $C \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ has no isolated point.

**N.B.** Hence $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \sigma(\lambda)\xi$ and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi$ have no isolated points, for all $\lambda \in T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ really occurs, but is independent of $\lambda$, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_0$ for all $\lambda \in U$. As $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| = |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in T = \mathbb{P}^1$ by Theorem 7.1, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_0 \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in U$, hence for all $\lambda \in T = \mathbb{P}^1$. But then $\mathcal{P}_0 = P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$ or $\mathcal{P}_0 \in V(t)$. From this one deduces:

Conclusion 8.1. Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Then $(B(\lambda_0) \cdot T) \leq (\mathcal{B} \cdot T)$ and equality if and only if, for each index $i$, one of the following cases occurs:

1. $\{\, \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in T \,\}$ consists of one and the same point either equal to $P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$ or lying on $E = V(t)$.
2. $\{\, P_i(\lambda) \,\}^-$ is a line in $X$ and $\{\, \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \,\}^-$ is a line in $X$. □

## 8.3. Additional assumption

Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$, $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ as in Section 8.2.

**Assumption** $A(\lambda_0)$: $\lambda_0 \in U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and in Conclusion 8.1 one has equality.

**8.3.1.** Suppose $A(0)$ and $A(\lambda_0)$ are fulfilled. Because of $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| \simeq |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$, for all $\lambda \in k^*$, the curve $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$ has no isolated points. The assumption $A(\lambda_0)$ implies that either $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ is a single point, independent of $\lambda$, or $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ moves on a line $\ell_i$. We consider this last case. Now $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \in |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$ and $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| = |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in U$ (cf. Thm. 7.1). It follows that $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ moves on a line $\ell_i$, which lies on the cylinder over $\pi(\mathcal{C}_1) = \pi(\mathcal{D}_1)$, where $\pi$ is the projection from $P_0$ onto $E = V(t)$), defined by $\sigma(\lambda)$. Hence $\ell_i$ is a line through the point $P_0$.

Now by assumption (c.f. Section 8.1) $P_0 \notin C$, hence a line through $P_0$ intersects the curve $C$ in at most finitely many points. Let be $\ell_i \cap |\mathcal{C}_1| = \{\, R_\nu \,\}$, $R_\nu \in X(k)$ distinct from each other. Because of $\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ one gets $\ell_i \cap |\mathcal{C}_\lambda| = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)R_\nu \,\}$; as $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| = |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$ it follows that $\ell_i \cap |\mathcal{D}_\lambda| = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)R_\nu \,\}$ for all $\lambda \in U$.

Suppose $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda_0) = \sigma(\lambda_0)R_j$ and $I := \{\, \lambda \in U \mid |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon \,\}$. Choose $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\{\, \sigma(\lambda)R_\nu \mid \lambda \in I \,\} \cap \{\, \sigma(\lambda)R_\mu \mid \lambda \in I \,\} = \emptyset$ for all $\mu \neq \nu$. As $\mathcal{P}_j(\lambda)$ continuously depends

on $\lambda$, from $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda_0) = \sigma(\lambda_0)R_j$ it follows that $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)R_j$ for all $\lambda \in I$, hence

$$\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda_0) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U\,. \tag{8.17}$$

Conclusion 8.2. If $A(0)$ and $A(\lambda_0)$ are fulfilled:

(a) $\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda) := \mathcal{L}_i \otimes k(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ for all $i$ and all $\lambda \in U$.
(b) If $\mathcal{B} := \bigotimes_1^s S_1 \otimes T/\mathcal{L}_i$, $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$, then $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) := \mathcal{B} \otimes k(\lambda) = \bigotimes_1^s S_1/\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ for all $\lambda \in T$.
Here $\sigma(0)\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0) := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ and $\sigma(\infty)\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0) := \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$. □

## 8.4. The morphisms $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$

**8.4.1.** Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k - \{\,0, \infty\,\}$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbf{H}$, $\beta : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbf{H}$, defined as in 8.2.1 resp. 8.2.2 by $\lambda \mapsto \xi(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)\xi$ resp. $\beta = \varphi \circ \alpha$. If $\lambda_0 \in T$ (not necessarily $\lambda_0 \in U$), then $\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbf{H}$ is defined by $\lambda \mapsto \sigma(\lambda\lambda_0)\varphi(\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$. The injectivity of $\alpha$ follows from the assumption in Section 8.1. If $\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\varphi(\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) = \sigma(\mu\lambda_0^{-1})\varphi(\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$, applying $h$ and using Theorem 7.1 gives $\sigma(\lambda)h(\xi) = \sigma(\mu)h(\xi)$, hence $\lambda = \mu$. It follows that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are injective.

As we had put $\zeta_0 = \varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 = \left\{\, \sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\zeta_0 \,\right\}^-$, the argumentation also shows:

$$\deg(h|\mathcal{C}) = \deg(h|\mathcal{D}) = \deg(h|\mathcal{D}_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h(\mathcal{C}) = h(\mathcal{D}) = h(\mathcal{D}_0)\,.$$

If

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \sim q_2(\mathcal{D}_0)\cdot C_2 + q_1(\mathcal{D}_0)\cdot C_1 + q_0(\mathcal{D}_0)\cdot C_0\,,$$

then

$$[h(\mathcal{D}_0] = q_2(\mathcal{D}_0)\cdot[h(C_2] = [h(\mathcal{C})] = q_2(\mathcal{C})[h(C_2)]\,,$$

and ditto with $\mathcal{D}$ instead of $\mathcal{D}_0$. Finally we can interpret eq. (8.14)–eq. (8.16) by means of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and we get:

Conclusion 8.3. Even if $A(0)$ or $A(\lambda_0)$ is not assumed, one has for every $\lambda_0 \in T = \mathbb{P}^1_k - \{0, \infty\}$:

(a) $\alpha|T$, $\beta|T$, $\gamma|T$ are injective,
(b) $q_2(\mathcal{C}) = q_2(\mathcal{D}) = q_2(\mathcal{D}_0)$,
(c) $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes B = \alpha^*(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n)$; $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes \mathcal{B} = \beta^*(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes B(\lambda_0) = \gamma^*(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n)$. □

**8.4.2.** Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Then Conclusion 8.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{A}_n \cdot T) + (B(\lambda_0) \cdot T) = (\mathcal{A}_n \otimes B(\lambda_0) \cdot T) = (\gamma^* \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot T) \\
&= \deg(\gamma) \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{D}_0) = q_2(\mathcal{D}_0)(n-d+1) + q_1(\mathcal{D}_0) \\
&\leq (\mathcal{A}_n \cdot T) + (\mathcal{B} \cdot T) = (\mathcal{A}_n \otimes \mathcal{B} \cdot T) = (\beta^* \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot T) \\
&= \deg(\beta) \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot \beta(T)) = (\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{D}) \\
&= q_2(\mathcal{D})(n-d+1) + q_1(\mathcal{D})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We sum up:

LEMMA 8.1. *(a) Without assuming $A(0)$ or $A(\lambda_0)$, for each $\lambda_0 \in T = \mathbb{P}^1_k - \{0, \infty\}$ one has:*
*$\alpha|T$, $\beta|T$, $\gamma|T$ are injective, $q_2(\mathcal{C}) = q_2(\mathcal{D}) = q_2(\mathcal{D}_0)$ and $q_1(\mathcal{D}_0) \leq q_1(\mathcal{D})$.*
*(b) If $A(0)$ is supposed and $T := \mathbb{P}^1_k$, the following statements are equivalent:*
*(i) $A(\lambda_0)$ is fulfilled, i.e. $(B(\lambda_0) \cdot T) = (\mathcal{B} \cdot T)$.*
*(ii) $q_1(\mathcal{D}_0) = q_1(\mathcal{D})$.*
*(iii) The line bundles $\mathcal{B} = \bigotimes_1^s S_1 \otimes T/\mathcal{L}_i$ and $B(\lambda_0) = \bigotimes_1^s S_1 \otimes T/\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ on $T$ are indentical, i.e.*
*$\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_i \otimes k(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\mathcal{L}_i(\lambda_0)$ for all $\lambda \in T$ and all $i$.*
*(c) If $n \geq d$, denote by $f$ the tautological morphism, which is defined by $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$.*
*If $A(0)$ is fulfilled and $A(\lambda_0)$ is fulfilled by an element $\lambda_0 \in U$, then $f(\mathcal{D}) = f(\mathcal{D}_0)$.*

PROOF. (a) has just been stated before, and in Part (b) the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from the preceding computation. If we assume (i), then (iii) follows from Conclusion 8.2 and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is trivial. As to Part (c), as $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes k(\lambda) = \mathcal{A}_n \otimes B(\lambda_0) \otimes k(\lambda)$ by Conclusion 8.2, one has $f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = f(\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\zeta_0)$ for all $\lambda$ in an open subset of $T$, hence $(c)$ follows. □

We still suppose $A(0)$ and $A(\lambda_0)$. By Lemma 8.1c), for $\lambda \in k^*$ there is a $\mu \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and for $\mu \in k^*$ there is a $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$ such that

$$f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = f(\sigma(\mu)\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) \tag{8.18}$$

and hence $h(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = h(\sigma(\mu)\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$. We show that then $\mu$ (resp. $\lambda$) is in $k^*$, too: If $\mu = 0$ or $\mu = \infty$, then $\zeta_{0/\infty} := \sigma(\mu)\varphi(\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$ would be fixed by $\mathbb{G}_m$, hence $h(\zeta_{0/\infty})$ would be fixed by $\mathbb{G}_m$, as $h$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant. It would follow that $h(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = h(\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = \sigma(\lambda)h(\xi)$ is invariant under $\mathbb{G}_m$, and hence $h(\xi)$ would be invariant under $\mathbb{G}_m$, which is not the case by assumption (cf. Section 8.1). On the other hand, if one starts with $\mu \in k^*$ and supposes $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&h(\xi_{0/\infty}) = h(\sigma(\lambda)\xi_{0,\infty}) = \sigma(\lambda)h(\xi_{0/\infty}) = \sigma(\lambda)h(\sigma(\mu)\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) \\
&= \sigma(\lambda\mu)h(\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) = \sigma(\lambda\mu)h(\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) = \sigma(\lambda\mu\lambda_0)h(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in k^*\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $h(\xi)$ again would be $\mathbb{G}_m$-fixed. We get

CONCLUSION 8.4. If in eq. (8.18) $\lambda \in k^*$ (resp. $\mu \in k^*$), then $\mu \in k^*$ (resp. $\lambda \in k^*$). □

We draw further consequences: As $h$ is equivariant with respect to $\mathbb{G}_m$ and $\varphi$ leaves invariant the fibers of $h$ (cf. Thm. 7.1), one has $h(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = h(\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = \sigma(\lambda)h(\xi)$ and $h(\sigma(\mu)\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) = \sigma(\mu\lambda_0)h(\xi)$, hence $\lambda = \mu\lambda_0$, and eq. (8.18) can be written as

$$f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = f(\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1\,. \tag{8.19}$$

If $\lambda = 1$, one gets $f(\varphi(\xi)) = f(\sigma(\lambda_0^{-1})\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$, hence $f(\sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi)) = f(\sigma(\lambda\lambda_0^{-1})\varphi\sigma(\lambda_0)\xi)$ for all $\lambda$.

CONCLUSION 8.5. Assume that $A(0)$ and $A(\lambda_0)$ are fulfilled. Put $\mathcal{E} := \{\, \sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi) \,\}^-$. Then

(a) $f(\sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi)) = f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$,
(b) $f|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ and $f|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ is injective,
(c) $q_i(\varphi(\xi)) = q_i(\xi)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. (a) follows from eq. (8.19) and the foregoing computation.
(b) follows by the same argumentation as in Section 8.4.1, as the isotropy of $h(\xi) = h(\varphi(\xi))$ is trivial by assumption.
(c) follows from Lemma 8.1a, if $i = 2$.

Write

$$\mathcal{C} \sim q_2C_2 + q_1C_1 + q_0C_0 \sim \mathcal{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E} \sim p_2C_2 + p_1C_1 + p_0C_0\,.$$

Let be $g : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$ the morphism defined by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, $n \geq d$. By Corollary 3.2 the restrictions $g|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ and $g|\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{E}}$ are injective, too.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &q_2(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_2) + q_1(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_1) + q_0(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_0) = (\mathcal{L}\cdot\mathcal{C}) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}\cdot\mathcal{D}) = (f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\cdot\mathcal{D}) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\cdot f(\mathcal{D})) = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\cdot f(\mathcal{E})) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}\cdot\mathcal{E}) = p_2(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_2) + p_1(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_1) + p_0(\mathcal{L}\cdot C_0)\,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $q_2(n-d+1) + q_1 = p_2(n-d+1) + p_1$, hence $q_1 = p_1$. □

## 8.5. Eliminating the assumption $A(\lambda_0)$

We now consider the case that $A(0)$ is fulfilled but $A(\lambda_0)$ is not fulfilled for any $\lambda_0 \in U$.

Let be $V := \beta(U)$; this is an open non-empty subset of $\mathcal{D}$ and from Lemma 8.1 it follows that $q_1(\zeta) < q_1(\mathcal{D})$ for all $\zeta \in V$.

Suppose there is $\eta_0 \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D} - \{\, \varphi(\xi_0), \varphi(\xi_\infty) \,\}$ such that $q_1(\mathcal{D}) \leq q_1(\eta_0)$. As $q_2(\eta) = q_2(\eta_0)$ for all $\eta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ by Lemma 8.1, from Conclusion F.2 in Appendix F it follows that there is an open neighborhood $V_0$ of $\eta_0$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $q_1(\mathcal{D}) \leq q_1(\eta_0) \leq q_1(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in V_0$. As $V \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$, this gives a contradiction.

It follows that $q_1(\zeta) < q_1(\mathcal{D})$ for all $\zeta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$. Now from $q_1(\mathcal{D}) = q_1(\mathcal{C}) = q_1(\xi)$ follows that

$$q_1(\zeta) < q_1(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}} \,. \tag{8.20}$$

Conclusion 8.6. Assume $A(0)$ is fulfilled, but if $\lambda_0 \in U$, then $A(\lambda_0)$ is not fulfilled. Then $q_1(\varphi(\xi)) < q_1(\xi)$. □

From Conclusion 8.5 and Conclusion 8.6 one obtains:

Conclusion 8.7. Assume $A(0)$. Then either $q_1(\varphi(\xi)) = q_1(\xi)$ or $q_1(\varphi(\xi)) < q_1(\xi)$. □

Let $\xi \leftrightarrow C$ and $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow D$. As $C(k)$ and $D(k)$ are isomorphic, $D$ has no isolated points. As $h(\varphi(\xi)) = h(\xi)$ by Theorem 7.1, $t$ is general for $D$ and $\varphi(\xi)$ fulfills the assumptions of Section 8.1. Hence from Conclusion 8.7 applied to $\varphi^{-1}$ and $\varphi(\xi)$ instead of $\varphi$ and $\xi$ it follows that $q_1(\varphi^{-1}\varphi(\xi)) = q_1(\varphi(\xi))$ or $q_1(\varphi^{-1}\varphi(\xi)) < q_1(\varphi(\xi))$ i.e. $q_1(\xi) = q_1(\varphi(\xi))$ or $q_1(\xi) < q_1(\varphi(\xi))$. It follows that $q_1(\varphi(\xi)) = q_1(\xi)$.

Lemma 8.2. *Assume $A(0)$. Then one has:*

*(a) $q_i(\varphi(\xi)) = q_i(\xi)$ if $i = 1, 2$.*
*(b) $f(\sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi)) = f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. (a) has just been proved. If there were no $\lambda_0 \in U$ such that $A(\lambda_0)$ is true, then Conclusion 8.6 gives $q_1(\varphi(\xi)) < q_1(\xi)$, contradicting (a). Then (b) follows from Conclusion 8.5 . □

## 8.6. The restriction morphism

It is defined by $r : U(t) \to H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$, $\mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} + t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$.

**8.6.1.** Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ be adapted. We assume $A(0)$. As $\xi_0 \in U(t)$ and $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$ by Proposition 6.1, it follows that $\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi \in U(t)$ for almost all $\lambda \in k$, including $\lambda = 0$. By Lemma 8.2 $f(\sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi)) = f(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi)$, hence from Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$r(\varphi(\xi)) = r(\sigma(\lambda)\varphi(\xi)) = r(\varphi\sigma(\lambda)\xi) \xrightarrow[\lambda \to 0]{} r(\varphi(\xi_0)) = r(\xi_0) = r(\xi)\,.$$

Conclusion 8.8. If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ is adapted, $A(0)$ is fulfilled, $\varphi \in N$, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$, $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{J}'$. □

**8.6.2.** Let $\xi \leftrightarrow C$ be as before. As $P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \notin C$, the ideal $(x, y, z)$ is not associated to $\mathcal{I}$. Put $R = k[x, y, z]$ and let be $L$ the set of $\ell \in R_1$ such that $\ell$ is not in any associated prime of $\mathcal{I}$. Then $L$ is Zariski-open in $R_1$. Fix $\ell \in L$ and define $u_\alpha : x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto y,\ z \mapsto z,\ t \mapsto t - \alpha\ell$. Then for almost all $\alpha \in k$, including $\alpha = 0$, $t + \alpha\ell$ is general for $\xi$, i.e. $t + \alpha\ell$ is not in any associated prime of $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. one has $u_\alpha(\xi) \in U(t)$.

As $P_0$ is fixed by $u_\alpha$, one has $P_0 \notin u_\alpha(C)$. Finally from Corollary A.2 in Appendix A it follows that $h(u_\alpha(\xi))$ has trivial $\mathbb{G}_m$-isotropy, hence $u_\alpha(\xi)$ is adapted for all $\alpha \in A$, where $A$ is a set which depends on $\xi$ and $\ell$ and is equal to $k$ minus finitely many elements. If $\alpha \in A$, then by Conclusion 8.8 one has $r(u_\alpha(\xi)) = r(\varphi u_\alpha(\xi))$ for all $\varphi \in N$.

**8.6.3.** In Section 8.1 we started from an *arbitrary* $\eta \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, took a suitable $g \in G = \mathrm{GL}(4,k)$ to get an adapted $\xi = g(\eta)$. It follows that

$$r(u_\alpha g(\eta)) = r(\varphi u_\alpha g(\eta)) = r(u_\alpha g g^{-1} u_\alpha^{-1} \varphi u_\alpha g(\eta)) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in N\,,$$

hence

$$r(u_\alpha g(\eta)) = r(u_\alpha g \varphi(\eta))$$

for all $\varphi \in N$. If $\eta \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$, $\varphi(\eta) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$, this equation can be written as

$$u_\alpha g(\mathcal{I}) \equiv u_\alpha g(\mathcal{J}) \mod t\,,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \mathcal{J} \mod g^{-1} u_\alpha^{-1}(t)\,.$$

Now $\{\, g^{-1}u_\alpha^{-1}(t) \,\}$ is a Zariski-dense set of linear forms in $\mathbb{P}(S_1)$, and one obtains:

Conclusion 8.9. Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ be any point such that the curve $C \leftrightarrow \xi$ has no isolated points. If $\varphi$ is any normed automorphism and $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ and $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ are the ideals corresponding to $\xi$ resp. $\varphi(\xi)$, then $\mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1) = \mathcal{J} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ for all linear forms $\ell$ in a Zariski-dense subset of $S_1$. □

**N.B.** In this conclusion, there is no assumption that $\xi$ is adapted, so in the rest of this Chapter 8 $\xi$ is not assumed to be adapted.

**8.6.4.** We need a simple general lemma and first have to introduce some notations. Let be $S = k[x,y,z,t]$. We say a statement is true for Zariski-many linear forms $\ell \in S_1$, if there is a set $L \subset S_1$ which is dense in $S_1 \simeq \mathbb{A}^4$ in the Zariski-topology, such that the statement is true for all $\ell \in L$.

Let be $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$ and $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal, and $\ell \in S_1 - 0$. We write $\mathcal{I} \in U(\ell)$, if $\ell$ is a non-zero divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$, or equivalently, if $\ell$ does not lie in an associated prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$. We write $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ only if $\mathcal{I} \in U(\ell)$. If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is an ideal which defines a curve $C \subset X$, then one can write $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a CM-ideal and $\mathcal{R}$ is the punctual part. We write $\mathcal{N} = \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{I})$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let be $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ two ideals which define curves in $X$. If $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{J}'$ for Zariski-many $\ell \in S_1$, then the* CM*-parts of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are equal.*

Proof. Put $M = \{\, \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \mid \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{K}' \text{ for Zariski-many } \ell \in S_1 \,\}$. Let $\mathcal{M} \in M$ be a maximal element. Then $\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a CM-ideal. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{M})(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y := \mathrm{Proj}(S/\ell S(-1))$, is exact for Zariski-many $\ell$, and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{I}'$. If $P(n) = dn-g+1$ and $p(n) = \delta n - \gamma + 1$ are the Hilbert polynomials of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ respectively of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{M}$, then from $p(n)-p(n-1) = \delta = d$ if $n \gg 0$ it follows that $P(n)-p(n) = c$ is a constant $\geq 0$, and $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}$ has the Hilbert polynomial $c$. Thus $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{I}$ is artinian and we can write $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}$ the punctual part. In the same way we get $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}$ the punctual part. □

**8.6.5.** We apply Lemma 8.3 to the situation of Conclusion 8.9 and we get:

LEMMA 8.4. *Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ be a point such that the curve $C \leftrightarrow \xi$ has no isolated points. Let be $\varphi \in N$ and $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi$ and $\mathcal{J} \leftrightarrow \varphi(\xi)$. Then the* CM*-parts of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are equal.* □

PROPOSITION 8.1. *If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ and $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi$ is a* CM*-ideal, then $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$ for all normed automorphisms $\varphi$ of* **H**.

PROOF. From Lemma 8.4 it follows that $\varphi(\xi) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the punctual part of $\mathcal{J}$. As the Hilbert polynomials of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are equal, it follows that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$. □

## 8.7. Eliminating the assumption $A(0)$

**8.7.1. First step.** The isolated points are simple points.
This means we can write $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{K}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}$ where the curve $C_0$ defined by $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ has no isolated points and the subscheme of $X$ defined by $\mathcal{R}$ consists of $s$ simple points $P_i$ not on $C_0$. Choose a fixed point $P_0 \in C$ and put $P_i(\lambda) = P_0 + \lambda(P_i - P_0)$. If $P_0$ is general enough, then $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) := \mathcal{K}_0 \bigcap_1^s P_i(\lambda)$ defines a curve $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \subset X$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n)$ for all $\lambda \in U$, where $U \subset T := \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is open and non-empty. Then $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ defines a map $U \to \mathbf{H}$, which uniquely extends to a map $\alpha : T \to \mathbf{H}$, which is injective on $U$. Denote $\xi(\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{\, \xi(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in T \,\}$. Now $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{K}_0 \bigoplus_1^s \mathcal{O}_X/P_i(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in U$ and $L_i = \{\, \mathcal{O}_X/P_i(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in T \,\}$ is a line in $X$. It follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{C}) = s \cdot n \,. \tag{8.21}$$

Let be $\beta = \varphi \circ \alpha$, $\varphi\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D} = \{\, \mathcal{D}_\lambda \,\}$, where $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \subset X$ is defined by $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$. Let $\psi$ be the automorphism of $\mathbf{C}$ defined by $\varphi$. As $\psi$ induces an isomorphism $|\mathcal{C}_\lambda| \simeq |\mathcal{D}_\lambda|$, if $\lambda \in U$ then one has

$$\mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \mathcal{K}(\lambda) \bigcap_1^s \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \,,$$

where the $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ are $s$ distinct points not on $V(\mathcal{K}(\lambda))$. Here $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times U}$ is an ideal such that $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{X\times U}/\mathcal{K}$ is flat over $U$, hence has a unique extension all over $T$, which we denote by the same letter. $\mathcal{P}_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times U}$ is an ideal such that $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{X\times U}/\mathcal{P}_i$ is flat over $U$, hence has a unique extension all over $T$, which we again denote by the same letter.

Now $h(\xi) = h(\xi(\lambda)) = h(\varphi(\xi(\lambda)))$, hence $V(\mathcal{K}(\lambda)) = V(\mathcal{K}_0) = C_0$ for all $\lambda \in T$. If one puts $\mathcal{C}^* = \{\, (\xi(\lambda), P_1(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in T \,\} \subset \mathbf{C}$, then $[\mathcal{C}^*]$ has the component $1 \cdot L^*$, hence the same is true for $\psi(\mathcal{C}^*) = \{\, (\varphi\xi(\lambda), \mathcal{P}_1(\lambda)) \mid \lambda \in T \,\}$. The usual argumentation shows that $\mathcal{P}_1(\lambda)$

moves on a line in $X$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{D}$, one has $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{O}_U = (\mathcal{L}\bigoplus_1^s \mathcal{L}_i)\bigotimes\mathcal{O}_U$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}$ has the Hilbert polynomial $P(n)-s$ and $\mathcal{L}_i$ has the Hilbert polynomial 1, hence $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ is a simple point moving on a line, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}_i$, too.

Let be $p: X\times T\to T$ the projection. Then

$$p_*\mathcal{F}(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U = p_*\mathcal{L}(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U\bigoplus_i p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U\,,$$

hence

$$\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{F}(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U = \dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{L}(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U\bigotimes_i p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)\otimes\mathcal{O}_U\,. \tag{8.22}$$

As $p_*\mathcal{F}(n)$, $p_*\mathcal{L}(n)$, $p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)$ are globally generated by the monomials in $S_n$, if $n\gg 0$, the extensions of the single factors in eq. (8.22) are uniquely determined line bundles, and eq. (8.22) holds true, if $U$ is replaced by $T$. It follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot\mathcal{D}) = \deg(\beta)(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot\mathcal{D}) = (\beta^*\mathcal{M}_n\cdot T)$$
$$= \big(\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{F}(n)\cdot T\big) = \big(\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{L}(n)\cdot T\big) + \sum (p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)\cdot T)\,.$$

$\mathcal{L}_i$ is a line in $X$, hence $(p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)\cdot T)\geq n$. As $\mathcal{C}\sim\mathcal{D}$, one has $(\mathcal{M}_n\cdot\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{M}_n\cdot\mathcal{D})$. And then from eq. (8.21) one deduces that $(p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)\cdot T) = n$ and $\big(\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{L}(n)\cdot T\big) = 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{K}\subset\mathcal{O}_X$ is a fixed ideal such that $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{K}$ has the Hilbert polynomial $P(n)-s$. If $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\subset\mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal which defines $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$, then $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\subset\mathcal{K}$ for all $\lambda\in T$. If $\mathcal{M} := \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{K})$ is the CM-part of $\mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{J}(\lambda)\subset\mathcal{M}$, hence $\mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{J}(\lambda)) = \mathcal{M}$ for all $\lambda\in T$, hence $\mathcal{J}_0 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\mathcal{J}(\lambda)$ also has the CM-part $\mathcal{M}$.

Put $\mathcal{N} := \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. As $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)\subset\mathcal{N}$ for all $\lambda\in U$, one has $\mathcal{I}_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\mathcal{I}(\lambda)\subset\mathcal{N}$ and hence $\mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{I}_0) = \mathcal{N}$. Now $\xi_0 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\xi(\lambda)\leftrightarrow\mathcal{I}_0$ corresponds to a curve without isolated points, and as $\varphi\xi(\lambda)\to\varphi(\xi_0)\leftrightarrow\mathcal{J}_0$, by Lemma 8.4 it follows that $\mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{I}_0) = \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{J}_0)$.

Conclusion 8.10. Assume that $\xi\in\mathbf{H}(k)$ corresponds to an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ such that the isolated points of $V(\mathcal{I})$ are simple. Then $\varphi(\xi)$ corresponds to an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ such that $V(\mathcal{J})$ has the same number of isolated points and $\mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathrm{CM}(\mathcal{J})$. □

**8.7.2. Second step.** Let $\mathcal{N}\subset\mathcal{O}_X$ be a CM-ideal. Suppose that for the Hilbert polynomial $p(n)$ of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}$ one has $P(n)-p(n) = s>0$ is a fixed number. Suppose that $t$ is not a zero-divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}$. Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ operate by $\sigma(\lambda): x\mapsto x,\ y\mapsto y,\ z\mapsto z,\ t\mapsto\lambda t$ and put $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{N}+t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$. Then $\mathcal{N}_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0}\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}')^*\cap\mathcal{R}_0$ and $\mathcal{R}_0$ is primary to $\mathcal{P}_0 = (x,y,z)$.

Let $P_1,\dots,P_s\in X-\big[\bigcup_{\lambda\in k^*}V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N})\cup V(\mathcal{N}_0)\cup V(t)\big]$ be distinct closed points and put $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_1^s P_i$ (we identify the points with the corresponding ideals, as usual).

Put $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}\cap\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = (\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N})\cap\mathcal{R}\leftrightarrow\xi(\lambda)$. This is a closed point of $\mathbf{H}$ for all $\lambda\in k^*$. Then from Conclusion 8.10 one gets $\varphi\xi(\lambda)\leftrightarrow\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}\cap\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda$, where $\mathcal{S}_\lambda\subset\mathcal{O}_X$ has colength $s$ and $V(\mathcal{S}_\lambda)$ consists of $s$ distinct points not on $V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N})$. Let $P\in V(\mathcal{R})$

be a fixed point, let $\mathcal{C}^* = \{\,(\xi(\lambda), P)\,\}^-$. Then $\psi(\mathcal{C}^*) = \big\{\,(\varphi\xi(\lambda), \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(P))\,\big\}^-$ and $[\psi(\mathcal{C}^*)]$ has no $L^*$-component, as $[\mathcal{C}^*]$ has no $L^*$-component. Now $\psi$ induces an isomorphism $|V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R})| \simeq |V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S}_\lambda)|$ and hence $\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(P) \in V(\mathcal{S}_\lambda)$ is independent of $\lambda$. As $\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}$ induces an isomorphism, $\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(P_i) = \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(P_j)$ implies $P_i = P_j$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \mathcal{S}$ for all $\lambda$, where $\mathcal{S} = P_1' \cap \cdots \cap P_s'$ and the $P_i'$ are distinct and not in $V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N})$. Suppose there is a number $1 \le r \le s$ such that $P_i' \in V(\mathcal{N}_0)$, if $1 \le i \le r$ and $P_i' \notin V(\mathcal{N}_0)$, if $r < i$.

Put $\mathcal{S}_1 = \bigcap_1^r P_i'$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \bigcap_{r+1}^s P_i'$, and take $p \in I := \prod_1^r P_i'$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& p \cdot \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \subset (\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{S}_1 \subset \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \\
\Rightarrow\ & \lim_{\lambda\to 0} p \cdot \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \subset \lim_{\lambda\to 0}[(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{S}_1] \subset \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \\
\Rightarrow\ & p \cdot \mathcal{N}_0 \subset \mathcal{L} := \lim_{\lambda\to 0}[(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}) \cap \mathcal{S}_1] \subset \mathcal{N}_0 \\
\Rightarrow\ & V(p \cdot \mathcal{N}_0) \supset V(\mathcal{L}) \supset V(\mathcal{N}_0) \quad \text{for all } p \in I \\
\Rightarrow\ & V(I \cdot \mathcal{N}_0) \supset V(\mathcal{L}) \supset V(\mathcal{N}_0)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

As $V(I \cdot \mathcal{N}_0) = V(I) \cup V(\mathcal{N}_0) = V(\mathcal{N}_0)$, one has $V(\mathcal{L}) = V(\mathcal{N}_0)$. Now

$$\varphi(\xi(\lambda)) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$$

and $V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S}_1) \cap V(\mathcal{S}_2) = \emptyset$ for all $\lambda$. Clearly one has

$$\mathcal{I}_0 \leftrightarrow \xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}\,,$$

hence $\varphi(\xi_0) = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \varphi(\xi(\lambda)) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \mathcal{J}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{S}_2$, as $V(\mathcal{L}) \cap V(\mathcal{S}_2) = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, $\xi_0 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \mathcal{R} = (\mathcal{N}')^* \cap \mathcal{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{R}$, and from Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4 it follows that that $\varphi(\xi_0) \equiv \xi_0$. But this implies that $|V(\mathcal{I}_0)| = |V(\mathcal{N}_0)| \dot\cup |V(\mathcal{R})|$ is equal to $|V(\mathcal{J}_0)| = |V(\mathcal{L})| \dot\cup |V(\mathcal{S}_2)| = |V(\mathcal{N}_0)| \dot\cup |V(\mathcal{S}_2)|$ from which $s = s - r$ follows, contradiction.

It follows that $V(\mathcal{N}_0) \cap V(\mathcal{S}) = \emptyset$, hence $\mathcal{J}_0 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0}(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{N}_0 \cap \mathcal{S}$. Now from $|V(\mathcal{I}_0)| = |V(\mathcal{J}_0)|$ follows $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$, hence $\varphi\xi(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R}$ for all $\lambda \in k^*$.

Conclusion 8.11. Assume $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ corresponds to an ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_s$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal, $t$ is not a zero-divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}$ and $P_i \in X$ are distinct closed points not in $\big[\bigcup_{\lambda\in k^*} V(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}) \cup V(\mathcal{N}_0) \cup V(t)\big]$, $\mathcal{N}_0 := \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{N}$. Then for each normed automorphism $\varphi$ one has $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$. □

## 8.8. The result

Theorem 8.1. *Let be* $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^3)$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$, $d \ge 6$ *and* $g \le g(d)$. *Let* $f$ *be the morphism* $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}$ *defined by* $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ *for any* $n \ge d$. *If* $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, *then for every normed automorphism* $\varphi$ *of* $\mathbf{H}$ *one has* $f(\varphi(\xi)) = f(\xi)$.

Proof. 1° Suppose $\xi \in U(t)$. If $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{N}$ is the CM-part, $\mathcal{R}$ the punctual part. Then from Conclusion 8.11 it follows that $\mathcal{N}$ fulfills the assumption of Lemma 6.4, hence $\varphi(\xi) \equiv \xi$.

2° Suppose $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ arbitrary. Take a $g \in \mathrm{GL}(4, k)$ such that $g(\xi) \in U(t)$, hence

$\varphi g(\xi) \equiv g(\xi)$ for all $\varphi \in N$. By Lemma 6.1 one has $g^{-1}\varphi g(\xi) \equiv \xi$ for all $\varphi \in N$, hence $\varphi(\xi) \equiv \xi$ for all $\varphi \in N$. □

REMARK. (Notations and assumptions as before.) Theorem 8.1 says that each normed automorphism $\varphi$ leaves invariant the reduced fibers of each tautological morphism. Then from Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 one obtains the following formulation of Theorem 8.1:

*Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ correspond to the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{J}$ is the Cohen-Macaulay part and $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap Q_i$ is the punctual part such that the $Q_i$ are primary to ideals $P_i$, which correspond to different closed points of $\mathbb{P}^3_k$. Then $\varphi(\xi)$ corresponds to the ideal $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}'$, where $\mathcal{R}' = \bigcap Q'_i$, the $Q'_i$ are $P_i$-primary and* $\operatorname{length}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J} \cap Q_i) = \operatorname{length}(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J} \cap Q'_i)$ *for all $i$.*

APPENDIX A

# Linear projections and $\mathbb{G}_m$-actions

## A.1. Description of the linear projection

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field, $S = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $X = \mathrm{Proj}(S) = \mathbb{P}^3$. Each maximal graded prime ideal $\mathcal{P} \neq S_+$ of $S$ corresponds to a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^3$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow P$. If $\mathcal{P} = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$, $\ell_i \in S_1$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, linearly independent, then $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow P = (p_0 : \cdots : p_3)$ is the point in $X(k)$ such that $\ell_i(P) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. We often identify $\mathcal{P}$ and $P$, i.e. we also denote with $\mathcal{P}$ (respectively with $P$) the corresponding point (respectively the corresponding prime ideal).

Let $\pi = (P, E)$ be the linear projection from the point $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow P$ onto the plane $E = V(\ell)$, where $\ell \in S_1$ is a linear form. We want to describe, how $\pi$ can be defined by a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $X$.

If $g \in G := \mathrm{Aut}_k(S_1)$, then $g$ acts as an automorphism of $S$ on $X$ and the action on $X(k)$ is defined by $g(p_0 : \cdots : p_3) = (p_0 : \cdots : p_3)M(g^{-1})$, where $M(-)$ denotes the corresponding matrix with respect to the $k$-basis $\{x, y, z, t\}$ of $S_1$.

As $P \notin E$, one has $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell \rangle = S_1$ and we take any $g \in G$ such that $g(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_0 := (x, y, z) \leftrightarrow (0 : 0 : 0 : 1) =: P_0$ and get $g(\ell) = t$. If $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$, $\lambda \in k^*$, is the "usual" $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $S$, and if $g$ is the linear transformation just mentioned, we put $\tau(\lambda) := g^{-1} \circ \sigma(\lambda) \circ g$. Then one has the following simple

LEMMA A.1. *If $Q \in \mathbb{P}^3(k) - \{P\}$, then $\pi(Q) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \tau(\lambda)(Q)$.*

PROOF. If $\ell = (P, Q)$ is the line connecting $P$ and $Q$, then the intersection $R = \ell \cap E$ is equal to $\pi(Q)$, hence $g(\ell) = (g(P), g(Q))$ and $g(R) = g(\ell) \cap V(t)$.

CASE 1. $Q \in E$. Then $g(Q) \in V(t)$ and $g^{-1}\sigma(\lambda)g(Q) = g^{-1}g(Q) = Q$ for all $\lambda \in k^*$.

CASE 2. $Q \notin E$. Then $g(Q) \notin V(t)$ and if one assumes $g(Q) = P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1) = g(P)$, then $Q = P$ follows, contradiction. It follows that $g(Q)$ is not invariant under $\sigma(\lambda)$, hence $h := \{\, \sigma(\lambda)g(Q) \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^-$ is a line in $X$ which connects $\sigma(1)g(Q) = g(Q)$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)g(Q)$. As $\sigma(\lambda)g(Q) = g(Q)M(\sigma(\lambda^{-1})) \to P_0 = (0 : 0 : 0 : 1)$ if $\lambda \to 0$, $h$ is the line through $g(Q)$ and $g(P)$, i.e. $h = g(\ell)$. It follows that $\{\, g^{-1}\sigma(\lambda)g(Q) \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^- = \ell$ and $g(R) = g(\ell) \cap g(E) = \{\, \sigma(\lambda)g(Q) \,\}^- \cap V(t)$. If one assumes $\sigma(\lambda)g(Q) \in V(t)$ with $\lambda \in k^*$, then $g(Q) \in V(t)$ and $Q \in E$ would follow. As we have already noted above

$\sigma(\lambda)g(Q) \to P_0 \notin V(t)$ if $\lambda \to 0$, it follows that $g(R) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \sigma(\lambda)g(Q)$, which implies $R = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(\lambda)Q$. □

## A.2. Notations

Let the curve $C \subset X$ be defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. We say that the linear form $\ell \in S_1$ is general for $C$ (or $\mathcal{I}$, or $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$), if the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})(-1) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}/\mathcal{I}' \longrightarrow 0 \tag{A.1}$$

is exact. Here $\mu$ is the multiplication by $\ell$, $S' = S/\ell S(-1)$, $X' = \operatorname{Proj} S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^2_k$, $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} + \ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/\ell\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$ is an ideal on $X'$. An equivalent condition is that $\ell \notin \bigcup \mathcal{P}_i$, where $\mathcal{P}_i$ are the associated prime ideals of $\mathcal{I}$ (i.e. associated prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$), which may have the dimension 0 or 1. It follows that there are Zariski-many linear forms, which are general for $C$. And the same is true, if one simultaneously considers finitely many such curves.

The sequence (A.1) will occur quite often and we denote $\mathcal{I}'$ the restriction ideal with respect to the hyperplane section $V(\ell)$ (or with respect to the canonical restriction morphism $r : S \to S'$ etc.).

## A.3. Varying the plane of projection

If we replace $V(t)$ by $V(t-\alpha\ell)$, where $\ell = ax+by+cz$ and hold the point $P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$ fixed, according to A.1, the projection $\pi_\alpha$ from $P_0$ to $E_\alpha = V(t-\alpha\ell)$ is defined by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation $\tau(\lambda) = u^{-1}\circ\sigma(\lambda)\circ u$, where $u : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto t+\alpha\ell$. If $P = (p_0 : p_1 : p_2 : p_3)$, a simple computation gives $\tau(\lambda)P = (p_0 : p_1 : p_2 : \gamma a p_0 + \gamma b p_1 + \gamma c p_2 + \lambda^{-1}p_3)$, where $\gamma := \alpha(1-\lambda^{-1})$, hence $\pi_\alpha(P) = (p_0 : p_1 : p_2 : \alpha(ap_0+bp_1+cp_2))$. If $ap_0+bp_1+cp_2 \neq 0$, i.e. if $\pi_\alpha(P) \notin V(t) \cap V(t+\alpha\ell)$, then the points $\pi_\alpha(P)$ all lie on the line connecting $(p_0 : p_1 : p_2 : 0)$ and $(0:0:0:1)$.

Lemma A.2. *If $t$ is general for the curve $C$, then $t+\alpha\ell$ is general for $C$ for almost all $\alpha \in k$, and the cylinders over $\pi_\alpha(C)$ perpendicular to $V(t)$ are equal.* □

## A.4. Auxiliary lemmas

Let $I \subset P = k[x,y,z,t]$ be a saturated graded ideal, i.e. $I_n = H^0(X, \tilde{I}(n))$, $X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$. Suppose that $(x,y,z)$ is not associated to $I$. Let be $S = k[x,y,z]$ and $\ell \in S_1$ a non-zero divisor of $P/I$. Suppose that the following condition is fulfilled: $f \in I_d \Rightarrow \ell\partial f/\partial t \in I_d$.

Aux-Lemma A.1. *If $f = f^0 + tf^1 + \cdots + t^d f^d \in I_d$, $f^i \in S_{d-i} \Rightarrow f^0 \in I_d$.*

Proof. Write $f = f^0 + t^m f^m + \cdots + t^d f^d$, where $m \geq 1$. $\Rightarrow \ell\partial f/\partial t = m\ell t^{m-1} f^m + \cdots + d\ell t^{d-1} f^d \in I_d \Rightarrow \partial f/\partial t \in I_{d-1} \Rightarrow g := f - \frac{1}{m}\cdot t\cdot \partial f/\partial t = f^0 + t^{m+1}g^{m+1} + \cdots + t^d g^d \in I_d$, and by an induction argument, $f^0 \in I_d$ follows. □

AUX-LEMMA A.2. *$I_d$ is generated by forms of the shape $f^i t^{d-i}$ with $f^i \in S_i$.*

PROOF. If $f = f^0 + t^m f^m + \cdots + t^d f^d \in I_d$, then by Aux-Lemma A.1, $f^0 = 0$ and $m \geq 1$, without restriction. Then one has

$$g := f - \tfrac{1}{m} \cdot t\partial f/\partial t = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{m+1}^{d} (m-i) t^i f^i \in I_d \,.$$

Now we use an induction argument and may suppose that $t^i f^i \in I_d$, if $i \geq m+1$. But then $t^m f^m \in I_d$, too. □

## A.5. Isotropy groups of linear projections

Let be $P = k[x,y,z,t]$, $S = k[x,y,z]$, $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal such that the ideal $(x,y,z)$ is not associated to the saturated ideal $I = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{I}(n))$. Choose any number $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I})$ and any $\ell \in S_1$ such that $\ell$ is not a zero-divisor of $P/I$. Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ operate by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$. Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ is not $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant. Let $m = \dim I_d$ and $I_d \leftrightarrow \xi \in W := \operatorname{Grass}_m(P_d)$. Let $U \subset U(4;k)$ be the subgroup of linear transformations $u_\alpha : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto t + \alpha\ell$, $\alpha \in k$, ($\ell$ is fixed!).

LEMMA A.3. *For nearly all $\alpha \in k$ the isotropy group of $u_\alpha(\xi)$ in $\mathbb{G}_m$ is trivial, that is $\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\xi) = \sigma(\mu)u_\alpha(\xi) \Rightarrow \lambda = \mu$.*

PROOF. Let $G$ be the isotropy group of $\xi$ in $\mathrm{GL}(4;k)$. If $u = u_\alpha \in U$ let be $T(\alpha) = \{\, \lambda \in k^* \mid \sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\xi) = u_\alpha(\xi) \,\}$. From the proof of [**T2**, Hilfssatz 5, pp. 8] it follows that $T(\alpha) = E_n := \{\, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} \mid \varepsilon^n = 1 \,\}$, where $n \geq 1$ depends on $\alpha$, but $n \leq d$.

**Assumption:** *For infinitely many $\alpha \in k$ the isotropy group $T(\alpha)$ is not trivial.*

Then there are infinitely many $\alpha$ such that $T(\alpha) = E_n =: E$, where now $n > 1$ is independent of these $\alpha$. It follows that $u_\alpha(\xi)$ lies in $W^E$. As this fixed point scheme is closed in $W$, it follows that $u_\alpha(\xi)$ is fixed by $E$ for all $\alpha \in k$. But from $\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\xi) = u_\alpha(\xi)$ it follows that $g(\lambda,\alpha) := u_\alpha^{-1}\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha \in G$ for all $\alpha \in k$, all $\lambda \in E$. Now $g(\mu,\beta) \circ g(\lambda,\alpha)$ leaves $x,y,z$ invariant and maps $t$ to $\lambda\mu t + [\lambda(1-\mu)\beta + (1-\lambda)\alpha]\ell$. If *not* $\lambda = \mu = 1$, it follows that the transformation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda\mu t + \alpha\ell$ is in $G$ for all $\alpha \in k$. If one chooses $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mu = \lambda^{-1}$, it follows that $U < G$. But then $I_d$ is invariant under $f \mapsto \ell \cdot \partial f/\partial t$, $f \in I_d$ [**T2**, proof of Hilfssatz 1, p. 142]. Now $\ell$ is a NNT of $P/I$ by assumption, and the Aux-Lemma A.2 shows that $I_d$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant. As $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I})$, it follows that $\mathcal{I}$ is fixed by $\mathbb{G}_m$, contradiction. Hence the intermediate assumption is not possible, i.e. for nearly all $\alpha \in k$, $T(\alpha) = \{1\}$. □

COROLLARY A.1. *Let $C \subset X$ be a curve not containing the point $P_0 = (0:0:0:1)$, such that $t$ is general for $C$. Let $\pi$ be the projection from $P_0$ onto $E = V(t)$ defined by the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$. Let $C_{\mathrm{red}} = \bigcup_1^r Z_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components, i.e. either $Z_i$ is a reduced and irreducible curve or $Z_i$ is a single point not lying on any other $Z_j$. Let $\ell \in S_1$ be a linear form such that no $Z_i$ is*

*contained in $V(\ell)$, i.e. $\ell \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is the prime ideal such that $Z_i = V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, $1 \le i \le r$. Put $\mathcal{J} := \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i$ and let $u_\alpha$ be the linear transformation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto t + \alpha\ell$. Then for nearly all $\alpha \in k$ one has: $\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\mathcal{J}) = \sigma(\mu)u_\alpha(\mathcal{J}) \Rightarrow \lambda = \mu$.* □

Let $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ correspond to a curve $C \subset X$, which is defined by an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. Suppose that $\xi \in U(t)$ and $P_0 = (0:0:0:1) \notin C$. It follows that $(x, y, z)$ is not among the associated primes of $\mathcal{I}$, which we denote by $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Hence the set $L := \{\, \ell \in S_1 \mid \ell \notin \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i \,\}$ is non-empty and Zariski-open in $\mathbb{A}^3$. Let $\sigma(\lambda)$ be the usual $\mathbb{G}_m$-operation and $u_\alpha$ be the transformation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto t + \alpha\ell$, if $\ell \in L$ is fixed.

Corollary A.2. *For almost all $\alpha \in k$ one has $\sigma(\lambda)h(u_\alpha(\xi)) = h(u_\alpha(\xi)) \Rightarrow \lambda = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i$ be the associated primes of $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\dim V(\mathfrak{p}_i) = 1$, let $\nu_i$ be their multiplicity in $\mathcal{I}$. Then

$$h(\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\xi)) = \sum \nu_i \langle \sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)\rangle = h(u_\alpha(\xi)) = \sum \nu_i \langle u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)\rangle$$

shows that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is a permutation of $\{u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)\}$. If $\mathcal{J} := \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i$, then it follows that $\sigma(\lambda)u_\alpha(\mathcal{J}) = u_\alpha(\mathcal{J})$. Now $\mathcal{J}$ fulfills the assumptions of Corollary A.1, hence for almost all $\alpha \in k$ it follows that $\lambda = 1$. □

APPENDIX B

# A linear algebra lemma

LEMMA B.1. *Let $S = k[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ and $\psi$ be a $k$-linear endomorphism of $S_d$ ($d \geq 1$ is a fixed integer), such that $\psi(\ell \cdot S_{d-1}) \subset \ell S_{d-1}$ for all $\ell \in S_1$. Then there is a fixed element $\alpha \in k$ such that $\psi(f) = \alpha \cdot f$ for all $f \in S_d$. $\psi$ is not the zero-map iff $\alpha \neq 0$.*

PROOF. 1° Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d \in S_1 - (0)$ be relatively prime to each other.
Then

$$\psi(\bigcap_1^d \ell_i S_{d-1}) \subset \bigcap_1^d \psi(\ell_i S_{d-1}) \subset \bigcap_1^d \ell_i S_{d-1} \subset \ell_1 \cdots \ell_d \cdot k\,.$$

We get:

CONCLUSION 1. If $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d \in S_d$ are relatively prime to each other,
then $\psi(\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d) = \alpha \cdot \ell_1 \cdots \ell_d$, where $\alpha \in k$ possibly depends on $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d$.

2° Let $V$ be an $m$-dimensional vector space, let be $\ell_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\ell_i$ and $\ell_j$ are linearly independent for $i \neq j$. Let $h_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ be any vectors. Put $L_i := \ell_i + \lambda_i h_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the set

$$U := \{\, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n \mid L_i \text{ and } L_j \text{ are linearly independent for all } i \neq j \,\}$$

is non-empty and Zariski-open in $k^n$. To prove this, let $e_1, \dots, e_m$ be a basis of $V$ and write $\ell_i = \sum_{\nu=1}^m a_{i\nu} e_\nu$, $h_i = \sum_{\nu=1}^m b_{i\nu} e_\nu$, $a_{i\nu}, b_{i\nu} \in k$. Then $L_i$ and $L_j$ are linearly independent

$$\iff D(i,j,\nu,\mu,\lambda_i,\lambda_j) := \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{i\nu} + \lambda_i b_{i\nu} & a_{i\mu} + \lambda_i b_{i\mu} \\ a_{j\nu} + \lambda_j b_{j\nu} & a_{j\mu} + \lambda_j b_{j\mu} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \nu \text{ and } \mu\,.$$

Put $D(i,j,\nu,\mu) := \{\, \lambda \in k^n \mid D(i,j,\nu,\mu,\lambda_i,\lambda_j) \neq 0 \,\}$, $D(i,j) := \bigcup_{\nu \neq \mu} D(i,j,\nu,\mu)$.
As $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0) \in D(i,j)$, we get:

CONCLUSION 2. $U = \bigcap_{i \neq j} D_{ij} \neq \emptyset$.

3° Now take $\ell_i \in S_1$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, relatively prime to each other and $h_i \in S_1 - (0)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, arbitrary. Then by Conclusion 1 and Conclusion 2 one has $\psi(L_1 \cdots L_d) = \alpha(\lambda) L_1 \cdots L_d$, with $L_i = \ell_i + \lambda_i h_i$, for Zariski-many $\lambda \in k^n$. As $\psi \neq 0$ has only finitely many eigenvalues it follows

$$\psi(L_1 \cdots L_d) = \alpha L_1 \cdots L_d\,,$$

$\alpha \in k$ independent of $\lambda$, if $\lambda$ is in an open subset $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ of $k^n$. It follows that

$$\sum_{(i),(j)} \psi(\ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_r} \cdot h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s}) \cdot \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_s} = \sum_{(i),(j)} \alpha \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_r} \cdot h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s} \cdot \lambda_{j_1} \cdots \lambda_{j_s}\,,$$

where $(i)$ runs over all sequences $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq d$, for all $0 \leq r \leq d$ and $(j)$ runs over all the complementary sequences, $r + s = d$. As this is to hold for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, one deduces $\psi(\ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_r} \cdot h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s}) = \alpha(\ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_r} \cdot h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_s})$. Choosing $r = 0$ one obtains $\psi(h_1 \cdots h_d) = \alpha h_1 \cdots h_d$ for arbitrary $h_i \in S_1$. It follows that $\psi(m) = \alpha m$ for all monomials $m \in S_d$ and the lemma is proved. □

APPENDIX C

# Some special schemes

## C.1. The scheme $\mathcal{H}$

We write $S = k[X_0, \ldots, X_3]$ and fix the Hilbert polynomial $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-d+2}{2}$, $d \geq 3$ an integer and $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}_q(X)$ the Hilbert scheme, which parametrizes the ideal sheaves on $X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$ with Hilbert polynomial $q$.

LEMMA C.1. *If $Y/k$ is a scheme, $\mathcal{H}(Y)$ consists of the ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}$, which are generated by a subbundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset S_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ and and by a subbundle $\overline{\mathcal{F}_d} \subset S_d \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{L}_1 \cdot S_{d-1}$, each of rank 1.*

PROOF. $\mathcal{I} \otimes k(y)$ is $d$-regular, $y \in Y$ [**G1**, Lemma 2.9] and we put $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}/\mathcal{I}$. From standard results on cohomology and flatness [**M2**, Lect. 11, 14] it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{d-1} := \pi_*\mathcal{I}(d-1) \subset S_{d-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a subbundle of rank $q(d-1) = \binom{d-2+3}{3}$ and

$$H^0(X \otimes k(y), \mathcal{I}(d-1) \otimes k(y)) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes k(y)$$

for all $y \in Y$. Now from [**G4**, Prop. 2] it follows that $\mathcal{I}\otimes K = (\ell, f)$, $K := \overline{k(y)}$, $\ell \in S_1 \otimes K$, $f \in S_d \otimes K/\ell S_{d-1} \otimes K$. It follows that $H^0(\mathcal{I}(d-2) \otimes K) = \ell S_{d-3} \otimes K$. As

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}(d-2) \otimes K) - h^1(\mathcal{I}(d-2) \otimes K) = q(d-2) = \binom{d-2-1+3}{3} + \binom{d-2-d+2}{2} = \binom{d-2-1+3}{3},$$

it follows that $h^1(\mathcal{I}(d-2)\otimes k(y)) = 0$ and therefore $h^1(\mathcal{I}(d-2)\otimes k(y)) = (0)$ for all $y \in Y$. Let $\pi : X \times Y \to Y$ be the projection. Then from [**H**, Chap. III, Thm. 12.11] it follows that $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{I}(d-2) \otimes k(y) = (0)$, $y \in Y$, and therefore $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{I}(d-2) = (0)$.

Now $\mathcal{I}\otimes k(y)$ defines a curve in $\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes k(y)$ and the same argumentation as in Chapter 1, proof of Lemma 1.1 shows that $\mathcal{F} \otimes k(y)$ is $(d-1)$-regular and therefore $H^1(\mathcal{F}(d-2) \otimes k(y)) = (0)$. Then from [**M2**, Lecture 7, Corollary 1] it follows that $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(d-2) \otimes k(y) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{F}(d-2) \otimes k(y))$. Then (loc. cit., Corollary 2) gives that $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(d-2)$ is locally free of rank $\binom{d-2+3}{3} - q(d-2)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{I}(d-2) \longrightarrow S_{d-2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{F}(d-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{d-2} := \pi_*\mathcal{I}(d-2)$ is a subbundle of rank $q(d-2) = \binom{d-2-1+3}{3} + \binom{d-2-d+2}{2} = \binom{d-1-2+3}{3}$. From [**G1**, Korollar 3.8] it follows that $\mathcal{L}_{d-2}$ generates an ideal $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}$ with Hilbert polynomial $\binom{n-1+3}{3}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X\times Y}/\mathcal{L}$ is flat over $Y$.

From the 1-regularity of $\mathcal{L}$ it follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by a subbundle $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset S_1\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y$ of rank 1. If $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \subset Y$ is sufficiently small, one can make an $A$-linear transformation such that $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes A = X_0 \cdot A$ and one can write $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes A, \mathcal{I}(d)) = X_0 \cdot S_{d-1} \otimes A \oplus f \cdot A$,

$f \in R_d \otimes A$, $R = k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$. It follows that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3 \otimes A, \mathcal{I}(n)) = X_0 S_{n-1} \otimes A \oplus f \cdot R_{n-d} \otimes A$ is a subbundle of $S_n \otimes A$ of rank $q(n)$. □

## C.2. The scheme $\mathfrak{X}$

We first describe a general situation. Let be $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$, $S_i = k[x_0, \ldots, \hat{x_i}, \ldots, x_r]$, $X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$, $D_i := \{\, \ell = a_0 x_0 + \cdots + a_r x_r \in S_1 \mid a_i \neq 0 \,\}$, $H_i := \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Proj}\, S_i)$, $\mathfrak{X}_i := D_i \times_k H_i$, $\varphi_{ij} : \mathfrak{X}_i \to \mathfrak{X}_j$, $i \neq j$, defined by the automorphism $x_i \mapsto x_j$, $x_j \mapsto x_i$, and $x_k \mapsto x_k$, $k \notin \{i, j\}$. If one puts $U_{ij} := D_i \times H_i \cap D_j \times H_i = D_i \cap D_j \times H_i$, then one sees that the $\mathfrak{X}_i$ glue together to a scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ with the following property:

Let be $U = \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ sufficiently small and $\ell = a_0 x_0 + \cdots + a_r x_r \in \mathbb{P}(S_1 \otimes A)$, $\overline{S \otimes A} := S \otimes A / \ell S(-1) \otimes A$, $\overline{X} = \mathrm{Proj}\, \overline{S \otimes A} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \otimes A$. Then $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ is the set of pairs $(\ell, \mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}$ is an ideal such that $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}/\mathcal{K}$ is flat over $U$ with Hilbert polynomial $c$. If $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ is defined by $(\ell, \mathcal{K}) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$, then the fibers of $\pi$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})$.

Lemma C.2. *If $r = 3$, then $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth over $X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$ with fibers isomorphic to* $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$. □

## C.3. The schemes $\mathcal{G}, X, Y, Z$

**C.3.1.** Let be $S = k[x, y, z, t]$ and $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_q(\mathbb{P}^3)$, $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-d+2}{2}$, $d \geq 3$, as in Section A.1. The projection $\kappa : \mathcal{H} \to X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$, defined by $(\ell, f) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$, makes $\mathcal{H}$ a projective bundle over $X$, hence $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{H} \times_X \mathfrak{X}$ is projective and smooth over $X$.

As usual $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_Q$, $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, $a = d+1$. Let be $c := b - a + 1$. The morphism $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \to \mathbf{H}$ is defined by mapping $[(\ell, f), (\ell, \mathcal{K}))] \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ to $(\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbf{H}(A)$. We show that $\gamma(A)$ is injective: $(\ell_1, f_1 \cdot \mathcal{K}_1) = (\ell_2, f_2 \cdot \mathcal{K}_2) \Rightarrow \ell_1 A = \ell_2 A$ and $f_1 \mathcal{K}_1 = f_2 \mathcal{K}_2$ in $H_p(A)$. Here $H_p \simeq \mathrm{Hilb}_p(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$, $p(n) = \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, if $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is sufficiently small, such that without restriction $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = ax + by + cz + t$, $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathrm{Proj}(R)$, $R = k[x, y, z]$. Now by a result of Fogarty [**F2**, Theorem 1.4, p. 514], $H_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(R_d) \times_k \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$, where $a = d+1$ and $c = b - a + 1$. It follows that $\gamma(A)$ is injective, i.e. $\gamma$ is a monomorphism. Now $\mathcal{F}/X$ is projective, hence $\mathcal{F}$ projective over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, hence $\gamma$ projective. It follows that $\gamma$ is a closed immersion of $\mathcal{F}$ into $\mathbf{H}$ and we identify $\mathcal{F}$ with the corresponding closed subscheme $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbf{H}$. Thus $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is the set of ideals $(\ell, f \cdot \mathcal{K}) \in \mathbf{H}(A)$, where $\ell \cdot A \subset S_1 \otimes A$ respectively $f \cdot A \subset S_d \otimes A / \ell S_{d-1} \otimes A$ are 1-subbundles and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$, $Y := \mathrm{Proj}(S \otimes A / \ell S(-1) \otimes A)$, is an ideal such that $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{K}$ is flat over $A$ with Hilbert polynomial $c$ ($\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ sufficiently small).

As $\mathcal{F}/k$ is smooth, it follows that $\mathcal{G}/k$ also is smooth. As $\dim_k \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2) = 2c$, it follows that

$$\dim_k \mathcal{G} = \binom{d+2}{2} + 2(b - a) + 4\,.$$

**C.3.2.** For a moment we write $R = k[x, y, z]$. The same argumentation as in Section C.1 shows that there is a closed subscheme $F \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathbb{P}^2)$ such that

$$F(A) = \{\, (h, g) \mid h \in R_1 \otimes A \text{ and } g \in R_c \otimes A/h \cdot R_{c-1} \otimes A \text{ generate 1-subbundles} \,\} .$$

It follows that there is a closed subscheme $Z \subset \mathfrak{X}$ such that

$$Z(A) = \left\{ (\ell, h, g) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \ell \in S_1 \otimes A,\ h \in S_1 \otimes A/\ell \cdot A, \\ g \in S_c \otimes A/(\ell, h) \cdot S_{c-1} \otimes A \text{ generate 1-subbundles} \end{array} \right\} .$$

$Y = \mathrm{Flag}(1, 2, S_1)$ is the scheme such that

$$Y(A) = \{\, (\ell, h) \mid \ell \in S_1 \otimes A,\ h \in S_1 \otimes A/\ell \cdot A \text{ generate 1-subbundles} \,\} .$$

$p : Y \to X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$ defined by $(\ell, h) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$ makes $Y$ a projective bundle over $X$. The same holds true for the projection $q : Z \to Y$ defined by $(\ell, h, g) \mapsto (\ell, h)$.

## C.4. The scheme $H_m$

The notations are as before. From C.2 follows that $\mathcal{H} \times_X Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{H} \times_X \mathfrak{X}$. Its image under $\gamma$ is denoted by $H_m$. It follows that $H_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H} \times_X Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{G}$, which is smooth over $k$, such that

$$H_m(A) = \left\{ (\ell,\ f(h, g)) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \ell \cdot A \subset S_1 \otimes A;\ f \cdot A \subset S_d \otimes A/\ell S_{d-1} \otimes A;\ h \cdot A \subset S_1 \otimes A/\ell \cdot A; \\ g \cdot A \subset S_c \otimes A/\langle \ell, h \rangle S_{c-1} \otimes A \text{ are 1-subbundles} \end{array} \right\} .$$

It follows from this description that $\dim_k H_m = \binom{d+2}{2} + (b - a) + 5$.

## C.5. Ideals with maximal regularity

Let be $P = k[x, y, z, t]$, $R = k[y, z, t]$, $X = \mathrm{Proj}(P)$, $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, $a < b$.

Aux-Lemma C.1. *If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ has the Hilbert polynomial $Q(n)$, $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{I}) = b$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is fixed by the Borel group $B = B(4; k)$, then $\mathcal{I}$ is equal to the lexicographic ideal $(x, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1})$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$.*

Proof. Let be $I_n = H^0(X, \mathcal{I}(n))$, $I = \oplus I_n$. Let be $J \subset P$ the ideal generated by $I_{b-1}$, i.e. $J_n = I_n$, if $n < b$, and $J_n = P_1 J_{n-1}$, if $n \geq b$. Put $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2}$. Then $Q(b-1) = q(b-1)$ and $Q(b) = q(b)+1$. Let be $\mathcal{J} = \tilde{J}$. Then $J$ is $d$-regular with $d \leq b-1$. This means, $\mathcal{J}$ is $d$-regular and $J_n = H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$, if $n \geq d$ (see [**Gre**, Prop. 2.6]).

Case 1: $P_1 J_{b-1} = I_b$. Then $\mathrm{reg}(I) < b$, contradiction (see [**Gre**, Thm. 2.27]).

Case 2: $P_1 J_{b-1} \subset I_b$ is a strict inclusion. Then $\dim P_1 J_{b-1} \leq Q(b)-1 = q(b)$ and hence $\dim J_n = q(n)$ for all $n \geq b-1$ (cf. [**G1**, Korollar 3.8]). From the special form of the Hilbert polynomial $q(n)$ one deduces that $\mathcal{J} = (\ell, f)$, $\ell \in P_1 - (0)$ and $f \in P/\ell P(-1)$ of degree

$a$ (for example, see [**G2**, Abschnitt 2.8]). Because of the $B$-invariance of $\mathcal{J}$ it follows that $\mathcal{J} = (x, y^a)$. Hence on can write $I_b = xP_{b-1} \oplus y^a R_{b-a} \oplus f \cdot k$, where $f \in R$ is a monomial of degree $b$. Because of the $B$-invariance of $I_b$ and $J_b$ it follows that $z\partial f/\partial t \in J_{b-1}$, hence $\partial f/\partial t = 0$ (see [**T2**, Hilfssatz 1, p. 142]). Therefore one can write $f = y^i z^j$, where $i \leq a-1$, $i + j = b$. If $i \leq a-2$, then it follows that $y\partial f/\partial z = jy^{i+1}z^{j-1} \in J_b$ (cf. loc. cit.), which is not possible. Hence one has $f = y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}$, i.e. $\mathcal{I}$ is the lexicographical ideal. □

Now let be $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ any ideal with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n)$ and $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}) = b$. Let be $I_n = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ and $I = \bigoplus I_n$. By applying a suitable $g \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(P_1)$, one can achieve that $in(g(I_n))$ is invariant under $B$, hence without restriction one can suppose that $in(I_n)$ is invariant under $B$, for all $n \geq 0$. Let be $M = \bigoplus in(I_n)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \tilde{M}$. Then $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}) = \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{M})$ [**Gre**, Thm. 2.27]. Hence $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{M}) = b$ is maximal and $\mathcal{M}$ is equal to the lexicographical ideal, by the Aux-Lemma C.1. But then $h^0(\mathcal{I}(1)) = 1$, and without restriction $x \in H^0(\mathcal{I}(1))$. Then one can write $\mathcal{I} = x\mathcal{O}_X(-1) \oplus \mathcal{L}$ , $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$, $Y = \operatorname{Proj}(R)$ and the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{L}$ is equal to $\binom{n-a+1}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{L} = f \cdot \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ has the Hilbert polynomial $\binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-c+1}{1}$, $c = b - a + 1$, $f \in R_d$, $d = a - 1$ (cf. [**G2**, Abschnitt 2.8]). Now $h^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = h^0(\mathcal{M}(n))$ (see [**Gre**] or [**G3**, Remark 2, p. 543]). Hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = \binom{n-1+3}{3}$, if $n < a$; $h^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-a+2}{2}$, if $a \leq n \leq b-1$; $h^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = Q(n)$, if $b \leq n$. It follows that $h^0(\mathcal{L}(n)) = 0$, if $n < a$; $h^0(\mathcal{L}(n)) = \binom{n-a+2}{2}$, if $a \leq n \leq b-1$; $h^0(\mathcal{L}(n)) = \binom{n-a+1}{2} + \binom{n-b+1}{1}$, if $b \leq n$. If one puts $c = b - a + 1$, one sees that $h^0(\mathcal{K}(n)) = \binom{n-1+2}{2}$, if $0 \leq n \leq c-1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{K}(n)) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-c+1}{1}$, if $c \leq n$. It follows that $\mathcal{K} = (h, g)$, where $h$ is a linear form in $R$ and $g \in R/hR(-1)$ is a form of degree $c$. We get:

Proposition C.1. *If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is an ideal with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n)$ and $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}) = b$, then $\mathcal{I} = (\ell, f(h, g))$, $\ell \in P$ a linear form, $f \in P/\ell P(-1)$ a form of degree $d = a - 1$, $h \in P/\ell P(-1)$ a linear form and $g \in P/(\ell, h)P(-1)$ a form of degree $b - a + 1$.* □

Corollary C.1. *Let $\mathbf{H}_Q$ be the Hilbert scheme which parametrizes the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n)$ as above. The following statements are equivalent:*

*(i) $\xi \in H_m(k)$;*
*(ii) The ideal $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}_Q(k)$ has maximal regularity $b$.*
*(iii) The ideal $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}_Q(k)$ has maximal Hilbert function.*

□

## C.6. The first Chow group of $\mathcal{G}$

We write $R = k[y, z, t]$, $S = k[x, y, z, t]$ and we let $\mathbb{G}_m$ operate by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto \lambda^{g^3}x$, $y \mapsto \lambda^{g^2}y$, $z \mapsto \lambda^g z$, $t \mapsto t$, where $g$ is a sufficiently great natural number.

Let be $c \geq 3$, $H^c = \operatorname{Hilb}^c(\operatorname{Proj} R)$. According to [**E-S**] one has:

There is exactly one 0-dimensional cell of the B-B-decomposition of $H^c$, which belongs to a monomial ideal $\mathcal{K}_0$. There are exactly two 1-dimensional cells, which we denote $W_1$ and $W_2$.

It is not difficult to see that there are four 1-dimensional cells in the B-B-decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$, namely:

$$Z_1 = \left\{ (x, y^d \cdot \mathcal{K}) \;\middle|\; \mathcal{K} \in W_1 \right\}, \qquad Z_2 = \left\{ (x, y^d \cdot \mathcal{K}) \;\middle|\; \mathcal{K} \in W_2 \right\},$$
$$Z_3 = \left\{ (x, y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z) \cdot \mathcal{K}_0) \right\}^-, \qquad Z_4 = \left\{ (\alpha x + y, x^d \cdot \mathcal{L}_0) \right\}^-,$$

where now $\mathcal{L}_0$ is the monomial ideal, which defines the 0-dimensional cell in $\mathrm{Hilb}^c(\mathrm{Proj}\, k[x,z,t])$.

COROLLARY C.2. *$A_1(\mathcal{G}_1)$ is freely generated (over $\mathbb{Z}$) by $[Z_1], \ldots, [Z_4]$.* □

## C.7. Geometry of $H_m$

We write $S = k[x,y,z,t]$ and we let $\mathbb{G}_m$ operate on $S$ as in Section C.6. Now it is not difficult to see:

PROPOSITION C.2. *The 1-dimensional cells of the B-B-decomposition of $H_m$ are:*

$$Z_0 = \left\{ (x, y^d(\alpha y + z, z^c)) \right\}^-, \qquad Z_1 = \left\{ (x, y^d(y, z^{c-1}(\alpha z + t))) \right\}^-,$$
$$Z_2 = \left\{ (x, y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)(y, z^c)) \right\}^-, \qquad Z_3 = \left\{ (\alpha x + y, x^d(x, z^c)) \right\}^-.$$

□

REMARK. $Z_i$ is equal to the tautological cycle $C_i$, $1 \le i \le 3$. Besides $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot Z_0) = \rho$ (cf. equations (C.4), (C.5)) below). Finally one has $[C_3] = \beta[C_1] + \gamma[C_0]$ in $A_1(\mathbf{H})$, where $\beta = \binom{a-1}{2}$ and $\gamma = (b-a)\binom{a}{2} + \binom{a+1}{3}$ (see [**T3**, Hilfssatz 1, p. 50]).

The projection $p : H_m \to X = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$ is defined by $(\ell, f(g,h)) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$. Let be $\mathcal{L}_3 := p^*(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$. As $p(Z_i)$ is one single point, $0 \le i \le 2$, one has $(\mathcal{L}_3 \cdot Z_i) = 0$, $0 \le i \le 2$. As $p|Z_3$ is injective and $p(Z_3) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X$, one has $(\mathcal{L}_3 \cdot Z_3) = 1$ and one obtains:

LEMMA C.3. *If one puts $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{-\gamma}$, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{-\beta}$, $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3$, where $\mathcal{L}_0, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ are the line bundles as in Section 1.3.3, then one gets the following intersection numbers:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ \mathcal{F}_0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1 \\ \mathcal{F}_2 \\ \mathcal{F}_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} Z_0 & Z_1 & Z_2 & Z_3 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

□

PROPOSITION C.3. *Numerical equivalence = rational equivalence on $H_m$.*

PROOF. This follows from Proposition C.2 and Lemma C.3. □

LEMMA C.4. *Suppose that $d \geq 3$ and $g \leq g(d)$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H})/\mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{H})$ is generated by $\mathcal{M}_n$, $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$, $\mathcal{M}_{n+2}$, if $n \geq b$ is any natural number.*

PROOF. Let be $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L} \otimes M_n^u \otimes M_{n+1}^v \otimes M_{n+2}^w$. One has to solve the equations $(\mathcal{N} \cdot C_i) = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq 2$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} u + v + w &= -(\mathcal{L} \cdot C_0) \\ u(n-b+1) + v(n+1-b+1) + w(n+2-b+1) &= -(\mathcal{L} \cdot C_1) \\ u\left[\tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\right] + v\left[\tbinom{n+1-a+2}{2} + (n+1-b+1)\right] & \\ + w\left[\tbinom{n+2-a+2}{2} + (n+2-b+1)\right] &= -(\mathcal{L} \cdot C_2)\,. \end{aligned}$$

As the determinant of the matrix formed by the coefficients is equal to 1, there is a solution with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now from $(\mathcal{N} \cdot C_i) = 0$ and Theorem 1.2 it follows that $(\mathcal{N} \cdot C) = 0$ for all curves $C \subset \mathbf{H}$, hence $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{H})$. □

COROLLARY C.3. *Let be $Z \in A_1(H_m)$. If $(\mathcal{L}_3 \cdot Z) = 0$ and $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot Z) = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$, then $Z = 0$.*

PROOF. Write $\mathcal{L}_i = M_n^u \otimes M_{n+1}^v \otimes M_{n+2}^w \otimes \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}^\tau(\mathbf{H})$. Then $(\mathcal{L}_i \cdot Z) = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq 2$, and $(\mathcal{L}_3 \cdot Z) = 0$ by assumption. It follows that $(\mathcal{F}_i \cdot Z) = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Writing $[Z] = \sum q_i [Z_i]$ (cf. Proposition C.2), then from Lemma C.3 it follows that $q_i = 0$. □

**Computation of $A_1^+(H_m)$.** It is easy to see that $H_m$ has only one fixed point under $U(4;k)$, namely the lexicographic point. It follows that $A_1^+(H_m)$ is generated by combinatorial cycles of type $i$, i.e. by cycles of the form $\overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi_i}$, where $\xi_i \in H_m(k)$ is invariant under $T(4;k)$ and the subgroup $G_i \subset U(4;k)$ (see Appendix H). If $\xi \leftrightarrow (\ell, f(h,g)) \in H_m(k)$ is fixed by $T(4;k)$, then all forms are monomials. Moreover, if $\xi$ is fixed by $G_i$, then $\ell = x$, if $i = 1, 2$ and $\ell = x$ or $\ell = y$, if $i = 3$.

$\boxed{i=1}$ $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = (x, f(h,g)$ monomial and invariant under $G_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \Rightarrow f \in k[y,z,t]_d$ $G_1$-invariant modulo $x \Rightarrow f = y^d$. $h$ monomial and $G_1$-invariant modulo $x \Rightarrow h = y \Rightarrow g \in k[z,t]_c$ monomial and $G_1$-invariant modulo $(x,y) \Rightarrow g = z^\nu t^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = c$.

If $i = 1$, $\mathbb{G}_a$ operates by $\psi_\alpha^1 : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \alpha z + t$.

Let be $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^1(\mathcal{I})$. Then $H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = xS_{n-1} \oplus y^a k[y,z,t]_{n-a} \oplus y^{a-1} z^\nu (\alpha z + t)^\mu k[z,t]_{n-b}$. $\Rightarrow \alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = \mu(n-b+1) \Rightarrow$

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \mu(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_1)\,. \tag{C.1}$$

$\boxed{i=3}$

SUBCASE 1: $\ell = x$. Then $f$ is a monomial which is invariant under $G_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ modulo $x \Rightarrow f = y^d$. $h$ is a monomial and $G_3$-invariant modulo $x \Rightarrow h = y$ and $g = z^\nu t^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = c$, $G_3$-invariant modulo $(x,y) \Rightarrow g = z^c \Rightarrow \xi$ is the lexicographical point and does not generate a cycle, at all.

SUBCASE 2: $\ell = y$. Then $f \in k[x,z,t]_d$ is a monomial and $G_3$-invariant modulo $y \Rightarrow f = x^d$. $h$ is a monomial in $k[x,z,t]_1$ and $G_3$-invariant modulo $y \Rightarrow h = x$ and $g = z^\nu t^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = c$, $G_3$-invariant modulo $(x,y) \Rightarrow g = z^c \Rightarrow \xi \leftrightarrow (y, x^d(x,z^c)) \Rightarrow C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi} = \left\{ (\alpha x + y, x^d(x,z^c)) \right\}^- = C_3$.

$\boxed{i=2}$ $\xi \leftrightarrow (x, f(h,g))$ monomial and invariant under $G_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \Rightarrow f = y^\nu z^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = d$. $h$ monomial and $G_2$-invariant modulo $x$. There are two possibilities:

SUBCASE 1: $h = y$. Then $g = z^\nu t^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = c$, $g$ $G_2$-invariant modulo $(x,y) \Rightarrow g = z^c \Rightarrow \xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = (x, y^\nu z^\mu (y, z^c))$.

SUBCASE 2: $h = z$. Then $g = y^\nu t^\mu$, $\nu + \mu = c$, $g$ invariant under $G_2$ modulo $(x,z) \Rightarrow g = y^c$ and $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = (x, y^\nu z^\mu (z, y^c))$.

If $i = 2$, then $\mathbb{G}_a$ operates by $\psi_\alpha^2 : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \alpha y + z$, $t \mapsto t$.

Let be $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^2(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\alpha$ the restriction with respect to $t$.

SUBCASE 1: $H^0(\mathcal{I}'_\alpha(n)) = xk[x,y,z]_{n-1} \oplus y^\nu(\alpha y + z)^\mu (y,z^c)_{n-d} = xk[x,y,z]_{n-1} \oplus y^\nu(\alpha y + z)^\mu k[y,z]_{n-d}$, if $n \geq b$, because $(y,z^c)_{n-d} = k[y,z]_{n-d}$, if $n - d \geq c$
$\Rightarrow \alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}'_\alpha(n)) = \mu(n-d+1)$ if $n \geq b$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n-1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}'_\alpha(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{C.2}$$

is exact, if $n \geq b$, hence

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(b-1)) + \sum_{i=b}^{n} \mu(i-d+1)\,.$$

Now $H^0(\mathcal{I}(b-1)) = xS_{b-2} \oplus y^{\nu+1} z^\mu k[y,z,t]_{b-a-1}$, hence $\alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(b-1)) = \mu \cdot \rho$. Now $\sum_{i=b}^{n}(i-d+1) = \binom{n-a+3}{2} - \binom{b-a+2}{2}$ and one checks that

$$\tbinom{n-a+3}{2} - \tbinom{b-a+2}{2} + \tbinom{b-a+1}{2} = \tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\,.$$

It follows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \mu(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_2)\,. \tag{C.3}$$

SUBCASE 2: $H^0(\mathcal{I}'_\alpha(n)) = xk[x,y,z]_n \oplus y^\nu z^\mu k[y,z]_{n-d}$, if $n \geq b$. From the sequence (C.2) it follows that

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(b-1)) + \sum_{i=b}^{n} \mu(i-d+1)\,.$$

Now $H^0(\mathcal{I}(b-1)) = xS_{b-2} \oplus y^\nu(\alpha y + z)^{\mu+1} k[y,z,t]_{b-a-1}$, hence $\alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(b-1)) = (\mu+1) \cdot \rho$ and

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \mu(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C_2) + \rho\,. \tag{C.4}$$

If $\mu = 0$ one gets $C = \left\{ (x, y^d(\alpha y + z, y^c)) \right\}^- = \left\{ (x, y^d(\alpha y + z, z^c)) \right\}^-$, i.e.

$$C = Z_0\,. \tag{C.5}$$

If $i = 1$ or $i = 2$, then $p(C) = 1$ point, hence $(\mathcal{L}_3 \cdot C) = 0$ in these cases. From Corollary C.3 and the equations (C.1), (C.3) and (C.4) it follows that that $[C] = \mu[C_1]$, if $i = 1$, and $[C] = \mu[C_2]$ or $[C] = \mu[C_2] + [Z_0]$, if $i = 2$. We have proved

PROPOSITION C.4. *$A_1^+(H_m)$ is freely generated by the cycle classes of $Z_0 = j\left\{ (x, y^d(\alpha y + z, y^c) \right\}^-$ and $C_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.* □

APPENDIX D

# The Hilbert scheme of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$

## D.1. Tautological line bundles

The ground field is $k = \mathbb{C}$. The group $T = T(3;k)$ of diagonal matrices, the upper unitriangular group $U = U(3;k)$ and the Borel group $B = T \cdot U$ all act on $S = k[x,y,z]$ and therefore on the Hilbert scheme $H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$, which parametrizes subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of length $d$. (We always assume $d \geq 3$.)

If $A$ is a $k$-algebra, an element of $H^d(A)$ is a closed subscheme $Z$ of $\mathbb{P}^2 \otimes A$, flat over $A$, such that $Z \otimes k(p)$ has the Hilbert polynomial $P(n) = d$, for all points $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. If $Z = V(\mathcal{I})$, i.e. if $Z$ is defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2 \otimes A}$, then $\mathcal{I} \otimes k(p)$ has the Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-d+1}{1}$, and therefore $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I} \otimes k(p)) \leq d$ [**G1**, p. 65]. From standard results on the cohomology of coherent sheaves [**M2**, lecture 7] it follows that that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2 \otimes A, \mathcal{I}(n)) \subset S_n \otimes A$ is a subbundle of rank $Q(n)$, and the formation of $H^0(-)$ commutes with base extensions $A \to A'$, if $n \geq d-1$. Thus $\mathcal{I} \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ defines a morphism $H^d \to \mathrm{Grass}_{Q(n)}(S_n)$, if $n \geq d-1$. From $d$-regularity it follows that $S_{n-d}H^0(\mathcal{I}(d)) = H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, $n \geq d$, which implies that this "Hilbert-Grassmann" morphism is a closed immersion, if $n \geq d$ [**M2**, Lectures 7 and 14].

In the following diagram, $\mathcal{Z}$ is the universal subscheme of length $d$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \hookrightarrow & H^d \times_k \mathbb{P}^2_k \\ & \overset{\pi}{\swarrow} & \overset{\kappa}{\searrow} \\ H^d & & \mathbb{P}^2_k \end{array}$$

Set $\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \kappa^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(n)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_n := \pi_* \mathcal{F}(n)$ is locally free of rank $d$, for all $n$, and $\mathcal{F}_n$ is globally generated for $n \geq d-1$. Therefore the tautological line bundles $\mathcal{M}_n := \bigwedge^d \mathcal{F}_n$ are globally generated for $n \geq d-1$. For $n \geq d$, the line bundle $\mathcal{M}_n$ is very ample, because it defines the "Hilbert–Plücker" embedding $H^d \to \mathbb{P}^N$, the composition of the Hilbert–Grassmann embedding with the "Grassmann–Plücker" embedding $\mathrm{Grass}_{Q(n)}(S_n) \to \mathbb{P}^N$.

## D.2. Combinatorial and algebraic cycles on $H^d$

A weak version of a theorem of Hirschowitz gives:
The cone of effective 1-cycles

$$A_1^+(H^d) = \left\{ \sum q_i [C_i] \;\middle|\; q_i \in \mathbb{Q}, q_i \geq 0, C_i \subset H^d \text{ 1-prime cycle} \right\}$$

is generated by $B$-invariant 1-prime cycles (= closed, reduced, irreducible curves in $H^d$).

This is true for $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients, too, but in the following we will always take $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.

Now, if $C$ is such a $B$-invariant 1-prime cycle, the following cases can occur:

**Either:** $C$ is a so called combinatorial cycle, i.e. $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi}$, $\xi \in H^d(k)$ is fixed by $T$ and by $G_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ or $G_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$; $\mathbb{G}_a$ operates on $S$ via the automorphisms $\psi^1_\alpha : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \alpha y + z$ and $\psi^2_\alpha : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto \alpha x + y$, $z \mapsto z$ and respectively.
**Or:** $C$ is an "algebraic cycle", i.e. $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_m \cdot \xi}$ , $\xi \in H^d(k)$ is fixed by $U$, and $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates on $S$ via the automorphism $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$.

Remark D.1. A somewhat more detailed description of $B$-invariant 1-prime cycles in the case of $n \geq 3$ variables is given in [**T1**].

## D.3. Intersection numbers and basis cycles

Let $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi}$, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$, be a combinatorial cycle. As $\psi : \mathbb{A}^1 \to H^d$, defined by $\alpha \mapsto \psi_\alpha(\xi)$, is injective, the intersection number can be computed by the following formula (cf. [**T1**, 1.3]; [**T2**, 4.1]; [**T3**, Anhang 2]):

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \alpha\text{-}\deg \bigwedge^{Q(n)} \psi_\alpha(H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))), \quad n \geq d\,.$$

Here $\alpha$-$\deg(-)$ denotes the highest power with which the parameter $\alpha$ appears in the bracket. (Take a monomial basis $m_1, \ldots, m_q$ of $H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, replace $z$ by $\alpha y + z$, and express $\psi_\alpha(m_1), \ldots, \psi_\alpha(m_q)$ as a linear combination in a monomial basis of $S_n$. The coefficients are polynomials in $k[\alpha]$.)

In the case of an algebraic cycle, as $\sigma : \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\} \to H^d$ defined by $\xi \mapsto \sigma(\lambda)\xi$ need not to be injective, in order to compute $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$, one has to take a "reduced-$\lambda$-degree" as defined in [**T2**, equation (2) on p. 9].

We start the computation with the cycle $E = \left\{ (x^2, xy, y^{d-1} + \alpha x z^{d-2}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$. If $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = (x^2, xy, y^{d-1} + xz^{d-2})$, one sees that

$$H^0(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{I}(n)) = x^2 S_{n-2} \oplus xyk[y,z]_{n-2} \oplus y^d k[y,z]_{n-d} \oplus \langle (y^{d-1} + \lambda^{d-2} x z^{d-2}) z^{n-d+1} \rangle.$$

Therefore, the reduced-$\lambda$-degree of $\bigwedge^{Q(n)} H^0(\sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{I}(n))$ is equal to 1 .

Now we consider $F = \left\{ (x, y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-$. If $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = (x, y^{d-1}z)$, then

$$H^0(\psi^1_\alpha \mathcal{I}(n)) = xS_{n-1} \oplus y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)k[y,z]_{n-d}\,,$$

and therefore

$$\bigwedge^{Q(n)} H^0(\psi^1_\alpha \mathcal{I}(n)) = \bigwedge^p xS_{n-1} \otimes \bigwedge^q y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)k[y,z]_{n-d}\,,$$

where $p := \binom{n-1+2}{2}$ and $q := n - d + 1$. We get:

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot E) = 1, \quad (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot F) = n - d + 1, \quad n \geq d - 1\,. \tag{D.1}$$

## D.4. Intersection numbers of combinatorial cycles

Let $C$ be a combinatorial cycle of type 1, i.e. $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi}$, and $\xi \in H^d(k)$ invariant under $T$ and $G_1$. Writing $S = k[y, z, t]$, an analogous argumentation as in the proof of Conclusion 1.1 in Chapter 1 (see Fig. 1.1) gives:

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = a(n - d + 1) + b\,, \tag{D.2}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ are independent of $n \geq d$.

In the case of a combinatorial cycle of type 2, i.e. $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a \cdot \xi}$, and $\xi \in H^d(k)$ invariant under $T$ and $G_2$, one can argue as in the proof of Conclusion 1.3 in Chapter 1 (see Fig. 1.6) and one obtains the formula

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = c\,, \tag{D.3}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{N}$ is independent of $n \geq d$.

## D.5. Intersection numbers of algebraic cycles

We start with a general lemma which is interesting for itself, possibly.

Set $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, t]$, $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$. $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates on $S$ via $\sigma(\lambda) : x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $t \mapsto \lambda t$, $\lambda \in k^*$. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the Hilbert scheme of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$, i.e. $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^r)$, $P(n) = \binom{n+r}{r} - Q(n)$ the complementary Hilbert polynomial of the subscheme $V(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. We suppose $\mathbf{H} \neq \emptyset$. Then the ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$, such that $t$ is a non-zero divisor of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}/\mathcal{I}$, form an open non-empty subset $U(t)$ of $\mathbf{H}$.

If $K/k$ is a field extension and $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbf{H}(K)$, then the limit ideals $\mathcal{I}_{0/\infty} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0/\infty} \sigma(\lambda)\mathcal{I}$ are in $\mathbf{H}(K)$, and if $\mathcal{I} \in U(t)$, then $\mathcal{I}_0$ is in $U(t)$ again [**G3**, Lemma 4]. If $Q'(n) := Q(n) - Q(n-1)$ and $\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{I} + t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(-1)$, then $\mathcal{I}'$ can be considered as a sheaf of ideals on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, whose Hilbert polynomial is equal to $Q'$.

LEMMA D.1. *Let $\mathcal{I} \in U(t)$ and suppose that $\mathcal{I}_\infty$ is in $U(t)$, too (this condition is fulfilled, e.g., if $\mathcal{I}$ is invariant under $U(r+1, k)$). Then for all integers $d \geq \max(\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{I}_0), \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{I}_\infty))$, one has* $\dim H^0(\mathcal{I}(d)) \cap R_d = Q'(d)$.

PROOF. There is a basis of $M := H^0(\mathcal{I}(d))$ of the form $g_i = t^{e_i} g_i^0 + t^{e_i - 1} g_i^1 + \cdots$ with $0 \leq e_1 \leq \cdots \leq e_m$, $m := Q(d)$, $g_i^j \in R$, and $g_i^0 \in R_{d-e_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, linear independent. Then $M_\infty := \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sigma(\lambda) M = \langle \{\, t^{e_i} g_i^0 \mid 1 \leq i \leq m \,\} \rangle$ (limit in $\mathrm{Grass}_M(S_d)$) has dimension $m$. As, by assumption, $d \geq \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{I}_\infty)$, one has $Q(d) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(d))$, and therefore $M_\infty = H^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(d))$. As $t$ is a non-zero divisor of $S/\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ by assumption, this implies

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(n)) = \langle \{\, t^{e_i - (d-n)} g_i^0 \;\big|\; e_i \geq d - n \,\} \rangle\,.$$

Especially, for $n = d - 1$, one obtains

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(d-1)) = \langle \{\, t^{e_i - 1} g_i^0 \;\big|\; e_i \geq 1 \,\} \rangle\,,$$

therefore $Q(d-1) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_\infty(d-1)) = \#\{\, i \mid e_i \geq 1 \,\}$. It follows that $Q'(d) = Q(d) - Q(d-1) = \#\{\, i \mid e_i = 0 \,\}$. Thus $M \cap R_d \supset \langle\{\, g_i^0 \mid e_i = 0 \,\}\rangle$ has a dimension $\geq Q'(d)$. It cannot be greater than $Q'(d)$, as the canonical restriction mapping "reduction modulo $t$" $M = H^0(\mathcal{I}(d)) \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{I}'(d))$ is injective on $M \cap R_d$ and, because of $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}') \leq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I})$, one has $h^0(\mathcal{I}'(d)) = Q'(d)$. □

Remark D.2. There is a partial inverse of the lemma. Suppose $\mathcal{I} \in U(t)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\infty \in U(t)$, and let $d \geq \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}')$ be any integer. If $\dim(H^0(\mathcal{I}(d)) \cap R_d) \geq Q'(d)$, then $d \geq \max(\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}_0), \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}_\infty))$.

Now, let $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_m \cdot \xi}$ be an algebraic cycle, $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ $U(3;k)$-invariant, $\sigma : \mathbb{G}_m \to \operatorname{Aut}(S)$ defined by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto \lambda z$. As $\mathcal{I} \in U(z)$ and $U(3;k)$ is normalized by $\mathbb{G}_m$, $\mathcal{I}_\infty$ is fixed by $U(3;k)$, thus $\mathcal{I}_\infty \in U(z)$. Obviously, for $n \geq d$, there is an inclusion

$$z^{n-d} H^0(\mathcal{I}(d)) \bigoplus_{\nu=d+1}^{n} [H^0(\mathcal{I}(\nu)) \cap R_\nu] \cdot z^{n-\nu} \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))\,.$$

As $\operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{I}) \leq d$ for all $\mathcal{I} \in H^d$, the lemma gives equality, at once. As $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates trivially on $H^0(\mathcal{I}(\nu)) \cap R_\nu$, all polynomials which appear in $\bigwedge^{Q(n)} \sigma(\lambda) H^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$ have a constant $\lambda$-degree $\leq Q(d) \cdot d$, essentially:

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = c \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{independent of } n \geq d\,. \tag{D.4}$$

## D.6. The cone of effective 1-cycles of $H^d$

We need the following results:

- $H^d$ is smooth of dimension $2d$, and $\operatorname{Pic}(H^d) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ (Fogarty).
- $A_n(H^d) \simeq H^{\mathrm{BM}}_{2n}(H^d)$ (Ellingsrud–Strømme).

Using Poincaré duality, one gets $A_1(H^d) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^2$.

Now $[E]$ and $[F]$ are linear independent: If $q_1[E] + q_2[F] = 0$ in $A_1(H^d)$, formula (D.1) gives $q_1 + q_2(n-d+1) = 0$, $n \geq d$, thus $q_1 = q_2 = 0$. It follows that $[E]$ and $[F]$ generate $A_1(H^d)$, and the same argumentation shows $A_1^\tau(H^d) = (0)$.

Lemma D.2. *(1) The cycles $[E]$ and $[F]$ form a basis of $A_1(H^d)$.*
*(2) Numerical and rational equivalence coincide on $H^d$.*

Using this lemma and the formulae (D.1)–(D.4), one immediately obtains:

Proposition D.1. *The cone of effective 1-cycles $A_1^+(H^d)$ is spanned by $[E]$ and $[F]$.* □

## D.7. The ample cone of $H$

If $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(H^d)$ and $(\mathcal{L} \cdot E) = \nu$, $(\mathcal{L} \cdot F) = \mu$, we set $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{\nu-\mu} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d^{\mu}$. Then $(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} \cdot E) = (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} \cdot F) = 0$, thus $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\tau}(H^d)$. But $\mathrm{Pic}(H^d) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, therefore $\mathrm{Pic}^{\tau}(H^d) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(H^d) = (0)$, and we have:

LEMMA D.3. $\mathrm{Pic}(H^d)$ *is generated by* $\mathcal{M}_{d-1}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_d$. □

We first determine the pseudoample cone of $H^d$. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(H^d)$ be such that $(\mathcal{L} \cdot z) \geq 0$, for all $z \in A_1^+(H^d)$. Writing $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d^{\nu_2}$ and using Proposition D.1, we see that this is equivalent to $\nu_1 + \nu_2 \geq 0$ and $\nu_2 \geq 0$. By Kleiman's theorem, the ample cone is the interior of the pseudoample cone, hence we get:

THEOREM D.1. *The ample cone of* $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ *is generated by* $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$. □

## D.8. Globally generated line bundles on $H^d$

We have already noted that $\mathcal{M}_{d-1}$ is globally generated and the same is true for $\mathcal{M}_{d-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_d$ (see Section 1.5.2, Lemma 1.2).

PROPOSITION D.2. $\mathcal{L}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{L}_2$ *are globally generated.*

REMARK D.3. If $\mathcal{L}$ is any line bundle on $H^d$, we can write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1^{\nu_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\nu_2}$. Now, if $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated, then $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

As the vertex of the ample cone $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{M}_d$ is very ample, by Proposition D.2 this implies:

PROPOSITION D.3. *Every ample line bundle on* $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ *is very ample.*

REMARK D.4. If one computes the $\alpha$-degree of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ corresponding to a "general" point of the cycle $\beta_\ell$ (resp. $\beta_d$) defined in [**LQZ**, (1.1) and (1.2)], then one obtains $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \beta_\ell) = n$, $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \beta_d) = 1$, therefore $[\beta_d] = [E]$, $[\beta_\ell - (d-1)\beta_d] = [F]$.

REMARK D.5. The basic result $A_1(H^d) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^2$ was deduced from Fogarty's result $\mathrm{Pic}(H^d) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$. Of course, one could have used the method of [**E-S**] by counting the number of 1-dimensional cells in a Bialynicki–Birula decomposition of $H^d$. Finally, one could have used Iarrobino's result $\mathrm{Pic}(H^d) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^2$, too, which is earlier than Fogarty's result (cf. [**I**, p. 821] and [**F3**, p. 660]).

## D.9. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$ on $A_1(H^d)$

**D.9.1.** Let $\mathcal{H} \subset H^d$ be the closed subscheme parametrizing the ideals with maximal regularity, Then $\mathcal{H}(k) = \{\, (\ell, f) \mid \ell \in S_1 - (0),\ f \in [S/\ell S(-1)]_d - (0) \,\}$, and we show that $\mathcal{H}$ has a natural scheme structure: Let be $A$ a $k$-algebra and $\ell \in S_1 \otimes A$ a form, which

generates a 1-subbundle, and $f \in [S \otimes A/\ell S(-1) \otimes A]_d$ a form, which generates a 1-subbundle. Let Spec $A$ be so small that, without restriction, $\ell = ax + by + z$, $a, b \in A$. Then $S \otimes A/\ell S(-1) \otimes A \simeq R := A[x, y]$.

Let be $I = (\ell, f) \subset S \otimes A$ the ideal which is generated by $\ell$ and $f$. We show that $I_n = \ell S_{n-1} \otimes A \oplus f \cdot R_{n-d}$ is a subbundle of $S_n \otimes A$ of rank $Q(n) = \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-d+1}{1}$. As one can suppose $f \in R_d$, it suffices to show that $fR_{n-d} \subset R_n$ is a subbundle of rank $n-d+1$. If $y \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, one has to show that the canonical homomorphism $f \cdot R_{n-d} \otimes k(y) \to R_n \otimes k(y)$ is injective. Let be $g \in R_{n-d}$ such that $\bar{f} \cdot \bar{g} = 0$ in $R \otimes k(y)$. But as $f \cdot A \subset R_d$ is a 1-subbundle, $\bar{f} \neq 0$ and hence $\bar{g} = 0$. It follows that $(\ell, f) \mapsto \langle \ell \rangle$ makes $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ a projective bundle.

**D.9.2.** Let $f : H^d \to \mathbb{P}$ be the morphism, which is defined by the globally generated line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{d-1}$. Then $(\mathcal{M}_{d-1} \cdot F) = 0$. Let $C \subset H^d$ be a curve such that $C \sim \nu F$. Then $0 = (\mathcal{M}_{d-1} \cdot C) = \deg(f|C) \cdot \big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \cdot f(C)\big)$, hence $f(C)$ is a point. As the restriction of $f$ to $H^d - \mathcal{H}$ is an isomorphism, one obtains:

COROLLARY D.1. *Let $C \subset H^d$ be a curve such that $[C] = \nu[F]$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. Then $C \subset \mathcal{H}$.* □

**D.9.3.**

From Proposition D.1 it follows that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(H^d)$ permutes the cycles classes $[E]$ and $[F]$. We show that $\varphi$ leaves invariant these classes, and we suppose that $[\varphi(E)] = [F]$.

Let $M$ be the set of subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of the shape $\{ P_0, \ldots, P_{d-2} \}$, where $P_0$ is a point of multiplicity 2, and the points $P_1, \ldots, P_{d-2}$ are different points of multiplicity 1. If one fixes $P_1, \ldots, P_{d-2}$, then $M$ contains the set $D := \operatorname{Quot}^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}/P_0^2)$, where $P_0 = (x, y)$, without restriction. If one puts $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := (\alpha x + y, x^2)$ and $\mathcal{I}_\alpha := P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_{d-2} \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then $D \simeq \{ \mathcal{I}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in k \}^-$ and one has (see [**T3**, p. 49]):

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = \alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{L}_\alpha(n)) = 1\,,$$

for all $n \geq d$, hence $[D] = [E]$ by Lemma D.2. It follows that $[\varphi(D)] = [\varphi(E)] = [F]$, and by Corollary D.1 one obtains $\varphi(D) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Now $\dim M = 2 \cdot (d-2) + 1$ and $\dim \mathcal{H} = d + 2$. Thus from $\varphi(M) \subset \mathcal{H}$ it follows that $d \leq 5$ and hence we get:

PROPOSITION D.4. *If $d \geq 6$, then $\operatorname{Aut}(H^d)$ trivially acts on $A_1(H^d)$.* □

COROLLARY D.2. *Each $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(H^d)$ leaves $\mathcal{H}$ invariant.*

PROOF. Let be $(\ell, f) \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{H}(k)$. If $g \in S_d/\ell S_{d-1}$ is linearly independent of $f$, then $C := \{ (\ell, \alpha f + \beta g) \}^- \subset \mathcal{H}$ and a similar argumentation as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 in Chapter 2 shows that $[C] = [F]$. It follows that $[\varphi(C)] = [C] = [F]$, hence $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ by Corollary D.1. □

**D.9.4.**

If $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto \lambda^{g^2}x$, $y \mapsto \lambda^g y$, $z \mapsto z$, then $F = \left\{ (x, y^{d-1}(\alpha y + z)) \right\}^-$ and $G := \left\{ (\alpha x + y, x^d) \right\}^-$ are the 1-dimensional cells of the B-B-decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$. There is only 1 fixed point under the action of $U(3,k)$, namely the point corresponding to $(x, y^d)$. Hence there are no algebraic cycles. The same argumentation as in Section C.7 shows that $A_1^+(\mathcal{H})$ is freely generated by $[F]$ and $[G]$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$, then by Corollary D.1 $\varphi_*$ permutes these cycle classes. If $[\varphi(F)] = [G]$ in $A_1(\mathcal{H})$ then from Proposition D.4 it follows that $[F] = [G]$ in $A_1(H^d)$. In order to show that this is not possible, we compute the intersection numbers with $\mathcal{M}_n$:

Let be $\mathcal{I}_\alpha = (\alpha x + y, x^d)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\alpha(n-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot z} \mathcal{I}_\alpha(n-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}'_\alpha(n-1) \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

it follows that

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n-1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) \longrightarrow k[x,y]_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact for all $n \geq d$. It follows that

$$\alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} H^0(\mathcal{I}_\alpha(n)) = \alpha\text{-}\deg \dot{\bigwedge} (\alpha x + y) S_{d-2} = \tbinom{d}{2}\,,$$

hence $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C) = \binom{d}{2}$. Comparing with (D.1) shows that $[F] = [G]$ is not possible.

Corollary D.3. *Each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$ operates as the identity on $A_1(\mathcal{H})$.* □

As in the general situation of Section 5.1 and Section 5.2, one deduces:

Corollary D.4. *If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$, then $\varphi|\mathcal{H}$ is induced by a linear transformation $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathrm{PGL}(2;k)$.* □

Now as in Section 5.4, if one replaces $\varphi$ by $\gamma^{-1} \circ \varphi$, one obtains a *normed* automorphism of $H^d$, i.e. an automorphism, whose restriction to $\mathcal{H}$ is the identity.

## D.10. Computation of $\mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$

**D.10.1. Preliminary remarks.** Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset H^d \times \mathbb{P}^2$ be the universal subscheme and $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to H^d$ the projection. If $\xi \in H^d(k)$, then the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\xi) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is denoted by $Z_\xi$. $H^{(d)}$ is the open subscheme of $H^d$ such that $H^{(d)} = \left\{ \xi \in H^d(k) \;\middle|\; \#Z_\xi = d \right\}$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H^d)$, then one has a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^d \times \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & H^d \times \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ H^d & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H^d \end{array}$$

and $\varphi'$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Z}$, which we denote by $\psi$.

If $\xi \in H^{(d)}(k)$, then $Z_\xi$ consists of $d$ different closed points $P_1, \dots, P_d \in \mathbb{P}^2$. Conversely, $d$ different, closed points of $\mathbb{P}^2$ define a point $\xi \in H^{(d)}(k)$ and we express this connection by writing $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\xi = P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_d$.

If $\xi \in H^{(d)}(k)$ and $\zeta = \varphi(\xi)$, then $\psi$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_\xi \to \mathcal{Z}_\zeta$, which we denote by

$$P \mapsto \varphi_\xi(P) \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_d) = \varphi_\xi(P_1) \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup \varphi_\xi(P_d)\,.$$

If $\xi \in H^{(d)}(k)$ and $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$, then $\psi$ induces a permutation of the points $P_1, \dots, P_d$.

**D.10.2.** In the following $\varphi \in Aut(H^d)$ is normed, i.e. the restriction $\varphi|\mathcal{H}$ is the identity.

THEOREM D.2. $\mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)) = \mathrm{PGL}(2;k)$, *if* $d \geq 6$.

PROOF. Let be $\xi \leftrightarrow P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_d \in H^{(d)}(k)$ and let $g$ be a line through $P_d$ such that the projection of $P_1, \dots, P_d$ onto $g$ gives different points $P_1', \dots, P_d' = P_d$. The projection is defined by a suitable $\mathbb{G}_m$-action $\tau(\lambda)$ such that $\tau(1)\xi = \xi$ and $\xi_\infty := \lim\limits_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(\lambda)\xi = P_1' \cup \cdots \cup P_d'$ (see Appendix A).

Let be $T = \mathbb{P}^1_k$, $U = T - \{0, \infty\}$ and $\alpha : U \to H^{(d)}$ the morphism defined by $\lambda \mapsto \tau(\lambda)(\xi) =: \xi(\lambda)$. Then $\alpha$ has a uniquely determined extension to a morphism $T \to H^d$ which still is denoted by $\alpha$. Put $\beta := \varphi \circ \alpha$. Then $\deg(\alpha|T) = \deg(\beta|T) = 1$, as $\alpha|U$ is injective if $g$ is chosen general enough. The image of $\alpha$ is a curve $\mathcal{C} \subset H^d$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \xi(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in T$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \varphi(\mathcal{C})$ is the image of $\beta$ and $\mathcal{D}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \varphi\xi(\lambda)$.

Let $\psi$ be the induced automorphism of the universal subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow X \times H^d$, $X := \mathbb{P}^2_k$. $\mathcal{C}$ can be taken as a closed subscheme of $X \times T$, which is flat over $T$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{C}$. Now $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$, $A = k[\lambda, 1/\lambda] = k[\lambda]_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{F} \otimes_T \mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_1^d L_i$, $L_i$ flat over $U$ with Hilbert polynomial 1, and $L_i \otimes k(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_X/P_i(\lambda)$, where $P_i(\lambda) := \tau(\lambda)P_i$ corresponds to a closed point in $X$.

Let $p : X \times T \to T$ be the projection. Then $p_*\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_1^d p_*L_i(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$, hence

$$\dot\bigwedge p_*\mathcal{F}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U = \bigotimes_1^d p_*L_i(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U\,. \tag{D.5}$$

As $p_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ and $p_*L_i(n)$ are globally generated by the monomials in $S_n$ if $n \gg 0$, all the line bundles which occur in eq. (D.5) have uniquely determined extensions all over $T$, which are denoted by the same letters, i.e. (D.5) holds true if $U$ is replaced by $T$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\dot\bigwedge p_*\mathcal{F}(n) \cdot T\big) &= (\alpha^*\mathcal{M}_n \cdot T) = \deg(\alpha)(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{C}) \\ &= \sum_1^d (p_*L_i(n) \cdot T)\,.\end{aligned}$$

As $L_i$ is a line in $X$, if $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, one has $(p_*L_i(n) \cdot T) = n$, if $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, hence

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{C}) = (d-1) \cdot n\,. \tag{D.6}$$

If $\mathcal{G}$ is the structure sheaf of the subscheme $\mathcal{D} \subset X \times T$, then one again has

$$\mathcal{G} \otimes_T \mathcal{O}_U = \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{L}_i \,.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_i$ is flat over $U$ with Hilbert polynomial 1 and has the form $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{X\times U}/\mathcal{P}_i$ and $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) := \mathcal{P}_i \otimes k(\lambda) \leftrightarrow \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\tau(\lambda)P_i)$, for all $\lambda \in U$. This again implies

$$\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{G}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U = \bigotimes_1^d p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U \,.$$

If one again denotes the extension of $\mathcal{L}_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ to a module, which is flat over $T$, with Hilbert polynomial 1, by the letter $\mathcal{L}_i$, then one obtains

$$\dot{\bigwedge} p_*\mathcal{G}(n) = \bigotimes_1^d p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n)$$

and one deduces:

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{D}) = \sum_1^d (p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n) \cdot T) \,. \tag{D.7}$$

If $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda) \in X$ does not depend on $\lambda$, then $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a constant sheaf, hence $(p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n) \cdot T) = 0$. If $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ depends on $\lambda$, then $\lambda \mapsto \mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ defines a morphism $U \to X$, which has a unique extension $T \to X$, and its image is a curve of degree $d_i \geq 1$. It follows that either $(p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n) \cdot T) = 0$ or $(p_*\mathcal{L}_i(n) \cdot T) = d_i n + c_i$. As $[\mathcal{C}] = [\mathcal{D}]$ by Proposition D.4, one has $(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \mathcal{D})$, i.e. $(d-1)\cdot n = \sum_1^d d_i n + c_i$. It follows that there is at least one index $i$ such that $\mathcal{P}_i(\lambda)$ is independent of $i$. Hence there is an index $i$ such that $\varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\tau(\lambda)P_i)$ is independent of $\lambda \in U$. It follows that $\varphi_\xi(P_i) = \varphi_{\xi(\lambda)}(\tau(\lambda)P_i)$ for all $\lambda \in U$, hence for all $\lambda \in T$. Now $\xi_\infty = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \tau(\lambda)\xi \leftrightarrow \{\, P'_1, \ldots, P'_d \,\}$ is a closed point in $\mathcal{H}(k)$ and $\varphi|\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{id}$, as $\varphi$ is normed, hence $\varphi_\xi(P_i) \in \{\, P'_1, \ldots, P'_d \,\} \subset g$. If one substitutes the line $g$ by a line $h$, such that $P_d \in h$ and the projections of $P_1, \ldots, P_d$ onto $h$ again give distinct points, the same argumentation shows $\varphi_\xi(P_j) \in h$, for an index $j$. From this it follows that there is an index $1 \leq i \leq d$ such that $\varphi_\xi(P_i)$ is in the intersection of infinitely many such lines. It follows that $\varphi_\xi(P_i) = P_d$. The same argumentation with $P_{d-1}$ instead of $P_d$ shows that $\varphi_\xi(P_j) = P_{d-1}$, etc.

We conclude that $\varphi_\xi(P_1) \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup \varphi_\xi(P_d)$ is a permutation of $P_1 \dot\cup \cdots \dot\cup P_d$, i.e. we have $\varphi(\xi) = \xi$. But as the closure of $H^{(d)}$ is equal to $H^d$, the theorem follows. □

APPENDIX E

# Filtration of the structure sheaf of a curve

AUX-LEMMA E.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent module on $\mathbb{P}^r \times_k Y$, which is flat over $Y$ with constant Hilbert polynomial $s \geq 1$. Then for each sufficiently small open set $U \subset Y$ the restriction $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_1^s \mathcal{O}(-d) \otimes \mathcal{O}_U$, where $d$ is a natural number.*

PROOF. Let be $S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$. To each $y \in Y$ there exists an open neighborhood $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and a linear form $\ell \in S_1$ such that:

1° $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_U = \tilde{M}$, where $M$ is a graded $S \otimes A$-module such that $M_n$ is free over $A$ of rank $s$ for all $n \geq d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ a suitable number.

2°
$$0 \longrightarrow M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\cdot \ell} M_n \longrightarrow M'_n \longrightarrow 0\,,$$
$M' := M/\ell M(-1)$, is an exact sequence for all $n \geq d$.

3° The sequence in 2° remains exact after tensoring with $k(y)$ for all $y \in U$ (see [**G3**, Lemma 1, 2,...]).

It follows that $M'_n = 0$ for $n \geq d$. Let $m_1, \ldots, m_s$ be a basis of $M_d$ over $A$. From $M_{n+1} = M_n \cdot \ell$, $n \geq d$, we deduce that $M_n = \sum_1^s \ell^{n-d} \cdot m_i \cdot A$. Because of the injectivity of $\cdot \ell$ it follows that this is a direct sum. □

We now state a simple variation of a result of Hartshorne [**H**, Prop. 7.4, p. 50].

LEMMA E.1. *Let $Y/k$ be an integer scheme, $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times_k Y$ a curve which is flat over $Y$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n) = dn - g + 1$. There exists an open set $U = \operatorname{Spec} A \subset Y$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

1° *If $S = A[x, y, z, t]$, there is a finitely generated graded $S$-algebra $M$ such that $\tilde{M}$ is the structure sheaf of the subscheme $C \times_Y U \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times U$.*

2° *There is a filtration $(0) = M^0 \subset \cdots \subset M^r = M$ such that $M^i/M^{i-1} \simeq (S/\mathfrak{p}_i)(-d_i)$ is flat over $A$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \subset S$ is a graded prime ideal, and the isomorphism is defined by multiplication with a form $f_i \in S_{d_i}$.*

3° *For each $\mathfrak{p}_i$ two cases can occur:*
CASE 1. *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a minimal prime of $M$ and $\operatorname{Proj}(S/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ is a curve, flat over $A$.*
CASE 2. *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is generated by a subbundle $L \subset S_1$ of rank 3.*

PROOF. The existence of such a filtration is shown in (loc.cit.). Applying the Generic-flatness-Lemma, one sees that either $S/\mathfrak{p}_i$ has a Hilbert polynomial of the form $an+b$, which is CASE 1, or $S/\mathfrak{p}_i$ has a constant Hilbert polynomial $s$. If $s > 1$, the Aux-Lemma E.1 shows that there is a filtration $M^{i-1} = F^0 \subset \cdots \subset F^s = M^i$ such that again $F^j/F^{j-1} \simeq (S/\mathcal{P}_j)(-\ell_j)$ is flat over $B = A_f$ with Hilbert polynomial 1 .

If $I \subset S$ is any saturated ideal such that $\widetilde{S/I}$ is flat over $A$ with Hilbert polynomial 1 , then $\tilde{I}$ has the Hilbert polynomial $q(n) = \binom{n-1+3}{3} + \binom{n-1+2}{2} + \binom{n-1+1}{1}$. But then $\tilde{I}$ is 1-regular and $I$ is generated by the subbundle $I_1 \subset S_1$ of rank $q(1) = 3$ (see [**M2**, Lecture 14] and [**G1**, 2.9; 3.4; ...]). □

APPENDIX F

# Lower semicontinuity of the complexity

If $M = x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma t^\delta \in S = k[x,y,z,t]$, then $T(M) := \delta$. $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Grass}_m(S_d)$ parametrizes the $m$-dimensional subspaces of $S_d$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$, $n = \binom{d+3}{3}$, be the monomials in $S_d$ in any order. If $V \in \mathbf{G}(k)$ and $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} e_j$, $1 \le j \le m$, is a basis of $V$, then $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_m = \sum P_{(j)} e_{(j)}$, where $e_{(j)} = e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_m}$ and $P_{(j)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j_1} & \cdots & a_{1j_m} \\ & & \\ a_{mj_1} & \cdots & a_{mj_m} \end{pmatrix}$ is the Plücker–coordinate belonging to the multi-index $(j) = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$, where $1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_m \le n$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ act on $S$ by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$. Because of

$$\sigma(\lambda) f_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \lambda^{T(e_j)} e_j$$

it follows that

$$\sigma(\lambda) f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma(\lambda) f_m = \sum_{(j)} P_{(j)} \lambda^{T(e_{(j)})} e_{(j)} \,, \tag{F.1}$$

where $T(e_{(j)}) := T(e_{j_1}) + \cdots + T(e_{j_m})$.

Let be $N := \#\{\ (j) \text{ multi-index as above }\} - 1$. The Plücker-embedding $p : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is defined by $V \mapsto \bigwedge^m V$, that means, it is defined by $V \mapsto \{\ \text{Plücker–coordinates of } V\ \} / \sim$, and $\sim$ is defined by multiplication with elements in $k^*$. It follows that $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts in an equivariant way on $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to $p$.

Let $V \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{G}(k)$ and $C(\xi) := \{\ \sigma(\lambda) p(\xi) \mid \lambda \in k^*\ \} = \{\ p(\sigma(\lambda)\xi) \mid \lambda \in k^*\ \}$. From (F.1) it follows that

$$C(\xi) = \left\{\ P_{(j)} \lambda^{T(e_{(j)})} \;\middle|\; \lambda \in k^*\ \right\} / \sim \ .$$

Case 1: $\lambda \mapsto \sigma(\lambda)V$ is injective, $\lambda \in k^*$.
Then from [**T1**, Bemerkung 3, p. 11] follows that for the closure $\overline{C(\xi)} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ one has

$$\deg \overline{C(\xi)} = \max_{(j)} T(e_{(j)}) - \min_{(j)} T(e_{(j)}) \,, \tag{F.2}$$

where the maximum and the minimum refers to such multi-indices with $P_{(j)} \neq 0$, and the $P_{(j)}$ are the Plücker-coordinates of $V$.
Case 2: $\lambda \mapsto \sigma(\lambda)V$ is not injective.
In the proof of [**T2**, Hilfssatz 5, pp. 8] it had been shown that this is equivalent with the following statements 1°–3°:
There is an integer $\ell > 0$ and a basis $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ of $V$, such that

1° $f_i = t^{d_i} \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{n_i} f_i^\nu t^{\ell\nu}$, $d_i$ chosen maximal, $0 \le d_1 \le \cdots \le d_m$, $f_i^\nu \in k[x,y,z]$ of degree $d - (d_i + \ell\nu)$, for all $i$ and $0 \le \nu \le n_i$.

2° The map $\mathbb{G}_m/\mu_\ell \to \mathbb{P}^N$ defined by $V \to \bigwedge^m \sigma(\lambda)V$ is injective, $\mu_\ell = \{\, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} \mid \varepsilon^\ell = 1 \,\}$.

3°

$$\deg C(\xi) = \tfrac{1}{\ell} \cdot \{ \text{ right-hand side of (F.2)} \} \,. \tag{F.3}$$

Note that in (F.2) $T(e_{(j)}) = D + \ell \cdot n(j)$, with $D = d_1 + \cdots + d_m$ and $n(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on $(j)$. If conversely it is supposed that $T(e_{(j)})$ has this form, then one gets

$$\sigma(\lambda)V \overset{p}{\hookrightarrow} \left(\{\, P_{(j)}\lambda^{D+\ell\cdot n(j)} \mid P_{(j)} \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim \; = \; \left(\{\, P_{(j)} \mid P_{(j)} \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim$$

for all $\lambda \in \mu_\ell$. As $p$ is a closed immersion it follows that $\sigma(\lambda)V = V$ if $\lambda \in \mu_\ell$.

The Plücker–coordinates of $\xi$ and the number $\ell$ depend on $\xi$, we therefore write $P_{(j)}(\xi)$ and $\ell(\xi)$. It is clear that there is an open neighborhood $U = U(\xi)$ of $\xi$ in $\mathbf{G}$, such that

$$P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0 \Rightarrow P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \text{ if } \zeta \in U(\xi) \,. \tag{F.4}$$

Suppose that $\zeta \in U(\xi)$. Then we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda \in \mu_{\ell(\zeta)} \Rightarrow \sigma(\lambda)\zeta = \zeta \\ \Rightarrow\; &\left(\{\, \lambda^{T(e_{(j)})}P_{(j)}(\zeta) \mid P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim \; = \; \left(\{\, P_{(j)}(\zeta) \mid P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim \\ \Rightarrow\; &\lambda^{T(e_{(j)})} = c \in k^* \text{ for all } (j) \text{ such that } P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (F.4) it follows that $\lambda^{T(e_{(j)})} = c$ for all $(j)$ such that $P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow\; &\left(\{\, \lambda^{T(e_{(j)})}P_{(j)}(\xi) \mid P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim \; = \; \left(\{\, P_{(j)}(\xi) \mid P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0 \,\}\right) / \sim \\ \Rightarrow\; &\sigma(\lambda)\xi = \xi \Rightarrow \lambda \in \mu_{\ell(\xi)} \Rightarrow \mu_{\ell(\zeta)} \subset \mu_{\ell(\xi)} \Rightarrow \ell(\zeta) \text{ divides } \ell(\xi) \,. \end{aligned}$$

One gets:

$$\ell(\zeta) \le \ell(\xi) \quad \text{ for all } \zeta \in U(\xi) \,. \tag{F.5}$$

Because of (F.4) one has for all $\zeta \in U(\xi)$:

$$\max_{(j)} \{\, T(e_{(j)}) \mid P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0 \,\} \le \max_{(j)} \{\, T(e_{(j)}) \mid P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \,\}$$

and

$$\min_{(j)} \{\, T(e_{(j)}) \mid P_{(j)}(\zeta) \neq 0 \,\} \le \min_{(j)} \{\, T(e_{(j)}) \mid P_{(j)}(\xi) \neq 0 \,\} \,.$$

Then from (F.3), (F.4) and (F.5) we get:

Conclusion F.1. For each $\xi \in \mathbf{G}(k)$ there is an open neighborhood $U \neq \emptyset$ in $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\deg C(\xi) \le \deg C(\zeta)$ for all closed points $\zeta \in U$. □

We now embed $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_Q$ into $\mathrm{Grass}^{P(n)}(S_n)$ by means of $\mathcal{F}_n = \pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ and then by means of $\mathcal{M}_n = \dot{\bigwedge}\mathcal{F}_n$ into a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N(n)}$. We recall that $P(n) = \binom{n+3}{3} - Q(n)$, $n$ is a sufficiently large number, e.g. $n \ge b$, and that $g \le g(d) = (d-2)^2/4$ is supposed.

If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, then by Theorem 1.2 in Chapter 1 we have the rational equivalence

$$\{\, \sigma(\lambda)\xi \mid \lambda \in k^* \,\}^- =: \bar{C}(\xi) \sim q_2(\xi)C_2 + q_1(\xi)C_1 + q_0(\xi)C_0 \,.$$

Here the natural numbers $q_2(\xi)$ and $q_1(\xi)$ are called the *complexity* of $\xi$ with regard to $C_2$ respectively to $C_1$.

Now $\deg \bar{C}(\xi) = (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \bar{C}(\xi))$ and Conclusion F.1 shows that

$$(\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \bar{C}(\zeta)) \geq (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot \bar{C}(\xi)) \qquad \text{for all } \zeta \in U(\xi)\,,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &q_2(\zeta)\left[\tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\right] + q_1(\zeta)(n-b+1) + q_0(\zeta) \\ \geq\ &q_2(\xi)\left[\tbinom{n-a+2}{2} + (n-b+1)\right] + q_1(\xi)(n-b+1) + q_0(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \gg 0$. We get:

Conclusion F.2. For each $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$ there is an open neighborhood $U(\xi)$ of $\xi$ in $\mathbf{H}$ such that for each $\zeta \in U(\xi) \cap \mathbf{H}(k)$ one has:
Either $\quad q_2(\zeta) > q_2(\xi) \quad$ or $\quad q_2(\zeta) = q_2(\xi)$ and $q_1(\zeta) \geq q_1(\xi)$. □

APPENDIX G

# The graded Hilbert scheme

Let be $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r, t]$ the polynomial ring in $r+1$ variables, $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$, $\mathbf{H} = \operatorname{Hilb}^P(X)$ the Hilbert scheme which parametrizes the quotients $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(n)$, i.e. the ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n) = \binom{n+r}{r} - P(n)$.

Let be $\mathfrak{X} = X \times_k \mathbf{H}$, $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the universal ideal sheaf with Hilbert polynomial $Q(n)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}$. If $\ell$ is any linear form, then $U(\ell) = \{\, y \in \mathbf{H} \mid \ell \text{ non-zero divisor of } \mathcal{F} \otimes k(y) \,\}$ is open and non-empty in $\mathbf{H}$ (see [**G3**, Section 1]).

Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ act on $S$ by $\sigma(\lambda) : x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \le i \le r$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$.

## G.1. Limit points

LEMMA G.1. *Let be $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in \mathbf{H}(K)$, and $\mathcal{I}_0 \leftrightarrow \xi_0 := \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$, where $K/k$ is a field extension. Then one has:*

*(i) $\xi_0$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant.*
*(ii) $\xi_0 \in U(t) \iff \xi \in U(t)$.*
*(iii) If $\xi \in U(t)$, then the Hilbert functions of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_0$ are equal.*

PROOF. A small modification of the proof of [**G3**, Lemma 4]:
Write $F_i = t^{d_i} f_i^0 + t^{d_i+1} f_i^1 + \cdots$, $1 \le i \le p := Q(d)$, $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots$, and $f_i := t^{-d_i} F_i$. By linearly combining the $F_i$, one can achieve that the $f_i$ are linearly independent and the proof goes through with $t$ instead of the variable $X_0$. □

## G.2. The restriction morphism

Let be $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$, $P'(n) = P(n) - P(n-1)$, $\mathbf{H}' = \operatorname{Hilb}^{P'}(Y)$.

LEMMA G.2. *Let $T/k$ be a scheme, $T \to U(t)$ a morphism and $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbf{H}(T)$ the corresponding ideal. Then $\mathcal{I}' := \mathcal{I} + t\mathcal{O}_{X\times T}(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_{X\times T}(-1)$ is an element of $\mathbf{H}'(T)$ and $\mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{I}'$ defines a morphism $r : U(t) \to \mathbf{H}'$.*

PROOF. The same argumentation as in [**G3**, Section 1]. □

## G.3. The case of space curves

We now write $S = k[x, y, z, t]$, $X = \operatorname{Proj} S$, $\mathbf{H} = \operatorname{Hilb}^P(X)$, $P(n) = dn - g + 1$, $R = k[x, y, z]$, $Y = \operatorname{Proj} R$. If $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$ is an ideal, then $\mathcal{I}^* \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal which is

generated by $\mathcal{I}$, i.e.

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{I}^*(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} H^0(Y, \mathcal{I}(i)) \quad \text{for all } n\,.$$

Let now be $\mathcal{I} \leftrightarrow \xi \in H(k) \cap U(t)$, $\mathcal{I}' \leftrightarrow r(\xi) \in \mathrm{Hilb}^d(Y)$ and $\mathcal{I}_0 \leftrightarrow \xi_0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi$. Here, and in the following, $\mathbb{G}_m$ operates by $\sigma(\lambda) : x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z$, $t \mapsto \lambda t$.

LEMMA G.3. *$\mathcal{I}_0 = (\mathcal{I}')^* \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $(\mathcal{I}')^*$ is the* CM*-part and $\mathcal{R}$ is $(x, y, z)$-primary.*

PROOF. 1° As $\mathcal{I}_0$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant, one has

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} U_i, \quad H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n+1)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n+1-i} V_i\,,$$

$U_i \subset V_i \subset R_i$ vector spaces. As $\mathcal{I}_0 \in U(t)$ by Lemma G.1, one has $H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n)) = \{\, f \in S_n \mid t \cdot f \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n+1)) \,\}$, hence $U_i = V_i$, if $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $R_1 V_n \subset R_1 H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n)) \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n+1))$, i.e. $R_1 V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ for all $n$. It follows that there is a sequence of vector spaces $U_i \subset R_i$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} U_i$ and $R_1 U_n \subset U_{n+1}$ for all $n$.

2° As $r$ is continuous, from $r(\sigma(\lambda)\xi) = r(\xi)$ for all $\lambda \in k^*$ it follows that that $r(\xi_0) = r(\xi)$, i.e. $(\mathcal{I}_0)' = \mathcal{I}'$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(n-1) \xrightarrow{\ t\ } \mathcal{I}_0(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}'(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

it follows that the canonical map

$$H^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n))/tH^0(\mathcal{I}_0(n-1)) \rightarrowtail H^0(\mathcal{I}'(n))$$

is an isomorphism, if $n \gg 0$. Hence one has $U_n \subset H^0(\mathcal{I}'(n))$ for all $n$ and $U_n = H^0(\mathcal{I}'(n))$ if $n \gg 0$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{J} := (\mathcal{I}')^*$.

3° Next we want to show that $\mathcal{J}$ is CM, and we assume that $\mathcal{P}$ is an associated prime of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$, which corresponds to a closed point of $X$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant.

CASE 1: $\mathcal{P} = (\ell_1, \ell_2, t)$, where $\ell_1$, $\ell_2$ are linear forms in $R$. But as $t$ is not a zero-divisor of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}$, this is a contradiction.

CASE 2: $\mathcal{P} = (x, y, z)$. Let be $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Ann}(f)$, i.e. $f \in S$ such that $\mathcal{P} \cdot f \subset \mathcal{J}$. Write $f = t^e \cdot g$. If $e > 0$, it follows that that $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Ann}(g)$. Hence one can suppose $e = 0$ and $f = f^0 + tf^1 + \cdots$, $f^0 \in R_n$. It follows that $\mathcal{P} \cdot f^0 \in H^0(\mathcal{I}(n+1))$, hence $f^0 \in H^0(\mathcal{J}(n))$. By an induction argument on gets $f \in \mathcal{J}$, contradiction.

4° Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an associated prime of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_0$, which corresponds to a closed point of $X$. The same argumentation as in 3° shows that $\mathcal{P} = (x, y, z)$. Hence one can write $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the CM-part and $\mathcal{R}$ is $(x, y, z)$-primary. Now one has $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}_0 \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{R}$, hence $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{J}$ and besides $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}_0' = \mathcal{N}'$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{N}$ has finite length. If one assumes that this is not equal to zero, there is a prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$, which corresponds to a closed point of $X$ and is an associated prime of $\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{N}$, hence an associated prime of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{N}$, contradiction. It follows that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{N}$. □

## G.4. The graded Hilbert scheme

At the moment, we go back to the general situation as in G.1 and G.2. In [**G6**, Abschnitt 2] it is shown:

(i) If $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ denotes the fixed point scheme, then $G := \mathbf{H}^{\mathbb{G}_m} \cap U(t)$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{H}$ (!).

(ii) Let $\varphi$ be a numerical function, i.e. a map $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, such that $\varphi(n) = Q(n)$ if $n \gg 0$. Put $\varphi'(n) = \varphi(n) - \varphi(n-1)$. If $A$ is a $k$-algebra, let be $G_\varphi(A)$ the set of all subbundles $V_n \subset R_n \otimes A$ of rank $\varphi'(n)$ such that $R_1 V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $G_\varphi$ is (represented by) a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{H}$, and $G$ is the disjoint union of those $G_\varphi$, which are non-empty.The closed immersion $G_\varphi \to \mathbf{H}$ is defined by $(V_0, V_1, \dots) \mapsto \mathcal{J}$, where $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X\otimes A}$ is the ideal generated by the $V_n$, i.e. $H^0(X \otimes A, \mathcal{J}(n)) = \sum_{i=0}^n t^{n-i} V_i$ for all $n$. $G_\varphi$ is called *graded* Hilbert scheme.

(iii) Let $\mathbf{H}_\varphi$ be the locally closed subset of $\mathbf{H}$ of all ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbf{H}(K)$ with Hilbert function $\varphi$, for all field extensions $K/k$. We take $\mathbf{H}_\varphi$ as a subscheme of $\mathbf{H}$ with the *reduced* scheme structure. Then one has $(G_\varphi)_{\mathrm{red}} \subset \mathbf{H}_\varphi$.

(iv) If $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_\varphi(K) \cap U(t)$, then Lemma G.1 shows that $\xi_0 := \lim\limits_{\lambda \to 0} \sigma(\lambda)\xi \in G_\varphi(K)$, and $\xi \mapsto \xi_0$ defines a morphism $\mathbf{H}_\varphi \cap U(t) \to (G_\varphi)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

The statements (i)–(iii), whose proof is easy, are used in Section 2.1 in the cases $r = 2$ and $r = 3$. The statement (iv) requires some work, but is needed only in the proof of Proposition 3.2, which is not used in later chapters.

## G.5. Very general linear forms

### G.5.1.

Aux-Lemma G.1. *Let be $S = k[x, y, z]$, $Y = \operatorname{Proj} S$, $P \in Y$ a closed point, $Q$ a graded ideal in $S$, which is primary to $P$. Then $\mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_Y/Q)$ is equal to the length $r$ of the localization $(S/Q)_{(P)}$ over $S_{(p)}$.*

Proof. By [**H**, Prop. 7.4, p. 50] there is a filtration $0 = M^0 \subset \cdots \subset M^r = S/Q$ such that $M^i/M^{i-1} \simeq f_i \cdot (S/P)(-d_i)$, and $\mathrm{HP}(S/P) = 1$. □

**G.5.2.** Let now be $P = k[x, y, z, t]$, $S = k[x, y, z] = P/tP(-1)$, $\mathfrak{p} \subset P$ a graded prime ideal such that $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subset X = \operatorname{Proj} P$ is a curve of degree $d$. Let be $I \subset P$ a graded ideal, which is $\mathfrak{p}$-primary of multiplicity $\mu$. By (loc. cit.) there is a filtration

$$0 = M^0 \subset \cdots \subset M^r = [P/I]^\sim \tag{G.1}$$

such that

$$M^i/M^{i-1} \simeq f_i \cdot [(P/\mathfrak{p})(-d_i)]^\sim \tag{G.2}$$

for $\mu$ indices and for the remaining indices

$$M^i/M^{i-1} \simeq g_i \cdot [(P/\mathcal{P}_i)(-e_i)]^\sim , \tag{G.3}$$

$\mathcal{P}_i \in X$ a closed point, which is contained in the support of $P/I = V(\mathfrak{p})$. We choose a linear form $\ell \in P$ such that $V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap V(\ell) = \{P_1, \dots, P_d\}$, $P_i$ distinct points and $\ell \notin \cup \mathcal{P}_i$. Applying a suitable linear transformation, one can assume $\ell = t$. One can write $M^i = I^i/I$, where $I^i$ is a graded ideal, $I^0 = I$ and $I^r = P$. We denote the images of the canonical morphism $P \to S$ by $'$ and from (G.1) we get a filtration

$$0 = (M^0)' \subset \cdots \subset (M^r)' = [S/I']^\sim , \tag{G.1$'$}$$

where

$$(M^i)' = [(I^i)'/I']^\sim, \quad (I^i)' = I^i + tP(-1)/tP(-1), \quad I' = I + tP(-1)/tP(-1) .$$

As $[P/\mathcal{P}_i + tP(-1)]^\sim = 0$, from (G.3) it follows that one can write (G.1$'$) as

$$0 = N^0 \subset \cdots \subset N^\mu = [S/I']^\sim. \tag{G.4}$$

Because of (G.2) one has surjective homomorphisms

$$[(P/\mathfrak{p} + tP(-1))(-d_i)]^\sim \to N^i/N^{i-1} . \tag{G.5}$$

But $P/\mathfrak{p} + tP(-1) = \bigoplus_1^d S/P_i$ and the localization of (G.4) and (G.5) at the point $P_1$, for example, gives a filtration

$$0 = N^0_{(P_1)} \subset \cdots \subset N^\mu_{(P_1)} = S_{(P_1)}/I'_{(P_1)} \tag{G.6}$$

and surjective homomorphisms

$$[(S_{(P_1)}/(P_1)_{(P_1)}](-d_i) \to N^i_{(P_1)}/N^{i-1}_{(P_1)} . \tag{G.7}$$

Now the left hand side of (G.7) is a field, hence either $N^i_{(P_1)}/N^{i-1}_{(P_1)}$ is equal to zero or has the length 1 over $S_{(P_1)}$.

Conclusion G.1. The multiplicity $\mu_i$ of $(S/I')_{(P_1)}$ over $S_{(P_1)}$ is $\leq \mu$. □

Put $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{I}$, $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I} + t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)/t\mathcal{O}_X(-1)$, $Y = \operatorname{Proj} S$. As the Hilbert polynomial of $P/\mathfrak{p}$ has the form $dn + a$, from (G.1) and (G.2) follows that $\mathrm{HP}(P/I) = d\mu n + b$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})(-1) \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}' \longrightarrow 0$$

we get:

Conclusion G.2. $\mathrm{HP}(\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}') = d\mu$. □

From the Aux-Lemma G.1 and Conclusion G.1 and Conclusion G.2 it follows that $\mu_i = \mu$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. From this one deduces:

Lemma G.4. *Let $C \subset X = \mathbb{P}^3_k$ be a curve. Write $C = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_r \cup \{points\}$, where the $C_i$ are the different irreducible components of dimension 1, of degree $d_i$ and multiplicity $\mu_i$, and $\{points\}$ denotes the 0-dimensional components, embedded or isolated. Then for Zariski-many linear forms $\ell \in k[x, y, z, t]$ one has*

*(a)* $C_i \cap C_j \cap V(\ell) = \emptyset$, *if* $i \neq j$.
*(b)* $\{points\} \cap V(\ell) = \emptyset$.
*(c)* $C_i \cap V(\ell) = \{P_{i1}, \ldots, P_{id_i}\}$, *where the points* $P_{ij}$ *are different from each other and the multiplicity of* $P_{ij}$ *in* $C_i \cap V(\ell)$ *is equal to* $\mu_i$. □

APPENDIX H

# Notations and explanations

## H.1. Notations

The ground field is $\mathbb{C}$; all schemes are of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$; $k$ denotes an extension field of $\mathbb{C}$. $P = k[x,y,z,t], S = k[x,y,z], R = k[x,y]$ are the graded polynomial rings.
$T = T(4;k)$ group of diagonal matrices
$\Delta = U(4;k)$ unitriangular group
$B = B(4;k)$ Borel group of upper triangular matrices
$T(\rho)$ subgroup of $T(3;k)$ or of $T(4;k)$ defined as follows: If $\rho = (\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $\rho_0 + \rho_1 + \rho_2 = 0$, then $T(\rho) = \{\, (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (k^*)^3 \mid \lambda_0^{\rho_0}\lambda_1^{\rho_1}\lambda_2^{\rho_2} = 1 \,\}$, and analogously in the case $r = 3$.
$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} < U(4;k)$
$G_1, G_2, G_3$ subgroups of $U(4;k)$ (see below).
NNT = abbreviation for non-zero divisor
$\sim$ = abbreviation for rational equivalent
$\mathbf{H} = H_{d,g}$ Hilbert scheme of curves in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with degree $d \geq 1$ and genus $g$, i.e. $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{Hilb}^P(\mathbb{P}^3_k)$, where $P(T) = dT - g + 1$.
$Q(T) = \binom{T+3}{3} - P(T)$ complementary Hilbert polynomial.
$\mathbf{H}_Q$ = Hilbert scheme of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(T)$, i.e. $\mathbf{H} = H_{d,g} = \mathbf{H}_Q$.
$\mathbf{H}_Q \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $Q(T) = \binom{T-1+3}{3} + \binom{T-a+2}{2}$ or $Q(T) = \binom{T-1+3}{3} + \binom{T-a+2}{2} + \binom{T-b+1}{1}$, where $a$ and $b$ are natural numbers $1 \leq a \leq b$. The first case is equivalent with $d = a$ and $g = (d-1)(d-2)/2$, i.e., equivalent with the case of plane curves.

We consider only the case $g < (d-1)(d-2)/2$. In this case we have the relations $d = a - 1$ and $g = (a^2 - 3a + 4)/2 - b$.

$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Grass}_m(P_d)$ Grassmann scheme of $m$-dimensional subspaces of $P_d$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function with the following properties: There is an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ of finite colength with Hilbert function $h(n) = h^0(\mathcal{I}(n))$, such that $0 \leq \varphi(n) \leq h(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi(n) = h(n)$ for $n \geq d$, where $n$ is large enough, e.g. $n \geq d := \mathrm{colength}(\mathcal{I})$. On the category of $k$-schemes a functor is defined by

$$G_\varphi(\mathrm{Spec}\, A) = \left\{ (U_0, \ldots, U_d) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} U_n \subset S_n \otimes A \text{ subbundle of rank } \varphi(n) \\ \text{such that } S_1 U_{n-1} \subset U_n, 1 \leq n \leq d \end{array} \right\}$$

$G_\varphi$ is a closed subscheme of a suitable product of Grassmann schemes; it is called *graded Hilbert scheme.*

To each ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3_k}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q$ corresponds a point $\xi \in \mathbf{H}(k)$, which we denote by $\xi \leftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$.

$h(\mathcal{J})$ denotes the Hilbert function of $\mathcal{J}$, that means $h(\mathcal{J})(n) = \dim_k H^0(\mathcal{J}(n)), n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\varphi$ is the Hilbert function of an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_k}$ such that $\varphi(n) = \binom{n+2}{2} - d$ for all sufficiently great natural numbers $n$, then

$$H_\varphi := \left\{ \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2_k} \;\middle|\; h^0(\mathcal{I}(n)) = \varphi(n), n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a locally closed subset of $\mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$, which we regard to have the induced reduced scheme structure. $H^{(d)} \subset \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the open subscheme of points $\xi \leftrightarrow Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $Z$ consists of $d$ points in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

If $G$ is a subgroup of $GL(4;k)$, then $\mathbf{H}^G$ denotes the fixed-point scheme, which is to have the induced reduced scheme structure. The same convention is to be valid for all fixed-point subschemes of $H^d = \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

If $C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a curve, then by means of the Grothendieck-Plücker embedding $\mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{P}^N$ we can regard $C$ as a curve in a projective space, whose Hilbert polynomial has the form $\deg(C) \cdot T + c$. Here $\deg(C)$ is defined as follows:

If $\mathcal{I}$ is the universal sheaf of ideals on $X = \mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{P}^3_k$, then $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ is the structure sheaf of the universal curve $\mathbf{C}$ over $\mathbf{H}$, and the direct image $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ is locally free on $\mathbf{H}$ of rank $P(n)$ for all $n \geq b$. The line bundles $\mathcal{M}_n := \dot{\bigwedge}\pi_*\mathcal{F}(n)$ are called the tautological line bundles on $\mathbf{H}$, which are very ample and thus define the Grothendieck–Plücker embeddings in suitable projective spaces. Here $\dot{\wedge}$ is to denote the highest exterior power. Then $\deg(C)$ is the intersection number $\deg(\mathcal{M}_n|C) := (\mathcal{M}_n \cdot C)$. (If $C$ is a so called tautological or basis cycle one can compute this intersection number directly, see [**T2**, Section 4.1].)

After these more or less conventional notations, we introduce some notations concerning monomial ideals.

If $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is $T$-invariant, then $H^0(\mathbb{P}^3_k; \mathcal{J}(d)) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is generated by monomials. To each monomial $x^{d-(a+b+c)}y^a z^b t^c$ in $H^0(\mathcal{J}(d))$ we associate the cube $[a, a+1] \times [b, b+1] \times [c, c+1]$ in a $y$-$z$-$t$-coordinate system, and the union of these cubes gives a so called pyramid, which is denoted by $E(\mathcal{J}(d))$. Usually we assume that $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant under $\Delta$ or $\Gamma$. Then we can write $H^0(\mathcal{J}(d)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{d} t^{d-n}U_n$, where $U_n \subset S_n$ are subspaces such that $S_1 \cdot U_n \subset U_{n+1}, 0 \leq n \leq d-1$, which we call the layers of the pyramid. (In [**T1**]–[**T4**] we made extensive use of this concept, but here it occurs only once in Section 1.3

A graded ideal $I \subset S = k[x_0, \ldots, x_r]$ is *Borel normed*, if $in(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} in(I_n)$ is invariant under $B(r+1;k)$. To each graded ideal $I \subset S$ there is a non-empty, open set $U \subset \mathrm{GL}(r+1;k)$ such that $g(I)$ is Borel normed for all $g \in U$.

If $H$ is a Hilbert scheme of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ and if $b \in H(k)$ is fixed by $B(r+1;k)$, then $W_H(b) \subset H$ is the subscheme of all ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ such that the initial ideal $in(\mathcal{I}) \leftrightarrow b$. (For more details, see [**G3**, Section 2].)

## H.2. Explanations

In [**T1**]–[**T4**] it was tried to describe the first Chow group $A_1(\mathbf{H})$, where we always take rational coefficients, and we write $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ instead of $A_1(\mathbf{H}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. The starting point is the following consideration: If the Borel group $B = B(4;k)$ operates on $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_Q$ in the obvious way, then one can deform each 1-cycle on $\mathbf{H}$ in a 1-cycle, whose prime components are $B$-invariant, irreducible, reduced and closed curves on $\mathbf{H}$. It follows that $A_1(\mathbf{H})$ is generated by such $B$-invariant 1-prime cycles on $\mathbf{H}$. (This is a partial statement of a theorem of Hirschowitz.)

Now from [T1, Section 1.1] it follows that such a $B$-invariant 1-prime cycle (i.e. closed, irreducible and reduced curve) $C$ on $\mathbf{H}$ can be formally described as follows: Either each point of $C$ is invariant under $\Delta := U(4;k)$, or one has $C = \overline{\mathbb{G}_a^i \cdot \eta}$, where $\eta$ is a closed point of $\mathbf{H}$, which is invariant under $T = T(4;k)$ and the group $G_i, i \in \{1,2,3\}$. Here $\mathbb{G}_a^i$ is the group $\mathbb{G}_a$, acting by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_\alpha^1 : x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto y, && z \mapsto z, && t \mapsto \alpha z + t.\\
&\psi_\alpha^2 : x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto y, && z \mapsto \alpha y + z, && t \mapsto t,\\
&\psi_\alpha^3 : x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto \alpha x + y, && z \mapsto z, && t \mapsto t,
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, on $P = k[x,y,z,t]$, and $G_i$ is the subgroup of $\Delta$, which is complementary to $\mathbb{G}_a^i$, that means, one defines

$$G_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad G_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad G_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

If $C$ has this form, then $C$ is called a combinatorial cycle of type $i$, where $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{H}) := \mathrm{Im}(A_1(\mathbf{H}^\Delta) \to A_1(\mathbf{H}))$ is called the "algebraic part" and $\overline{A}_1(\mathbf{H}) := A_1(\mathbf{H})/\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{H})$ is called the "combinatorial part" of the first Chow group of $\mathbf{H}$. Here $\mathbf{H}^\Delta$ denotes the fixed point scheme which, just as all other fixed point schemes that will occur later on, is supposed to have the induced reduced scheme structure.
This convention is valid also for the Hilbert scheme $H^d := \mathrm{Hilb}^d(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}})$.

In order to formulate the results of [**T1**]–[**T5**], one has to introduce the following "tautological" 1-cycles on $\mathbf{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \left\{ (x, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a}(\alpha z + t)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-\\
C_2 &= \left\{ (x, y^{a-1}(\alpha y + z), y^{a-2}z^{b-a+1}(\alpha y + z)) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-\\
C_3 &= \left\{ (x^a, \alpha x + y, x^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-\\
D &= \left\{ (x^2, xy, y^{a-1}, z^{b-2a+4}(y^{a-2} + \alpha x z^{a-3})) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-\\
E &= \left\{ (x^2, xy, xz, y^a, y^{a-1}z^{b-a+1}, xt^{b-2} + \alpha y^{a-1}z^{b-a}) \;\middle|\; \alpha \in k \right\}^-
\end{aligned}$$

Then the final results are (cf. [**T5**, Thm. 15.1 and 16.1]):

Suppose that $d \geq 5$ and $g < \binom{d-1}{2}$ i.e. $g$ is not maximal. Put $g(d) := (d-2)^2/4$ and $\gamma(d) := \binom{d-2}{2}$.

THEOREM ([**T5**, p. 123]). *(i) If $g > \gamma(d)$, then $A_1(H_{d,g})$ is freely generated by $E, C_1, C_2, C_3$.*
*(ii) If $g(d) < g \leq \gamma(d)$, then $A_1(H_{d,g})$ is freely generated by $E, D, C_2, C_3$.*
*(iii) If $g \leq g(d)$, then $A_1(H_{d,g})$ is freely generated by $E, D, C_2$*

THEOREM ([**T5**, p. 127]). *(i) If $g \leq 0$, then $A_1(H_{3,g})$ is freely generated by $[E], [D], [C_2]$.*
*(ii) $A_1(H_{4,2}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^4$ and if $g \leq 1$, then $A_1(H_{4,g})$ is freely generated by $[E], [D], [C_2]$.*

# Bibliography


[EGA] Grothendieck, A.: *Eléments de Géometrie Algébrique, Chapter II*, IHES, 1961.

[D] Davis, E.: 0-*dimensional subschemes of* $P^2$*: new application of Castelnuovo's function*. Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) **32** (1986), 93–107, (1987).

[E-S] Ellingsrud, G., Strømme, S.: *On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane*. Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 2, 343–352.

[F1] Fogarty, J.: *Truncated Hilbert functors*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **234**, 65–88, (1969).

[F2] ———: *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*. Amer. J. Math. **90**, 511–521, (1968).

[F3] ———: *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface, II. The Picard scheme of the punctual Hilbert scheme*. Amer. J. Math. **95**, 660–687, (1973).

[Fu] Fulton, W.: *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag 1984.

[G1] Gotzmann, G.: *Eine Bedingung für die Flachheit und das Hilbertpolynom eines graduierten Ringes*. Math. Z. **158**, 61–70, (1978).

[G2] ———: *Einige einfach-zusammenhängende Hilbertschemata*, Math. Z. **180**, (1982), 291–305.

[G3] ———: *A stratification of the Hilbert scheme of points in the projective plane*. Math. Z. **199**, 539–547, (1988).

[G4] ———: *Some irreducible Hilbert schemes*. Math. Z. **201** (1989), 13–17.

[G5] ———: *Einfacher Zusammenhang der Hilbertschemata von Kurven im komplex-projectiven Raum*. Invent. Math. **99**, 655–675 (1990).

[G6] ———: *Topologische Eigenschaften von Hilbertfunktion–Strata*. Habilitationsschrift, Universität Münster, 1993.

[Gre] Green, M.: *Generic initial ideals*. in: *Six lectures on commutative algebra*. Progress in Mathematics **166**, 119–186, Birkhäuser, Basel (1998).

[Har] Harris, J.: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer–Verlag 1992.

[HM] Harris, J., Morrison, I.: *Moduli of curves*, Springer–Verlag 1998.

[H] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer–Verlag 1977.

[Hi] Hirschowitz, A.: *Le group de Chow équivariant*. C.R. Acad, Sc. Paris, t. **298**, Série I. Mathématique, no. 5, 87–89 (1984).

[I] Iarrobino, A.: *Punctual Hilbert schemes*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. **78**, no. 5, (1972).

[LQZ] Li, W.; Qin, Z.; Zhang, Q.: *On the geometry of the Hilbert schemes of points in the projective plane*. arxiv.org/abs/math/0105213v2

[M1] Mumford, D.: *Geometric invariant theory*. Springer–Verlag 1965.

[M2] ———: *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton, 1966.

[T1] Gotzmann, G.: *Der kombinatorische Teil der ersten Chowgruppe eines Hilbertschemas von Raumkurven*. Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster, 3. Serie, Heft **13**, September 1994.

[T2] ———: *Der algebraische Teil der ersten Chowgruppe eines Hilbertschemas von Raumkurven*, ibid., Heft **19**, Februar 1997.

[T3] ———: *Die Néron–Severi–Gruppe eines Hilbertschemas von Raumkurven und der universellen Kurve*, ibid., Heft **23**, Januar 1999.

[T4] ———: *Die erste Chowgruppe eines Hilbertschemas von Raumkurven*, ibid., Heft **25**, März 2000.

[T5] ———: *Computation of the first Chow group of a Hilbert scheme of space curves*, arxiv.org/abs/1103.0122v2.



Gerd Gotzmann, Isselstrasse 34, 48431 Rheine, Germany, g.gotzmann@t-online.de